1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General RANDALL A. PINAL Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 192199 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-3075 Fax: (619) 645-2012 E-mail: Randy.Pinal@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant State of California
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	OAKLAND DIVISION
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BIG LAGOON RANCHERIA, a Federally Recognized Indian Tribe, Plaintiff, v. Plaintiff, V. Defendant. Defendant.
22 23	Defendant State of California (State) respectfully requests this Court to take judicial notice of the following documents, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as exhibits:
24 25 26	A. Memorandum from George T. Skibine, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Economic Development, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, to Regional Directors regarding Application of the Holding in Carcieri v. Salazar to Pending Requests to Acquire Land in Trust (Mar. 12, 2009);
27 28	B. Ten Years Of Tribal Government Under I.R.A. by Theodore H. Haas, Chief Counsel, United States Indian Service (1947); and
	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND,

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-1 Filed03/25/10 Page2 of 2

1 2	California Agency, regarding	ates Bureau of Indian Affairs, Superintendent, Northern "Request for Solicitor's Opinion on Acquisition of y to Big Lagoon Rancheria" (Mar. 29, 1983).
3	The State make this request pursuan	at to Federal Rule of Evidence 201(b), which authorizes
4	this Court to take judicial notice of a fact	"not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1)
5	generally known within the territorial juris	sdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and
6	ready determination by a resort to sources	whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned."
7	Rule 201(d) makes judicial notice mandat	ory if requested by a party and the Court is supplied
8	with the necessary information.	
9	A court may take judicial notice of a	records and reports of administrative bodies. <i>Interstate</i>
0	Nat. Gas. Co. v. Southern Cal. Gas Co., 2	09 F.2d 380, 385 (9th Cir. 1954). The documents in
1	Exhibits A, B and C are official records of	f the United States Department of the Interior. The
2	documents are self-authenticating under F	Gederal Rule of Evidence 902(5) and properly subject to
3	judicial notice.	
4	Dated: March 25, 2010	Respectfully submitted,
15		EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California SARA J. DRAKE
7		Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General
8		/D 111 A D: 1
9		s/Randall A. Pinal RANDALL A. PINAL
20		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant State of California
21	SA2009309375	
22	80444859.doc	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	DECLIFET FOR HIDIOTAL NOTICE BY CVIDOR TO	E DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND
	I RECUEST FOR HUNCTAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT ()	F DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND

Exhibit A



United States Department of the Interior

OPPICE OF THE SECRETARY
Washington DC 19740



MAR . 7 2009

Niveroranders.

To

Regional Directors

loresma:

Course T. Skilhine

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policyland Economic Development

Office of the Assistant Secretary Undian Affairs

Subject

Application of the Holding in Convers a Solutor to Fending Requests to Acquire

Land In Trust

On rebruary 24, 2009, the United States Supreme Court issued its decision in Careforf v. Substant. The decision held that Congress granted limited authority to the Secretary of the interior under the Indian Reorganization Act (REA) to acquire land-in-trust for Indian tribes. To acquire land-in-trust under section 465 of the IRA, a tribe must have been runder Federal jurisdiction. It the time the IRA was passed in June 1934. The Court did not define the phrase funder Federal jurisdiction."

The Department in consultation with the Solicitor's Office is reviewing the decision to determine the scope of its impact and how best to proceed with processing applications to acquire land-to time. To assist in this review, I ask that the Regional Directors provide the information identified below to my Office by March 20, 2009. In addition, this measurement provides guarantee for processing penuling applications to acquire land-in-tensi.

Needed Information

The following information is needed from the Regional Offices to identify tribes that may be impacted by the Consterf decision. Please compile a sist that identifies:

- Tribes that wave federally acknowledged under 25 U.F.R. Pan 83, rectored or realfurness
 after Jone 1994, and any specific hard acquisition authorities for those tribes;
- Tribes with an organizational history that reads addy question about whether they were under federal jurisdiction in 1934;
- Whether those tribes identified above have had land taken in trust
- 4. The total number of acres and knowledness of land taken in trust for those tribes;
- The date(s) that lands were acquired in trust for these traces;

- 6. The statest use of those test lands to y , housing, gazang, contrast isl leasing, etc.),
- Which of those lands have gaming facilities already operating or planned for operation, and
- 8 In whose name were the deeds titled before they were transferred to the United States in trust (i.e., did the United States, the tribe or a third party hold little prior to the hand being head in tenst?)

One source that can be used to assist in determining whether a tribe was under Federal smodilities as the report Ten Teses Of Tethal Government Under The Indian Reorganization der by Theories II. Has (1947). While this is cot the only to finally determinative source, is may be delpini as a starting point. Until a complete list of criteria can be developed about what constitutes "under Federal possibilities" in 1934, please possible any internation that may be stipled in making that determination. Please consult with the Regional Tribul Operations staff while compiling this information.

Guidance for Processing Pending Applications

Please talkers to the following goldance in processing pending applications to acquire had intrust under 25 U.N.C. § 465.

- For those tibes where there is no question that they were under Federal jurisdiction in 1934, continue processing the applications as usual.
- For those in her with an organizational history that raises any question about whether they were under Federal jurisdiction in 1934, seek advice from the Solicitor's Office as to the effect of the Constructions while legal advice is heing sought. No final decision should be applications while legal advice is heing sought. No final decision should be expected until it has been defended whether or not they were under Federal jurisdiction in 1934.
- 3. For those tribes that that were federally acknowledged under 25 C.F.W. Part 83, restored or realfarmed after fune 1934, seek advice from the Solicitor's Office before continuing to process the applications.
- For those tribes which have specific land acquisition authority other than 25 U.S.C. §
 465, contract processing applications because they are not affected by the Carcieri decision.

If you have any question about the applicability of the Coretor decision to pending applications, please seek the advice of the Soticular's (Affice before proceeding)

Exhibit B

Ten Years of Tribal Government Under I. R. A.

By THEODORE H. HAAS, Chief Counsel
United States Indian Service

UNITED STATES INDIAN SERVICE 1947

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR J. A. KRUG, Secretary

UNITED STATES INDIAN SERVICE

WILLIAM A. BROPHY, Commissioner
WILLAM ZIMMERMAN, JR., Assistant Commissioner
JOHN H. PROVINSE, Assistant Commissioner

Haskell Institute Printing Department January 1947—10M

Additional copies of this pamphlet may be obtained from United States Indian Service Merchandise Mart, Chicago 54, Illinois

Exhibit B - 000006

CONTENTS

Ten Years of Tribal Government under the Indian Reorganization Act	1
Table A. Indian Tribes, Bands and Communities which voted to accept or reject the terms of the I. R. A., the dates when elections were held, and the votes cast	13
Table B. Indian Tribes, Bands and Communities under Constitutions and Charters as approved by the Secretary of the Interior in accordance with the I.R.A., the Oklahoma Indian Welfare Act, the Alaska Reorganization Act.	21
Table C. Indian Tribes and Bands which accepted the I. R. A., but operate under constitutions adopted prior to the passage of the I. R. A.	32
Table D. Indian Tribes, Bands and Communities not under the I. R. A., which operate under Constitutions.	34
The Indian Reorganization Act	35
Amendment to the Indian Reorganization Act	41
The Alaska Reorganization Act	42
The Oklahoma Indian Welfare Act	43

TEN YEARS OF TRIBAL GOVERNMENT Under The Indian Reorganization Act

by Theodore H. Haas, Chief Counsel

HE INDIAN REORGANIZATION ACT (48 Stat. 984), one of the most important and comprehensive Indian laws, was adopted a few davs before the close of the first Congress which convened in the administration of Franklin D. Roosevelt. Although approved by the President on June 18, 1934, none of the authorized appropriations became available until May 1935. Though the Act dealt with a wide variety of subjects including land, credit, education, Indian employment and tribal organizations, this article will be confined to a discussion of the self-government feature.

KLAMATH INDIANS FIRST PROPOSED INCORPORATION IN 1927

The first suggestion for the incorporation of tribes was advanced in 1927 by the Klamath Indian tribe of Oregon. Indians of other tribes, including Vice-President Curtis, a Kaw Indian, contributed many ideas which were embodied in the bill. The Indian Reorganization Act was presaged by the enactment by Congress of the Pueblo Relief Act on May 31, 1933, prohibiting the Secretary of the Interior from spending moneys appropriated under that Act for the various Pueblos "without first obtaining the approval of the governing authorities of the Pueblo affected."

While the Indian Reorganization bill was pending in Congress, Commissoner Collier and some of his principal aides attended ten meetings in various parts of the country to discuss and consult with delegations from Indian reservations and with other Indians about the proposed legislation. These conferences constituted a new precedent. They symbolized a new relation between the Indians and the Indian Office which the Commissioner hoped would evolve. In lieu of administrative absolutism there would be developed between government officials and Indians a partnership in the determination of many policies. Instead of the superintendents or Washington officials deciding everything, there would be an area for local self-qovernment. If the Indian councils proved capable and faithful to their trust, where would be delegated additional power by the Secretary.

Under the terms of the Indian Reorganization Act power of approval or veto over the disposition of all tribal assets was given to the Indian tribes. It also authorized them to take over control of their own resources and to con-

Case 4:09-cv-0 thirt with enterprises to diminishing federal supervision as the tribal leadership showed a desire for more control and an ability to direct their affairs. Other enumerated powers were the right to employ legal counsel (subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Interior with respect to the choice of counsel and the fixing of fees), the right to negotiate with federal, state and local governments, and the right to be advised of all appropriation estimates affecting the tribes before such estimates are submitted to the Bureau of the Budget and Congress.

When a tribe is ready to draft its constitution, a constitutional committee of representative tribal members is chosen. It is the duty of this committee to draw up a constitution which will fit the needs of the tribe. The Department offers its assistance in the preparation of such documents, but only to the extent that such assistance is required. Scrupulous care is exercised to see that the document as drafted represents the wishes of the Indians.

When the constitutional committee has completed its draft and is ready to present the constitution to the tribal members for a vote, an election is requested by the constitutional committee or by a petition signed by one-third of the adult members of the tribe. The calling of this election is mandatory upon the Secretary of the Interior when the request is made in the manner prescribed by law. Thus a tribe may vote repeatedly upon the question of adopting a constitution, in those cases where such elections have failed to carry. It is not within the Secretary's discretion to determine whether or not the election shall be called.

CONSTITUTIONS AND BY-LAWS SUBJECT TO AMENDMENT

The constitution and by-laws when ratified by majority vote of the adult members of the tribe or of the adult Indians residing on the reservation, as the case might be, and approved by the Secretary of the Interior, could be revoked by an election open to the same voters and conducted in the same manner. Amendments may be ratified by the tribe and approved by the Secretary in the same manner as the original constitution and by-laws. The Act also provided that it should not be applicable to any reservation wherein a majority of all of the Indians entitled to vote, voted against its application. The original act provided that elections had to be called on the Act within one year after its approval. However, by the Act of June 15, 1935, this period was extended another year. The amendment to the act modified this rule so as to require a majority of those voting in an election in which not less than 30 per cent of those entitled to vote actually vote. Although many provisions of the statute did not originally apply to the Territory of Alaska or the State of Okía. ** homa, the Act of May 1, 1936, (49 Stat. 1250) and the Act of June 26, 1936, (49 Stat. 1967) extended the main provisions of the Indian Reorganization Act, with minor modifications, to Alaska and to Oklahoma.

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page7 of 49

During the period in which votes were taken on whether the Indian Reorganization Act should apply to the reservations, which extended from 1934 to 1936, 258 elections were held. The Oklahoma and Alaska Indians were not concerned in these elections as they were automatically brought under the law. In this balloting, 181 tribes (representing 129,750 Indians) voted to accept the law and 77 tribes (86,365 Indians) rejected it. About half of the latter were members of the Navajo Tribe (45,000) which rejected the act by a close vote.

At the present time there are 195 tribes, bands, and communities, or groups thereof, which are under the Indian Reorganization Act, excluding Indians in Oklahoma and Alaska. The Act applies to 14 groups of Indians who did not hold elections to exclude themselves from the application of the act.

On October 4, 1935 the first constitution prepared in accordance with the Indian Reorganization Act was adopted by the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation, Montana, by a vote of 549 to 123. It was approved by Secretary Ickes on October 28, 1935. Shortly thereafter constitutions were adopted and approved by the Rocky Boy's, Lower Brule and Fort Belknap Reservations. Ninety-three tribes, bands or Indian communities in the United States have adopted constitutions and by-laws, and seventy-three have been granted charters, permitting them to operate as business corporations.

Many constitutional provisions are substantially the same, notably those designed to enable the tribes to take advantage of the specific powers and benefits provided for in the Act. There are wide variations, however, in the provisions regarding tribal membership, the governmental organization, the safeguards available to individual members, the methods of handling tribal business and the extent of the supervision of the Secretary of the Interior.

TRIBAL GOVERNMENT TAKES MANY FORMS

While formal tribal organization has taken many forms, some governments have been adaptations of earlier tribal organizations. Some have merged the old and new forms and provided for a modern council and at the same time invested the chieftains with some power. A few organizations like the Minnesota Chippewas are confederacies.

After adopting a constitution and by-laws a tribe may, in accordance with section 17 of the Indian Reorganization Act, request the Secretary to issue a charter to the tribe. This request is made in the form of a petition signed by one-third of the adult Indians. The charter must be ratified by the tribe in a special election called by the Secretary. As in the case of the constitution, the calling of an election on the charter is mandatory when a petition is presented to the Secretary. A charter thus issued by the Secretary and ratified by the tribe may not be revoked or surrendered except by an Act of Congress.

Case4:09-cy-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page8 of 49 CHARTERED TRIBES BECOME BUSINESS CORPORATIONS

Most tribes subsequently supplemented their constitutions and by-laws by adopting charters. The Indian Reorganization Act provides for the issuance to organized Indian tribes of charters containing such powers as are incident to the normal functioning of a business corporation, such as capacity to make contracts, to adopt and use its corporate seal, to sue and be sued in courts of competent jurisdiction, and other powers as set forth in the following language of section 17: "to purchase, take by gift, or bequest, or otherwise, own, hold, manage, operate and dispose of property of every description, real and personal, including the power to purchase restricted Indian lands, and to issue in exchange therefor interests in corporate property, and such further powers as may be incidental to the conduct of corporate business, not inconsistent with law,...."

The exercise of corporate authority by a tribe is limited in certain respects by specific prohibitions against any sale, mortgage, or a lease for more than ten years, of any land within the reservation boundaries. The grant of a charter is made to enable a tribe more effectively to utilize the powers which it already possesses as an organized body, (55 l. D. 14), in promoting the welfare of its members. It bestows legal responsibility upon the organization and it adds weight to the legal status of the government body charged by the members with the duty and authority to administer the tribe's powers.

TRIBAL POWERS LIMITED

Neither the constitution and by-laws nor a corporate charter give the Tribal Council power to control the conduct of members of the tribe except in respect to the matters set forth therein. They do not interfere with the pursuit by the members of their own private objectives except in such ways and to such an extent as the members themselves have agreed. They do not interfere with allotment rights or shares in tribal benefits. The property with which the Tribal Council may deal is only the property of the tribe as a whole, not that of the individual members. Several tribes, which have constitutions but failed to ratify charters, have recently ratified charters, and thus have become eligible for loans under the revolving credit fund.

Many tribal governments are approaching the end of the first decade of their operation. To some tribes with corporate charters the end of the first ten years has a special significance. Most of the l. R. A. charters provide that after the charters have been in effect for a specified period of years certain supervisory powers of the Secretary of the Interior may be terminated by action of the tribal council, the Secretary and the tribe. In some charters the supervisory powers of the Secretary may be terminated after a period of five years. If the Secretary disapproves the request for termination by the tribal council, the council may be freed from this supervision if two-thirds of the eligible voters of the tribe concur.

SOME DIFFICULTIES OF TRIBAL GOVERNMENT

Before the various aspects of tribal governments are discussed, some of their difficulties, past and present, will be reviewed under the following headings:

- 1. Federal Indian Policy.
- 2. Institutional opposition to tribal government within the Indian Office.
- 3. Lack of familiarity among the Indians with white culture.
- 4. Misunderstandings and misinterpretations of the Indian Reorganization Act.
- 5. The war.
- 6. Abolition of the direct governmental services to tribal government.
- 1. Federal Indian Policy. Until comparatively recently the policy of the Federal Government has been to convert the Indian to the conventional land owning white farmer. The first step consisted in an attempt to break up tribal assets into individual allotments, to terminate historical tribal governments, and to suppress Indian customs and tribal laws. As a result some tribal governments had virtually disin'egrated or had lost a great deal of their original vigor and importance. Broken treaties and promises, and harsh to cruel treatment naturally caused many Indians to feel varying degrees of hostility to the white race. The suspicion was ingrained that any new policy which might be started by the government was motivated by a desire to aid the whites and hurt the Indians. Since Indians were denied their natural way of life, the government had to establish the odious ration system which sapped initiative and resourcefulness. Many of the Indians became dependent upon government aid as a consequence. A tradition of need for assistance therefore has been developed among many who have experienced long periods of dependency on rations or other government assistance as well as unemployment or partial employment.
- 2. Institutional opposition to tribal government within the Indian Office. When the Indian Reorganization Act was enacted in 1934 a large number of Indian Service officials, including superintendents and chiefs of divisions in the agencies and central office, were skeptical of its success; in fact there were some who did not believe in Indian self-government. During several previous decades some important officials of the Service were luke warm, or even unfriendly to many tribal councils. These employees, consciously or unconsciously, relegated Indian organization to the background. They absented themselves from council meetings.* Indian leaders frequently were not advised of reservation programs and other important facts. Often they were not consulted in the formulation of reservation plans. The attitude of the local administration in such cases may be likened to that of a colonial administrator who feels a keen sense of duty as a superior over an inferior people whose

*Some superintendents who were sympathetic with self-government did not attend tribal council meetings unless asked, because they did not wish to influence the council.

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page10 of 49

lives he controls. The feeling that Indians are not prepared to handle their own affairs, though prompted by high motives, may result in a display of paternalism towards the Indians which they will deeply resent. Any mistakes of tribal governments, which supported the preconceived idea that Indians were unfit, loomed large. Achievements, by the same mental process were forgotten. Fear was manifest among a few that their own power would be to a great extent jeopardized by another body having something to say about the management of the reservation. They betrayed an obvious annoyance when the council made recommendations concerning matters which they regarded as peculiarly a governmental responsibility, one within their purview, of course. While there has been great progress, there is still room for improvement.

- 3. Lack of familiarity among the Indians with white culture. With the exception of a comparatively few tribes and individual Indians, American Indians are among the most economically depressed groups in the country. Educated Indians and those experienced in white methods often leave the reservation. While there has been a great improvement in the amount of education which most Indians receive, it is still several years less than that of most whites in neighboring communities. This leaves a dearth of educated leadership to carry on at home. Also the inability of many of the older Indians to understand English and many of the younger Indians to understand their native Indian tongue adds additional barriers. Lack of understanding and cooperation between the new and the old generation, an inevitable consequence in a rapidly changing culture, is often used to keep Indians in a divided status. Indians in some states are disenfranchised, and even in states where they vote, nowhere, save possibly in the State of Oklahoma, are many Indians elected or appointed to important offices. All these factors indirectly reflect on local Indians. For example most Indian councilmen had little experience in local government or in political matters generally prior to the institution of self-government on the reservation. Deeply frustrated groups are oftenplagued by internal rivalry and factionalism. Scapegoats are often sought. The Indians' plight is blamed on a person, a Bureau or a statute. The Commissioner of Indian Affairs, the Indian Office, the Superintendent, the Council or the I. R. A. may be attacked as the cause of all woes.
- 4. Misunderstandings and misinterpretations of the Indian Reorganization Act. Prior to the enactment of the Indian Reorganization Act during the early discussions of it, there was some condemnation by the delegates attending regional-held meetings over the country, based on misunderstanding of the probable effect of the statute, or on reasons not connected with the proposed legislation. As was to be anticipated, some opponents of the new administration including selfish vested interests, conducted a nation-wide campaign of false propaganda to defeat the measure. Real estate interests which had been acquiring Indian lands by devious methods, and stockmen and lumber

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page11 of 49 interests which had profited by the inability of the Indians to protect their own resources, waged a campaign designed to perpetuate their privileges, often through hired Indians. Fantostic rumors were spread, such as: the bill was designed to deprive the Indians of the interests in their lands, to take away their allotments and communize them, to put the church out of business, and forbid missionaries to work among the Indians. For example, the Navajo Tribe rejected the act by a close vote because many voted in the negative, misadvised that its adoption would result in the confiscation of their sheep and goats by the government. Even before the voting was over there was started the first periodic drive by whites to scuttle the I.R.A., abolish the Indian Service, and terminate Federal guardianship over resources. This drive has recurred periodically. Another method of attack is to resort to litigation to vacate sentences of tribal courts imposed for violations of tribal ordinances.

- 5. The war. Since most Indian reservations are in rural, thinly populated regions, the difficulties of transportation within recent times have greatly added to the problem of communication so necessary to unity, between Indian leaders on and off the reservations. Various meetings, including those called by the Indian Service to exchange ideas and diffuse knowledge helpful to tribal organization, have been stopped because of travel restrictions and cuts in appropriations. Many courageous and able leaders were in the armed services or defense industries. Many have recently returned and are again playing a vital role in tribal affairs.
- 6. Abolition of direct governmental services to tribal government. The field staff of the Organization Division, all of whom were Indians, selected for their zealous espousal of Indian participation, stimulated tribal self-government. The failure of Congress to appropriate money for this work has retarded the development of tribal organizations on some reservations.

ACHIEVEMENTS OF TRIBAL GOVERNMENT

The achievements of tribal governments despite the difficulties which I have briefly enumerated have nevertheless been a long step forward. On some reservations work in tribal self-government has been laudable. Most tribal councilmen are seriously endeavoring to exercise their powers wisely and thoughtfully, because they have a stake in the final outcome. On this very principle the government predicates its whole program of self-government, namely that people who are most active in the making of their government will in the long run do most to perfect it. A resume of the accomplishments of tribal governments will prove this thesis.

1. Self-government and the war. Enemy propaganda has sought, according to reports, to exploit the weakest link in our political and economic system. Failure to live up entirely to the American creed of brotherhood and equality has been assailed, particularly in connection with minorities. Persons of Indian ancestry have been included. While sowing the seeds of prejudice

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page12 of 49

in various religious and racial groups, the enemy propagandists argued that the United States had broken treaties with the Indians and impoverished them by reducing the area and quality of their land. Such propaganda for many reasons has had little effect on the American Indian. Even before the outbreak of the war with Germany and Japan some Indian tribes like the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon passed resolutions denouncing this propaganda.

There is no doubt that the gradual increase in self-government among the Indians during the last decade has contributed much toward overcoming historical bitterness and mistrust felt by some Indian groups against the United States. This has been evidenced by Indian leaders who frequently expressed their patriotism by speeches and deeds. Tribal councils invested over two million and a half dollars of funds in war bonds besides making sizable contributions to the Red Cross. Moneys were also set aside by some tribes to make loans to tribal members to pay transportation and tuition to trade schools in order to prepare members for defense work. A considerable amount of tribal land was permitted, leased or sold to the United States government for war purposes.

2. Management of tribal resources. One of the major functions of tribal councils is the management of tribal property. However, on allotted reservations containing little tribal land or other tribal resources, some tribal councils found it difficult to maintain interest in self-government after the novelty of elections had worn off. Some of the Lake States with meager tribal assets emphasized social and recreational activities. In other similar situations, as for example in the State of Oklahoma, the councils were mainly concerned with loans, leases, rehabilitation and relief. The chairman of the Caddo Council, by July 1940, intimated that the tribal revolving credit fund had enabled almost one-third of the tribal membership to be rehabilitated and taken off direct relief.

Tribal councils on the whole have exercised good judgment in controlling their resources. Tribal funds have been used to acquire fractionated heirship lands, to make loans for the purchase of land, livestock and equipment for individual members, and for tribal enterprises, such as livestock cooperative associations, tribal farming enterprises (including the producing of hay on tribal land), producers and consumers co-operatives, and arts and crafts organizations. Group action through corporations and cooperatives has increased the utilization of Indian resources. When the resources are owned by the tribe, the benefits of the enterprise accrue to members of the tribe as a whole. Prior to the passage of the I.R.A., only a handful of livestock associations were organized. Now they have increased in strength and number totaling about 160 cooperative livestock associations. Approximately 40 per cent of the Indian-owned beef cattle is managed by livestock associations which

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page13 of 49

have played an important role in improving breeding and management practices, range control, and feed production and cooperative sales. They have not only materially increased the income derived from the sale of cattle but they have enabled the Indians to utilize more fully the range lands, including the forestry areas suitable for grazing, aggregating approximately 80 per cent of the total Indian land resources.

In the initial stages of these enterprises supervision is usually given by Indian Service personnel to insure efficient operation and protection of the Ioan of the Federal Government. When the enterprise has created a sufficient surplus to insure its repayment, supervision is gradually relinquished until full responsibility is finally assumed by the Indians. Unfortunately this process is often slow.

Land management laws dealing with assignment, leasing, permitting and use of tribal lands also have been passed. Unfortunately economic plans for the use of Indian property are sometimes made by Indian Service officials with little or no participation by the Indians. Nevertheless, in my opinion there has been a slow but gradual increase in the amount of consultation by government officials with Indian leaders in the framing of policies. It is becoming recognized that a plan, no matter how idyllic, which is not favored by the people affected may be doomed to failure.

An increasing number of ordinances have been enacted by tribal councils to protect fish and wildlife, to provide a better and more equitable use of tribal land, and to conserve tribal land from overgrazing. For instance, recently the Papago Tribal Council enacted ordinances reducing excessive stock on tribal lands and eradicating horses infected with dourine. The White Mountain Apaches have appropriated money to round up wild horses.

The power to approve loans from revolving credit funds to members has been granted to the Flathead Tribe. It is reported that on the whole the tribal loan committee has been successful. In a few jurisdictions there had been abuses of the power to control certain tribal assets and distribute funds. A few tribal treasurers have misused funds and councilmen, in instances, have appropriated to their own use substantial sums by paying larger per diems or for excessive travel. Others have favored relatives and friends. But these are only the exceptional cases.

3. Social welfare and education. Some tribes having conducted very extensive home improvement and public works programs, are thus beginning to supplement the work of the government in the field of social service. The Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation in New Mexico has constructed houses for each of the families. Tribal loans have been given Indians requiring special medical attention not a allable at local government hospitals. In addition, committees have assisted in health, education and relief. In a few places the whole relief program has been financed by the

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page14 of 49

tribe. Almost thirty councils have included a compulsory education section in their law and order code and three councils have adopted special compulsory education ordinances. Tribal funds have been used to employ truant officers.

The Makah Tribe of the Makah Indian Reservation, Washington, bought from the United States Government an abandoned construction camp no longer needed by the U.S. Engineers. Under the direction of a tribal council almost entirely composed of fullbloods, 64 new dwellings were moved to the Village of Neah Bay, the most populous village in the reservation, and about 250 members of the tribe secured vastly improved homes as a result. Twenty-four other buildings are utilized as boat houses, garages, wood shacks and other purposes. About \$60,000 of tribal funds was expended on the buildings and their removal.

- 4. Law and order. Under the revised law and order regulations promulgated by the Department soon after the passage of the Indian Reorganization Act, Indian Service officials are prohibited from controlling, obstructing or interfering with the functions of the Indian courts. Many councils have adopted their own law and order codes for their reservations which, after Secretarial approval, supersede the general regulations. Indian judges, while not always meticulous in following the proper procedure, have usually been conscientious and able in dispensing justice. Yet there is room for improvement in this field. The remuneration of Indian judges and Indian police is very low. Their training in law and procedure is often slight.
- 5. Miscellaneous. Tribal governing bodies besides those mentioned above have also enacted ordinances and resolutions dealing with a wide variety of other subjects. These include the correction of census rolls, the adoption and abandonment of membership, domestic relations including adoption, marriage, divorce and the appointment of guardians, inheritance, taxation and licensing, and tribal organizations and procedure. Variations in legislation will depend upon many facts, such as the power vested in the tribal councils by the tribal constitution, the local conditions and the calibre of the tribal officials. In distant Alaska the council of the native village of Noatak passed ordinances dealing with building permits, the making of wills and the straying of dogs.
- 6. Medium for communication. Ignorance breeds many ills. Maladministration, misunderstanding, and the dissemination of misinformation result when the channels of communication break down or are defective. The isolation of many reservations makes the transmission of developments in the Service of special importance. One of the major problems of the local agency administration is to diffuse a knowledge of its policies and of other important facts to local personnel and others principally affected.

Tribal leaders having a responsibility of conveying the news to their

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page15 of 49

people should be kept advised of matters of importance to the Indians. Tribal councils offer an excellent medium for the transmittal of this information. Furthermore, by conferences involving the council, the superintendents, and other government officials, an opportunity is afforded to become carquainted with Indian leaders and vice versa.

7. Recommendations. Community government also furnishes a means whereby administrators may know the opinions, hopes and aspirations of the Indians. Officials who are inclined to resent recommendations of Indian councils which they consider are in a field outside of the jurisdiction of the council are treading on doubtful ground. It is not uncommon for state legislatures, municipal councils and even Indian Service superintendents to pass resolutions concerning matters outside of their purview. Tribal councils who might do likewise should not be discouraged. Administrators should appreciate the insight gained thereby into Indian thinking. An ability to vocalize a complaint constitutes an emotional outlet of distinct social value.

A provision of the Indian Reorganization Act whereby the tribc i councils were authorized to advise the Secretary of the Interior with regard to all appropriation estimates of Federal projects for the benefit of the tribe has apparently been disregarded in part because of the administrative difficulties involved. I believe that explaining to the councils these estimates and securing their views would be a very important educational process for both the Indian and the government personnel. An important step has already been taken. Budgets involving the use of tribal funds are discussed with the appropriate tribal council.

8. **Improvement.** Many effective and modern procedures have been established by councils in the conduct of business affairs and meetings. Tribal offices are now in evidence, some in the agency building and others in a separate tribal building. The number of persons who go to these tribal offices for assistance on some jurisdictions exceeds those who visit the agency.

Most of the Indians have also increased their knowlege of their constitutions and charters. There are still, however, many questions of interpretation of these documents which sometimes test the ingenuity of lawyers. Some tribal officials have been accused of violating provisions of their constitutions. Such actions may violate the Law and Order Code, in which case a remedy lies through a complaint to the tribal court. In others, recourse may be found in the impeachment or recall of the official, where the constitution provides for such remedies. Finally the electorate has, in all cases, the ability to elect new officials on the next election day.

9. **Tribes not organized under the I. R. A.** Four tribes which voted to come under the Indian Reorganization Act are operating under constitutions not under the Act.

Thirteen tribes which are not under the Indian Reorganization Act are

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page16 of 49

operating under constitutions. Eight of these constitutions have been approved by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs. The governing body provided for in some of these constitutions has considerable power. In other constitutions the powers are meager.

Under the present law, tribes which are not under the Indian Reorganization Act, cannot come within its provisions, and tribes which are under the Act cannot exclude themselves from its provisions.

10. Relation between Indian self-government and world peace. Democracy in many parts of the world is on the march; a march that is increasing in tempo. The economic income of oppressed people throughout the world has become a concern for all and is receiving widespread attention. World peace is linked up with the attainment of more self-government, the decline of imperialism and the elimination of general poverty. Colonial people everywhere are looking hopefully to the United States Government. It is especially important that this country demonstrate the sincerity of its ideals by the increasing substitution of local self-government even on the smallest leservations, for bureaucratic control. The Indian Office, together with tribal councils, by increasing the standard of living of depressed Indian groups and achieving a high measure of self-determination, will be in the vanguard of the movement for greater economic and political democracy.

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page17 of 49

Table A

Indian Tribes, Bands and Communities
Which Voted to Accept or Reject the Terms
of the Indian Reorganization Act,
the Dates When Elections Were Held,
and the Votes Cast

13

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page18 of 49 ACTION BY TRIBES ON INDIAN REORGANIZATION ACT (Those listed in black face type accepted the act)

STATE	RESERVATION	POP.	VOTING POP.	TOTAL YES	VOTES NO	ELECT	
ARIZONA						· <u>-</u>	
Colorada	o River Agency:						
	ado River	705	365	119	8	Dec. 15,	1934
	Mojave	432	265	102	8	Dec. 15,	1734
		32	18	4	ő		
	oah	32	10	4	U	Nov. 17	
	ache Agency:	0.710	2 2 40	=0.4			
	Apache	2,718	1,340	726	21	April 27,	1935
	Agency:				_		
	Bend	228	120	18	0	Dec. 15	
Popa	•	5,146	3,028	1,267	166	Dec. 15	•
	Xavier	525	283	158	22	Dec. 15	
Pima A	gency:						
Fort	McDowell	205	111	65	7	Oct. 27,	1934
Gilo	River	4,659	2,308	1.188	116	Dec. 15	
	River	1,049	592	194	- 66	Dec. 15	
		179		53			
	hin	179	87	23	15	Dec. 15	
	los Agency:	0.040		F0.4		0 . 07	
	Carlos	2,843	1,473	504	22	Oct. 27	
Hopi Ag			• •				
	********	2,538		519	299	June 15,	1935
Truxton	Canon Agency:			•			
Havas	supai	201	106	72	3	June 15	
Hueld	spai	451	256	37	22	June 15	
	Verde (Yavapai Apache)	451	259	112	20	Dec. 15.	1934
	Agency (Arizona, New Mexico)	43.135	15,900	7,608	7,992	June 14-1	
							
CALIFORN							
	River Agency:						
	Yuma (Quechan)	819	402	192	32	Nov. 17,	1934
Hoopa \	Valley Agency:						
Нооре	a Valley Reservation	554	240	8	174	Dec. 15	
	ath River	925	375	38	256	Dec. 15	
*Quart	z Valley					•	
Ranch	nerias:	411				•	
Sm	ith River		41	1	31	June 14,	1935
	escent City		8	6	0	June 14	
	hnerville		Š	ĩ	5	June 14	
	ble Bluff		2 6	Ó	10	June 14	
	nidad		4	4	,0	June 14	
* * Di		NI= Va		~	U		
	re Lake	No Vot	es			June 14	-
Mission			10		,	D 10	100
	stine	14	13	. 0	6	Dec. 18,	1934
	on	29	17	Ō	7	Dec. 18	
, Cahui	illo	107	69	3	33	Dec. 18	
Camp	e	135	. 73	7	18	Dec. 18	
Capita	an Grande	160	. 87	37	35	Dec. 18	
· (Inc	cludina Barona)					•	
* *Cuyon	saipe	No Vot	es			Dec. 18	
Incin		33	22	0	15	Dec. 18	
	10	3	1	ĩ	Ö	Dec. 18	
	la	221	145	28	68	Dec. 18	
		3	145		0	Dec. 18	
	osta			2	-		1024
	loyotes	88	52	3	37	Dec. 18,	1934
	anita	67	36	. 3	0	Dec. 18	
	Grande	218	119	9	64	Dec. 18	
Pala		205	121	7	66	Dec. 18	

^{*}Indians residing on lands purchased from I.R.A. funds. Group is organized under the I.R.A. **Act applies since Indians did not vote against its application.
***Act applies since less than 30 percent of eligible voters participated in the election.

STATE	RESERVATION	POP.	VOTING	TOTAL YES	VOTES NO	ELECTION DATES
More Palm Paum Pech Rince San San Sante Sante Sobo Sycu	ion Creek ongo n Springs na anga on Manuel Pascual a Rosa a Ynez a Ysabel ba on es Martinez	20 292 50 69 216 181 40 9 50 90 237 122 35 198	10 173 31 37 156 114 25 3 32 48 122 76 23	0 25 4 0 14 22 2 2 3 20 14 6 6	3 79 16 23 48 58 10 1 13 0 47 57 16	Dec. 18 Dec. 15
Sacram	ento Agency:		-			
Altu Aub Berr	y Creek		14 13 36 49	14 6 5 0	0 5 16 26	June 11, 1935 June 8 June 14 June 12
Big Big Cach	Bend Sandy Valley E Creek Substa		38 46 15	1 21 7 2	25 4 3 0	June 8 June 8 June 8 June 12
**Cedo Clov Cold	prvilleerdale	No Resid	dents 20 47	10 0	ი 23	June 11 June 8
Colu Corti Coyc Dry East Ente Fort Guid Grim Hopl Jam Jack Loyt Like Look **Lytto Man Mid	ax sa ina ote Valley Creek Lake (Robinson) rprise Bidwell eville dstone leand estown conville ly cout chester dietown	No Resid	36 20 8 49 46 29 41 25 56 5 3 29 30 12 ents 46	25 12 0 8 19 7 27 14 11 28 0 3 7 19 6	1 0 1 17 13 17 2 1 0 3 5 0 11 1 2	June 12 June 10-30 June 10-30 June 8 June 12 June 8 June 10 June 14 June 10 June 11 June 12 June 12 June 12 June 8 June 18 June 18 June 18 June 8 June 8
** Mille Moo Mon Neve Nort Pask Pica Pino Pitt Potte Rede Rede			ents 43 7 18 6 26 11 51 2 26 12 18 11	0 5 6 0 17 3 29 0 10 2 16	34 2 2 4 0 7 1 2 3 4 0 0	June 12 June 10 June 14 June 10 June 11 June 11 June 12

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page20 of 49

STATE RESERVATION	POP.	VOTING POP.	TOTAL YES	VOTES NO	ELECT DAT	
**Cohestanai	No Reside	ents				
**Sebastopol	140 146316	17	0	` 10	June 8	
Sheep Ranch		1	. 1	0	June 12	
Sherwood		35	10	12	June 10	
Shingle Springs		3	0	_3	June 13	
Stewarts Point		-70	5]	10	June 11	1005
Strawberry Valley	•	10	,0	6	June 14,	1930
Sulphur Banks		20 "	11 6	7 0	June 12	· -
Susanville		9 16	2	10	June 8	
Table Mountain	No Reside		2	10	, unic 0	
**Strathmore	140 1463.64	4	2	0	June 12	
Tuolumne		40	37	0	June 11	
Tule River	186	94	50	2	Nov. 17,	1934
Upper Lake		36	7	4		1025
Wilton	40	14	-12	ő	June 15,	1935
Round Valley (Covelo)	827	458	138	36	Nov. 17,	1934
COLORADO						
Consolidated Ute Agency:						1005
Southern Ute	389	129	85	10	June 10,	1935
Ute Mountain	445	225	9	3	June 12	
FLORIDA						
Seminole Agency:	•			_		
Seminole	580	295	21	0	March 30	
IDAHO						
Northern Idaho Agency:						
Coeur d' Alene	634	203	76	78	Nov. 17,	1934
Kalispel	88	38	29	2	Nov. 17	
Nez Perce	1,399	608	214	252	Nov. 17	
Fort Hall Agency:	1 020	971	375	31	Oct. 27	
Fort Hall	1,839	7/1				
IOWA						
Tomah Agency:		100	(2	13	1	1935
Sac & Fox	419	198	63	13	June 15,	
KANSAS	•					
Potawatomi Agency:				_	. 15	
lowa	498	245	115	,3	June 15	-
Kickapao	308	151	74 32	16 3	June 15 June 15	
Sac & Fox	99 955	49 469	198	122	June 15	
Potawatomi	733	407		122		
LOUISIANA						•
Choctaw Agency:	128	35	25	3	May 14	
Chitimacha	120	33			77.027	
MINNESOTA						
Consolidated Chippewa Agency:	1,298	725	167	28	Nov. 17,	1934
Fond du Lac	377	179	75	4	Oct. 27	-
Leech Lake	2,076	961	375	60	Oct. 27	
(Cass Lake & Winnibigoshish, V	Vhite Ook I	Point)				
White Earth	8,059	4,169	1,122	245	Oct. 27	
Nett Lake (Boise Fort)	627	317	159	7	Oct. 27	
Red Loke Agency:	1.040	000	410	24	Nov. 17	
Red Lake	1,968	820 27 î	418 94	24	Nov. 17	•
Pipestone School	552	211	7~	,	, 10 1,	
Lower Sioux	! Vote	ed as one	group			
Granite Falls	ì					
. Linkie iziona						

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page21 of 49

STATE RESERVATION	POP.	VOTING POP.	TOTAL YES	VOTES NO	ELECT DAT	
MICHIGAN Great Lakes Agency: L'Anse Bay Mills Hannahville Ontanagon		558 95 vith L'Anse	413 42 47	8 25 3	June 17, June 17, June 17,	1935 1935 1935
Tomah Agency: Isabelle (Swan Creek-Black	: River-Saginaw).	. 424	237	112	June 17.	·
MISSISSIPPI Choctaw Agency: Choctaw	1,792	736	218	21	March 30	
MONTANA Blockfeet Agency: Blackfeet		1,785 1,218	823 ⁻ 494	171 166	Oct. 27, Dec. 15	1934
Fort Belknop Agency: Fort Belknop		604	371	50	Oct. 27	
Rocky Boy's Agency: Rocky Boy's			179	7	Oct. 27	
Tongue River Agency: Tongue River		757	418	96	Oct. 27	
Crow Agency: Crow		982	112	689	May 18,	1935
Fort Peck Agency: Fort Peck	0.770	1,027	276	578 _ι	Dec. 15,	1934
NEBRASKA Winnebago Agency: Omaha Ponca Santee Winnebago	1,277	192 627	212 64 260 133	17 4 29 52	Oct. 27 Nov. 17 Nov. 17 Oct. 27	
NEVADA Carson Agency: *Duckwater (Shoshone) Fort McDermitt Pyramid Lake Summit Lake Reno-Sparks Dresslerville Lovelock Winnemucco Battle Mountain Elko Ely Moapa River Las Vegas Tract Big Pine Bishop Fallon Ft. Independence Indian Ranch Red Hill ***Walker River West Bishop Yerington *Yomba	273 549 64 205 170 134 55 28 158 40 200 171 426 72 492	277 14 95 75 4 45 26 3 14 40 35 84 40 35 84 93 247 49 8 19 301 14	73 151 10 53 58 31 155 9 34 8 42 10 0 1 39 4 8 8 1 37	2 54 4 5 1 10 0 0 6 3 2 11 68 74 29 0 12 41 9 3	June 14 June 17 Nov. 17, May 17 June 11 June 11 May 17 May 24 May 14 May 11 Nov. 17 June 11	1935 . 1934

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page22 of 49

STATE	RESERVATION	POP.	VOTING POP.	TOTAL YES	VOTE NO		TION
Westerr	Shoshone Agency:						
Duck	: Valley (Shoshone-Paiute)	516	383	191	12	Oct. 27,	1934
- Gana	y	155	4	4	0	May 5,	1935
Skull	Valley	155 41	81 - 21	21 9	0 5		100
NEW MEX	KICO		<u> </u>			Nov. 21,	1934
	ero Agency:		•				
Mesc United	alera	722	367	- 273	11	Dec. 15	
Acom	ια	1.125	597	283	0	Dec. 15	
Cochi	ti	305	167	121	ŏ		
Isleta		1,103	567	138	7	June 17,	1935
Logu	z	677 2,271	351	84	178	June 17	
-Namb	96	128	1,315 72	776 52	66 1	Oct. 27,	1934
Picuri	s	117	59	51	ò	Dec. 15 Oct. 27	•
Pojoa	que	9	8	7	ŏ	April 13,	1935
Sandi		129	69	15	0	Dec. 15	.,
San i	Idefonso	126	62	57	4	April 13	
San J	ugn	596 561	331 280	224 243	0	June 17,	1935
Sonta	Ana	241	148	100 8	0	Dec. 15, June 17	1934
Santa	Clara	400	200	134	34	April 13	
, Santa	Domingo	866	476	171	1	June 17	
Sia .	***************	189	.92	82	0	June 17	
Tosuc		745 123	402	303	36	Oct. 27	
Zuni	ue	2,051	71 1,066	67 505	40	Dec. 15	1001
NEW YOR			1,000		40	Nov. 17,	1934
	rk Agency:						
Allega	iny		548	37	298	luma 10	1005
Cattar	augus		864	101	475	June 10, June 14	1935
Cornpl	lanter (Pennsylvania)			23	17	June 15	
Onond	laga		350	17	206	June 15	
St. Kei	gis		800	46	237	June 8	
Tuscor	rora		338 225	42 6	175	June 11	
NORTH CA			223	0	132	June 12	
Cherokee					٠		
Qualla	Boundary (Eastern Cherokee)	3,254	1,114	700	101	D 20	1024
NORTH DA		0,204	1/1/4	700	101	Dec. 20,	1934
	hold Agency:						
Fort B	erthold	1,569	661	477	139	Nov. 17,	1934
Fort Tott	en Agency:					,	1205
	Deal Assume	960	521	144	233	Nov. 17	
Standing	Rock Agency: ng Rock						
	rth Dakota)	1,677					
	th Dakota)	2,098	1,559	866	508	Oct. 27	
Turtle Me	ountain Agency:		.,		-	Oct. 17	
Turtle	Mountain	6,034	1,181	257	550	June 15,	1935
DREGON							
Klamath				٠,			
	th	1,364	666	56	408	June 15	
Umatilla Umatill	Agency: la	1 140	201	155	200		
	nde-Siletz Agency:	1,140	681	155	299	June 15	
Grande	Ronde	356	213	102	68	April 6	
	***************************************	465	233	54	123	April 6	
	Company Burger						
2.5	and the control of th	7 10					

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page23 of 49

STATE	RESERVATION	POP.	VOTING POP.	TOTAL YES	VOTES NO	ELECT DAT	
Wor	Springs Agency: m Springss	992	394 67	260 48	74 1	April 6 April 6	
	CAROLINA ee Agency: wbs		•				
SOUTH D				_	,		· -
Chey	ne River Agency:	3,288	1,420	653	459	Oct. 27,	1934
Crow	reek Agency: Creek	953 603	388 160	87 71	246 39	Dec. 15 Dec. 15	
Santo	dae Agency:	345	193	79	5	Oct. 27	
Pine	Ridge	8,370	4,075	1,169	1,095	Oct. 27	
Rose Y anl	cton	6,362 2,018	3,126 991	843 248	424 171	Oct. 27 Oct. 27	
Sisse Standin	n Agency: tong Rock Agency: ee 'North Dakota)	2,658	1,170	266	335	April 6,	1935
Ceda Kaib Kana Keas Paiu Uint Shiv Fert Ha	& Ouray Agency: er City ab (in Arizona) bash harem te ah wits ail Agency: hakie	28 93 24 30 19 1,251 79	13 51 14 17 11 634 40	2 28 11 14 7 335 27.	0 5 0 0 0 21 2	May 14, Nov. 17, May 7, May 10 Nov. 24, Dec. 15 Nov. 17	1935 1934 1935 1934
Colv Spok	e Agency: ille	3,116 807	1,659 376	421 92	562 163	April 6 April 6	
Chel Mak Nisq Ozet Quin - Hoh Shoo Skok Squa	eute	132 403 63 2 1,729 4 242 189 39	70 219 40 2 764 4 96 11 107	22 75 19 2 184 3 37 3 35	26 47 2 0 176 1 15 5	April 6 April 6 Oct. 27, April 13, April 13 April 13 April 13 Oct. 27, April 6,	1934 1935 1934 1935
Lum Muc	Agency: mikleshoot	667 200	287 97	72 59	110 7	March 30 April 13	
Port Puyo Swir	Gomble	171 328 273 663	110 190 123 215	30 34 122 143	0 36 1 68	April 6 April 13 Nov. 17, April 6,	1934 1935

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page24 of 49

STATE	RESERVATION	POP.	VOTING POP.	TOTAL YES	VOTES NO	ELECTION DATES
Nooks	mak	738 235 205	135 123	53 74	· 13	March 30 April 6
Yakim	na	2,942	1,392	361	773	April 20
WISCONSI		***				
· Great La	ikes Agency:					
1 000	River	1,211		296	47	Nov. 17, 1934
rac C	ourte Oreille	1,559	871	205	175	Dec. 15
Red C	Aiff	506	360	122	7	Dec. 15
*Sokao	gan					
Potaw	atomi	388	51	31	3	June 15, 1935
*St. Cn	oix					,
Lac di	u Flambeau	853	492	162	57	June 15
	ee Agency:			, 02	Ψ,	Julie 10
	ninee	2,077	1.020	596	15	Oct. 27, 1934
Tomah A		_,	.,020	J 7_U _	1.5	Oct. 17, 1704
Oneide	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3,128	1.844	688	126	Dec. 15
Stockh	ridge	600	226	166	120	
	nage	000	220	100		Dec. 15
WYOMING						
	ver Agency:					
Shosho	ne & Arapahoe	2,196	1,032	339	469	June 15, 1935
	ver Agency: one & Arapahoe	2,196	1,032	339	469	June 15, 193

Table B

Indian Tribes, Bands and Communities
under Constitutions and Charters
as Approved by the
Secretary of the Interior
in accordance with the
Indian Reorganization Act
Oklahoma Indian Welfare Act
Alaska Reorganization Act

Revised October 10, 1946

21

The following its states of the factors of the fact	The times	approved by Velfare Act, cates which	Population	4,494	115	280 558 191			96	2 %	2 281	845 913
tibes, grouped by agencies, which are under Constitut with the provisions of the Indian Regardanization Act, dates of amendment. Population figures, except for when the Constitutions and Charters went into effect, dates of amendment. Population figures, except for dates of amendment. Population figures, except for dates of amendment. Population Approved the Blackfeet Indian Reser- a of Organization Constitution Act of for dates went into effect, dates of amendment. Population Act of 1946 Indians of the Duckwater Nov. 28, 1940 Amend. I, Jan. 18, 1946 Jan. 15, 1936 Jan. 15, 1936 Jan. 15, 1936 Jan. 15, 1936 Jan. 18, 1937 Amend. I, July 12, 1945 Jan. South Carolina June 30, 1944 X Tribe, South Dakoto- Dec. 27, 1935 Choctaw Indians Amy 22, 1945 In Tribes of the Colorado Aug. 13, 1937 Annia Aug. 13, 1937 Annia Aug. 13, 1937	TION ACT	ions and Charters, as of the Oklahoma Indian V This listing also Indi Vlaska, are taken fron	Charter Ratified	Aug. 15, i936	Nov. 30, 1940	Nov. 21, 1936 lend. I, June 20, 1945 Nov. 21, 1936 Jon. 7, 1938	Feb, 27, 1937	Apr. 10, 1937	Dec. 22, 1939	The state of the s		
AIZED UNDER THE INITITIES, with the provisions of the Inches, with the provisions of the Inches and Carlos of amendment. Populated are of Organization The Blackfeet Indian Reserative and Shoshone Tribe, Amainte and Shoshone Tribe, Nevada Tribe, Nevada Tribe, South Dakota- Amainte and Shoshone Tribe, Amainte and California	(AFEW) ~3, (93	hich are under Constitut Jian Rçorganization Act, harters went into effe.t. 'ion figures, except for /	Constitution Approved	Dec. 13, 1935 nend. 1, Jan. 18, 1946	Nov. 28, 1940 lend. I, June 6, 1944	, 2, 1936 15, 1936 15, 1936 26, 1937	July 12, 194 24, 1936		1944	27,	22,	Aug. 13, 1937 Dec. 18, 1936
TRIBES ORGANI TRIBES ORGANI TRIBES ORGANI TRIBES ORGANI TRIBES ORGANI To of the Interior in accoldance wide Act. The listed dates show worked been amended and the droper to 1940. The Blackfeet Tribe of the vation, Montana The Blackfeet Tribe of the vation, Newada The Duckwater Tribe of the Rescrution, Newada The Pyramid Lake Painte The Washoe Tribe, Newada The Washoe Tribe, Newada The Yomba Shoshone Tribe The Catawba Tribe of Indication The Catawba Tribe of Indication The Catawba Tribe of Indication The Mississippi Band of Cherrical Maiser Reservation, Arizon The Quechan Tribe, Californ River The Quechan Tribe, Californ River The Quechan Tribe, Californ River The Quechan Tribe, Californ The Quechan Tribe, Californ River The Quechan Tribe, Californ River The Quechan Tribe, Californ River The Quechan Tribe, Californ	- Just Will () On the Control of TRIBES ORGANIZED UNDER THE IND	ne following list shows Indian tribes, grouped by agencies, w of the Interior in accoldance with the provisions of the Indian Act. The listed dates show when the Constitutions and Clave been amended and the dates of amendment. Population 1940,	Official Name of Organization The Blackfeet Tribe of the Blackfeet Indian Pages	The Duckwater Tribe of Indians of the Durkwater	Reservation, Nevada De Fort McDermitt Paiute and Shoshone Tribe, Nevada	: The Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, Nevada The Reno-Sparks Indian Colony, Nevada The Walker River Paiute Tribe, Nevada	The Washoe Tribe, Nevada	The Yerington Paiute Tribe, I The Yomba Shoshone Tribe,	. C.) The Catawba Tribe of Indians South Carolina	r The Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe, South Dakota-	Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians,	Colorado River Indian Tribes of the Colorado iver Reservation, Arizona and California Quechan Tribe, California
The following I the Secretary of the Inter- and the Alaska Act. The documents have been on Suppirinent for 1940. Agency and Reservation Agency and Reservation Agency and Reservation Blackfeet: Blackfeet: Blackfeet: Blackfeet: Blackfeet: Blackfeet: Carson: Duckwater The Duckwater Reso Fort McDermitt The Fe New Pyramid Lake The Pyramid Lake The Way Reno-Sparks The Way Woshoe (Dresslerville) Catawba The Yor Cherokee: Chectaw: Choctaw: Choctaw: Choctaw: Choctaw: Colorado River: Colorado River: Colorado River: Colorado River: Fort Yuma The Que	3501,2 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	the Secretary and the Alas documents F Supplicment I	Blackfeet:	Carson: Duckwater		}	Washoe (Dresslervill	\sim	Cherokee: (N Catawba	Cheyenne Rive Cheyenne Ri Choctow	Choctaw Calorado Rive	Colorado Ri Fort Yuma

Agency and Reservation	Official Name of Countries			
Great Lakes	uomariunities de company	Constitution Approved	Charter Ratified	Population
Bad River	The Bad River Band of the Lake Superior Tribe of Chippewa Indians, Wisconsin	June 20, 1936 Amended Dec. 1, 194	•	1,259
Bay Mills	The Bay Mills Indian Community, Michigan	Amend. 11, Oct. 31, 1944 Nov. 4, 1936	4 Nov. 27, 1937	190
Hannahville L'Anse Lac du Flam-	ty, Michigan nunity, Michigan ne Lake Superior	Amended Nov. 27, 1937 July 23, 1936 Dec. 17, 1936	,	.108 939
pedu	Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin	Aug. 15, 1936 Amendment I & III	May 8, 1937 Amended Nov. 8, 1941	882
Male Lake Potawatomi	The Sokaogon Chippewa Community, Wisconsin The Forest County Potawatomi Community, Wis-		4 Oct. 7, 1939	187
Red Cliff	consin The Red Cliff Band of Lake Superior Chippewa	Feb. 6, 1937	Oct. 30, 1937	310
	Indians, Wisconsin	June 1, 1936	Oct. 24, 1936	643
St. Croix	St. Croix Chippewa Indian; of Wisconsin	Nov. 12, 1942	Amended 140V. 12, 1938	
Cuartz Valley Hopi:	The Quartz Valley Indian Community, California	June 15, 1939	Mar. 12, 1940	. 29
Hopi	The Hopi Tribe, Arizona	Dec. 19, 1936		3.444
Jicarilla Jicarilla	The Jicarilla Apache Tribe of New Mexico	Aug. 4, 1937	Sept 4 1037 '	67.5
Kiowa (See Okla			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7.43
Ala. Coushotta 7	The Alabama-Coushatta Tribes of Texas	Aug. 19, 1938	04 17 1030	776
Mescalero: Mescalero	The Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, New Mexico	Mar 25 1034	4.0.1.1027	
Northern Idaho; Kalispel	The Kalispel Indian Community of the Kalispel Reservation, Washington	Mar 24 1938	, 1, 1930 MAN, 28, 1030	067
Papago: Gila Bend Papago Son Xavler	The Popago Tribe, Arizona	Jan. 6, 1937		6,217

Case4:09-cv-01471-CVV Document 8-3 Filed 03/25/10 Page 28 of 49

	Agency and Reservation	Official Name of Organization	Constitution Approved	Charter Ratified	Population	
	Consolidated Chippewa: White Earth Leech Lake Fond du Loc Bois Fort Grand Portage	The Minnesota Chippewa Triba	July 24, 1936	Nov. 13, 1937	13,610	Ü
	Consolidated Ute: Southern Ute	The Southern Ute Tribe of the Southern Ute Reservation, Colorado	Nov. 4, 1936 Amend. 1, Oct. 15, 1942 Amend. II, Feb. 28, 1946	Nov: 1, 1938	423	~
	Ute Mountain	The Ute Mountain Tribe of the Ute Mountain Reservation, Colorado	June 6, 1940		459	
	Crow Creak: Lower Brule	The Lower Brule Sioux Tribe, South Dakota	Nov. 27, 1935 Amended Jan. 6, 1941	July 11, 1936	619	
	Flandreau: Flandreau	The Flandreau Santee Siou, Tribe, South Dakota	Apr. 2., 1936 Amended Jan. 6, 1941	Oct. 31, 1936	355	
	Flathead: Flathead	The Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation, Monlana	Oct. 28, 1935	Apr. 25, 1936	3,208	
	Fort Apache: Fort Apache	The White Mountain Apache Tribe, Arizona	Aug. 25, 1938		2,892	
	Fort Belknap: Fort Belknap	The Fort Belknap Indian Community, Montana	Dec 13, 1935 Amended Feb. 7, 1944	Aug. 25, 1937	1,600	
	Fort Berthold: Fort Berthold	The Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation, North Dakota	June 29, 1936	Apr. 24, 1937	1,791	
	Fort Hall: Fort Hall	The Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of the Fort Hall Reservation, Idoho	Apr. 30, 1936	Apr. 17, 1937	1,881	
,	Grande Ronde- Siletz: Grunde Ronde	The Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Cammurity, Oregon	May 13, 1936	Aug. 22, 1936,	473	

Agency and Reservation	Official Name of Organization	Constitution Approved	Approved	Charter Ratified	Pepulation
Pima: Fort McDowell	The Fort McDowell Mohave-Apache Community, Arizona	25 1938	1936	1038	103
Gild River	The Gila River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community, Arizona	May 14, 1936	1936	Feb. 28, 1938	4,865
Salt River	The Soft Kiver Pima-Maricopa Community of the Solt River Reservation, Arizona	June 11, 1940	1940		1,172
Pine Ridge: Pine Ridge	The Oglala Sioux Tribe of the Pine Ridge Reservation, South Dakota	Jon. 15, 1936	1936		9,204
Pipestone School: Lower Sioux	The Lower Sioux Indian Community in the State of Minnesota	June 11, 1936	1936	July 17, 1937	192
	of Minnesota	June 20,	1936	July 23, 1937	94
Potawatomi: Iowa Kickapoo Sac and Fox	The Iowa Tribe in Nebraska and Kansas The Kickapoo Tribe in Kansas The Sac and Fox Tribe of Missouri	Feb. 26, 1937 Feb. 26, 1937 Mar. 2, 1937 Amended Nov. 25,	1937 1937 1937 25, 1943	1	539 343 129
Rocky Boy's: Rocky Boy's	The Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy's Reservation, Montana	Nov. 23,	1935	July 25, 1936	742
Rosebud: · Rosebud	The Rosebud Sioux Tribe, South Dakota	Dec. 20, 1935	1935	Mar. 16, 1937	6.908
San Carlos: San Carlos	The San Carlos Apache Tribe, Arizona	Jan. 17, 1936	1936	Oct. 16, 1940	3,103
Sacramento: Big Volley	The Big Valley Band of Pomo Indians of the Big Valley Rancheria, California	Jan. 15, 1936 Amended May 13, 1940	1936	Oct. 19, 1941	92
Colusa Fort Bidwell	The Cachil Dehe Band of Wintun Indians, Cali- fornia The Fort Bidwell Indian Community, California Ar	Nov. 23, 1941 Jan. 28, 1936 Amended June 8, and Feb. 4, 19	1941 1936 8, 1940	Nov. 23, 1941	72

Official Name of Organization Constitution Approved 1) The Manchester Band of Pomo Indians of the Man: Chester Rancheria, California Amended May, 18, 1936
Round Valley The Covelo Indian Community, California Dec. 16, 1936 Stewart's Point The Kashia Band of Pomo Indians of the Stewart's Mar. 11, 1936 Amanded May 19, 1940
The Tuolumne of Me-wuk'Indians of the Tuolumne Rancheria, California
The Tule River Indian Tribe, California Amended May 24, 1940
The Upper Lake Band of Ponio Indians of the Up- per Lake Runcheria, California (Name changed by amendment Oct. 22, 1941, to Amended May 16, 1940 The Upper Lake Pomo Indian Community) and Oct. 22, 1941
This Me-viuk Indian Community of the Wilton Pancheria, California Amended May 21, 1940
The Makah Indian Tribe, Washington The Nisqually Indian Community, Washington
The Collection Tribe of the Collecte Reservation, Washington, Tribe of the Charles December 1975
The Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michlgan May 6, 1937 The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin
Sac and Fox Tribe of the Mississippi in lowa Dec. Stockbridge-Munsee Community, Wisconsin Oct.
The Northern Cheyenne Tribe, Montana
The Yavapai Apache Indian Community, Arizana
The Havasupai Tribe of the Navasupai Kesstvation, Arizona

Reservation	Official Name of Organization	Constitution Approved	Charter Ratified	Population
Hualapai (Walapai) Maapa	The Hualapai Tribe of the Hualapai Reservation, Arizona The Moapa Band of Paiute Indians	Dec. 17, 1938 Apr. 17, 1942	June 5, 1943 May 3, 1942	462 172
Tulalip: Muckieshoot Port Gamble Puvallup	The Muckleshoot Indian Tribe, Washington The Port Gamble Indian Community, Washington The Puyallup Tribe, Washington	May 13, 1936 Sept. 7, 1939 May 13, 1936	Oct. 31, 1936 Apr. 5, 1941	228 192 319
Swinomish Tuldlip	nmunity, Washing-	Jan. 27, 1936 Jan. 24, 1936 Amended Mar. 8, 1941	July 25, 1936 Oct. 3, 1936	314 676
Uintah & Ouray: Kanosh Uintah & Ouray	The Kanosh Band of Paiute Indians The Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah and Ouray Res-	Dec. 2, 1942	Aug. 15, 1943	1 247
Shivwits	ervation, Utch The Shivwits Band of Paiute Indians of the Shivwits Reservation, Utch	Jan. 17, 1737 Mar. 21, 1940	Aug. 30, 1941	76
United Pueblos: Santa Clara	The Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico	Dec. 20, 1935 Amended Dec. 19, 1939		485
Warm Springs: Warm Springs	The Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation, Oregon	Feb. 14, 1938 Apr. 23, 1938 Amended Dec. 19, 1941 Amended Dec. 19, 1941	. Apr. 23, 1938 mended Dec. 19, 194	778
Western Shoshone: Duck Valley		Apr. 20, 1936	, Aug. 22, 1936	554
Elko	The Te-Moak Bands of Western Shoshone Indians of Nevada	Aug. 24, 1938	Dec. 12, 1938	80
Gushute	The Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation in Utoh	- Nov. 25, 1940	Mar. 29, 1941	155
Winnebago: Omaha	The Omaha Tribe of Nebraska		Aug. 22, 1936 Aug. 15, 1936	1,713
Ponco Santee Winnebago	The Santee Sioux Tribe of Nebraska The Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska	Apr. 3, 1936 Apr. 3, 1936	Aug. 22, 1936 Aug. 15, 1936	1,197
			TOT	TOTAL 105,216

OKLAHOMA TRIBES

			L. 12.11.0	Population
Acourty and Tribe	Official Name of Organization	Constitution Ratified	Charter Kaninea	
Cheyenne & Arapaho: Cheyenne-	The Cheyenne-Arapaho Tribes of Oklahoma	Aug. 25, 1937 Amended Feb. 4, 1942		2,949
Arapaho Five Tribes: Creek Creek	The Alabama-Quassarte Tribal Town The Kialegee Tribal Town The History Tribal Town	Jan. 10, 1939 June 12, 1941 Dec. 27, 1938	May 24, 1939 Sept. 17, 1942 Apr. 13, 1939	150 250 380
Kiowa: Caddo	Caddo Indian Tribe of Oklahoma	Jan. 17, 1938 Amend. I, Jan. 11, 1944	Nov. 15, 1938	1,048
Pawnee:	The Pawnee Indians of Oktahoma The Tonkawa Tribe of Indians of Oktahoma	Jan. 6, 1938 Apr. 21, 1938	Apr. 28, 1938	1,017
1 onkawa				
Eastern Shawnee	The Eastern Shawnee Tribe of Indians, Oklahoma	22, 1	Dec. 12, 1940 June 1, 1940	299
Minmi Otta‰o	The Ottown Tribe of Oklahoma The Ottown Tribe of Oklahoma	Nov. 30, 1938 Oct. 10, 1939	June 1, 1939 June 1, 1940	4 KU C 0 KO C 0 KO C
Peoria Seneca Wandotte	The Seorga Tribe of Indiana. The Seorga Tribe of Oklahoma The Woandatte Tribe of Oklahoma	:	June 26, 1937 Oct. 30, 1937	800
Shawnee: lowa	The lowa Tribe of Oklahoma	Oct. 23, 1937 Sept. 18, 1937	Feb. 5, 1938 Jan. 18, 1938	110 269
Kickapoo Potawatomi	The Citizen Band of Potowatomi Indians of Okla- froma	Dec. 12, 1938 Dec. 7, 1937		2,920
Sac & Fox Shawnee	The Sac and Fox Tribe of Indians of Okla- The Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Okla- homa	Dec. 5, 1938	TOTAL	667

ALASKA NATIVE COMMUNITIES AND COOPERATIVES

Alaska Community	ity Official Name of Organization	Constitution Ratified	Charter Ratified	Population
Angoon	The Angoon Community Association		10.01	
Atka	<u>2</u>	May 23, 1939	Mev. 15, 1939	347
Barrow	Native Village of		3,5	- 6
Chaneaa	Native Village of	, <u> </u>	,	280
Chilkat		- >	'n	00
Craig	The Craig Community Association of Craig,		-	
		Oct 8 1938	Oct 8 1038	100
Deering	The Native Village of Deering	-	- 5	7.07
Diamede	The Native Village of Diamede		, , ,	76
Douglas	The Douglas Indian Association	24,		0750
Elim	The Native Village of Elim	Nov. 24, 1939	Nov. 24, 1939	727 88
Fort Yukon	The Native Village of Fort Yukon	Jan. 2, 1940		320
Gambell		3	·	250
Haines	The Chilkoot Indian Association	7	, L	106
Hoonah		23.	23	000
Hydaburg	The Hydaburg Cooperative Association of Alaska	Apr. 14, 1938	Apr. 14, 1938	329
Kanatak	The Native Village of Kanatak	Mar 1 1940	,_	
Karluk	The Native Village of Karluk	Arr 23 1939	- - (200
Kasaan	The Organized Village of Kasaan		3,5	74.
Ketchikan	The Ketchikan Indian Corporation	27,	, , ,	787
King Island	The King Island Native Community	Jan. 31, 1939	Jan. 31, 1939	192
Kivalina	The Native Village of Kivaling	Feb 7 1940	7	
Klawock	<u>و</u>	Oct. 4, 1938		- 44 - 77
Klukwan		27.		115
Kwethlok	è	=		
Mekoryuk	The Native Village of Mekoryuk	24,	Aug. 24, 1940	133
Metlakotla	Metlak	Dec. 19, 1944	6	700
Minto	Native	30, 1	30	128
Napakiak	Native	29, 1	July 29, 1946	121
Nikolski	ě		12,	87
Nograk Nograk	Native	28, 1	Dec. 28, 1939	350
Name	The Nome Esking Community	_	23,	508
AivicoN		27,	Dec. 27, 1939	221
Nunapitchuk	Native	7	7	140
Point Hope	he Native Village of	29, 1	29,	247
יי שלווטיי	The Market Village of Point Lay	Mar. 22, 1946	Mar. 22, 1946	9:0
CONTINUE	_	_ _		66

Alaska Community	Official Name of Organization	Constitution Ratified	Charter Ratified	Population
Selowik	The Native Village of Selawik	Mar. 15, 1940	Mar. 15, 1940	290
Shoktoolik	The Notive Village of Shaktoolik	Jan, 27, 1940	Jan. 27, 1940	122
Shishmaref	The Native Village of Shishmaref	Aug. 2, 1939	Aug. 2, 1939	235
אַטמטנייאָט	The Notive Village of Shunanak	July 24, 1946	July 24, 1946	
Sitka	The Sitka Community Association of Alaska	Oct. 11, 1938	Oct. 11, 1933	620
Stebbins	The Stebbins Community Association	Dec. 5, 1939	Dec. 5, 1939	104
Stevens	The Notive Village of Stevens	Dec. 30, 1939	Dec. 30, 1939	92
Tonocross	The Native Village of Tanacross	Jan. 5, 1942	Jan. 5, 1942	109
Tetlin	The Native Village of Tetlin	Mar. 26, 1940	Mar, 26, 1940	81
Tvonek	The Native Village of Tyonek	Nov. 27, 1939	Nov. 27, 1939	101
1 Indiak leet	The Native Village of Unatakleet	Dcc. 30, 1939	Dec 30, 1939	307
Venetie	Zative	Jan, 25, 1940	Jan. 25 1940	98 .
Wales	The Native Village of Wales	July 29, 1939	July 29, .1939	189
White Mount-		-		
	The Native Village of White Mountain	Nov. 25, 1939	Nov. 25, 1939	174
			TOT.	TOTAL 10,899

This list is subject to change. A number of the tribes which have accepted the act have not yet adopted constitutions or charters. Any Oklahoma tribe or Alaskan village may organize at any time.

Table C

Indian Tribes and Bands which accepted the Indian Reorganization Act but which operate under Constitutions adopted prior to the passage of the I. R. A.

Indian Tribes and Bands which accepted the Indian Reorganization Act but which operate under Constitutions adopted prior to the passage of the I. R. A.

Agency and Reservation	d Official Name of Organization	Constitution Adopted Population	Population
Cherokee: Cherokee	The Cherokee Tribe of North Carolina, State Charter	March 8, 1897	3,795
		Amended April 1, 1931 Amended March 6, 1933	
Menominee: Menominee	The Menominee Indians of the Menominee Agency, Wisconsin	Feb. 11, 1928 Amended	2,551
Red Lake: Red Lake	The Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians, Minnesota (I. R. A. Constitution pending.)	-	2,484
Standing Rock: Standing Rock	snding Rock: Standing Rock The Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, North Dakota	June 25, 1914 Amended	4,324
•	Y committee of of the statement on the money	See. 16. 1.32	13,154

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page37 of 49

Table D

Indian Tribes, Bands and Communities
not under
the Indian Reorganization Act
which operate under Constitutions

33

List of Indian Tribes not under the Indian Reorganization Act which operate under Constitutions

Agency and Reservation	d Official Name of Organization	Constitution Adopted Population	Population
Colville: Colville	The Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation. Washinaton	Feb. 26, 1938	3,505
Hoopa Valley: Hoopa	The Hoopa Tribe of the Hoopa Reservation, California	Nov. 20, 1933	636
Fort Peck: Fort Peck	The Fort Peck Indians of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation, Montana	Indefinite	3,116
Fort Totten: Fort Totten	The Devils Lake Sioux Tribe, North Dakota	April 14, 1944	1,142
Grand Ronde-Siletz: Siletz Th	letz: The Siletz Business Council, Oregon	June 30, 1933	516
Klamath: Klamath	The Klamaths, Modac, and Yahooskin Band of Snake Indians, Klamath Reservation, Oregon	Dec. 23, 1929, Amended Mar. 30, 1936	1,547
Mission: Polm Springs	The Agua Caliente Band of Mission Indians, California	June 2, 1939	
Navajo: Navajo	The Navojo Tribe of Indians of the Navojo Reservation, Arizona and New Mexico	July 26, 1938 Amended	55.458
New York: Seneca	The Seneca Nation of Indians of the Allegheny Reservation, New York	1848, Revised	
Mer. P. anean	dageness, M. Oako	Oct. 22, 1868 Jan, 13, 1893 Nov. 15, 1898	
Sisseton: Sisseton- Wahpeton	The Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux Tribe, South Dakota	Oct. 16, 1946	3,177
Taholah: Chehalis	The Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation, Washington	Aug. 22, 1939	27
Turtle Mountain: Turtle Mounta	rrtle Mountain: Turtle MountainThe Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians, North Dakota	Oct. 8, 1932	7,439
Wind Kiver: Wind River	The Shoshone and Arapahoe Indians of the Wind River Reservation, Wyoming.	. 1930	2,697

THE INDIAN REORGANIZATION ACT (Public—NO. 383—73D CONGRESS) (S. 3645)

AN ACT

To conserve and develop Indian lands and resources; to extend to Indians the right to form business and other organizations; to establish a credit system for Indians; to grant certain rights of home rule to Indians; to provide for vocational education for Indians; and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That hereafter no land of any Indian reservation, created or set apart by treaty or agreement with the Indians, Act of Congress, Executive order, purchase, or otherwise, shall be allotted in severalty to any Indian.

SECTION 2. The existing periods of trust placed upon any Indian lands and any restriction on alienation thereof are hereby extended and continued until otherwise directed by Congress.

SECTION 3. The Secretary of the Interior, if he shall find it to be in the public interest, is hereby authorized to restore to tribal ownership the remaining surplus lands of any Indian reservation heretofore opened, or authorized to be opened, to sale, or any other form of disposal by Presidential proclamation, or by any of the public-land laws of the United States: Provided, however, That valid rights or claims of any persons to any lands so withdrawn existing on the date of the withdrawal shall not be affected by this Act: Provided further, That this section shall not apply to lands within any reclamation project heretofore authorized in any Indian reservation: Provided further, That the order of the Department of the Interior signed, dated, and approved by Honorable Ray Lyman Wilbur, as Secretary of the Interior, on October 28, 1932, temporarily withdrawing lands of the Papago Indian Reservation in Arizona from all forms of mineral entry or claim under the public land mining laws, is hereby revoked and rescinded, and the lands of the said Papago Indian Reservation are hereby restored to exploration and location, under the existing mining laws of the United States, in accordance with the express terms and provisions declared and set forth in the Executive orders establishing said Papago Indian Reservation: Provided further, That damages shall be paid to the Papago Tribe for loss of any improvements on any land located for mining in such a sum as may be determined by the Secretary of the Interior but not to exceed the cost of said improvements: Provided further, That a yearly rental not to exceed five cents per acre shall be paid to the Papago Tribe for loss of the use or occupancy of any land withdrawn by the requirements of mining operations, and payments derived from damages or rentals shall be deposited in the Treasury of the United States to the credit

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page40 of 49

of the Papago Tribe: **Provided further,** That in the event any person or persons, partnership, corporation, or association, desires a mineral patent, according to the mining laws of the United States, he or they shall first deposit in the Treasury of the United States to the credit of the Papago Tribe the sum of \$1.00 per acre in lieu of annual rental, as hereinbefore provided, to compensate for the loss or occupancy of the lands withdrawn by the requirements of mining operations: **Provided further,** That patentee shall also pay into the Treasury of the United States to the credit of the Papago Tribe damages for the loss of improvements not heretofore paid in such a sum as may be determined by the Secretary of the Interior, but not to exceed the cost thereof; the payment of \$1.00 per acre for surface use to be refunded to patentee in the event that patent is not acquired.

Nothing herein contained shall restrict the granting or use of permits for easements or rights-of-way; or ingress or egress over the lands for all proper and lawful purposes; and nothing contained herein, except as expressly provided, shall be construed as authority for the Secretary of the Interior, or any other person, to issue or promulgate a rule or regulation in conflict with the Executive order of February 1, 1917, creating the Papago Indian Reservation in Arizona or the Act of February 21, 1931 (46 Stat. 1202).

SECTION 4. Except as herein provided, no sale, devise, gift, exchange or other transfer of restricted Indian lands or of shares in the assets of any Indian tribe or corporation organized hereunder, shall be made or approved: Provided, however, That such lands or interests may, with the approval of the Secretary of the Interior, be sold, devised, or otherwise transferred to the Indian tribe in which the lands or shares are located or from which the shares were derived or to a successor corporation; and in all instances such lands or interests shall descend or be devised, in accordance with the then existing laws of the State, or Federal laws where applicable; in which said lands are located or in which the subject matter of the corporation is located, to any member of such tribe or of such corporation or any heirs of such member: Provided further, That the Secretary of the Interior may authorize voluntary exchanges of lands of equal value and the voluntary exchange of shares of equal value whenever such exchange, in his judgment, is expedient and beneficial for or compatible with the proper consolidation of Indian lands and for the benefit of cooperative organizations.

SECTION 5. The Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized, in his discretion, to acquire through purchase, relinquishment, gift, exchange, or assignment, any interest in lands, water rights or surface rights to lands, within or without existing reservations, including trust or otherwise restricted allotments whether the allottee be living or deceased, for the purpose of providing land for Indians.

For the acquisition of such lands, interests in land, water rights, and

surface rights, and for expenses incident to such acquisition, there is hereby authorized to be appropriated, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, a sum not to exceed \$2,000,000 in any one fiscal year: Provided, That no part of such funds shall be used to acquire additional land outside of the exterior boundaries of Navajo Indian Reservation for the Navajo Indians in Arizona and New Mexico, in the event that the proposed Navajo boundary extension measures now pending in Congress and embodied in the bills (S.2499 and H.R. 8927) to define the exterior boundaries of the Navajo Indian Reservation in Arizona, and for other purposes, and the bills (S. 2531 and H.R. 8982) to define the exterior boundaries of the Navajo Indian Reservation in New Mexico and for other purposes, or similar legislation, become law.

The unexpended balances of any appropriations made pursuant to this section shall remain available until expended.

Title to any lands or rights acquired pursuant to this Act shall be taken in the name of the United States in trust for the Indian tribe or individual Indian for which the land is acquired, and such lands or rights shall be exempt from State and local taxation.

SECTION 6. The Secretary of the Interior is directed to make rules and regulations for the operation and management of Indian forestry units on the the priniciple of sustained-yield management, to restrict the number of live-stock grazed on Indian range units to the estimated carrying capacity of such ranges, and to promulgate such other rules and regulations as may be necessary to protect the range from deterioration, to prevent soil erosion, to assure full utilization of the range, and like purposes.

SECTION 7. The Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized to proclaim new Indian reservations on lands acquired pursuant to any authority conferred by this Act, or to add such lands to existing reservations: Provided, That lands added to existing reservations shall be designated for the exclusive use of Indians entitled by enrollment or by tribal membership to residence at such reservations.

SECTION 8. Nothing contained in this Act shall be construed to relate to Indian holdings of allotments or homesteads upon the public domain outside of the geographic boundaries of any Indian reservation now existing or established hereafter.

SECTION 9. There is hereby authorized to be appropriated, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, such sums as may be necessary, but not to exceed \$250,000 in any fiscal year, to be expended at the order of the Secretary of the Interior, in defraying the expenses of organizing Indian chartered corporations or other organizations created under this Act.

SECTION 10. There is hereby authorized to be appropriated, out of any funds in the Treasury not otherwise appropriated, the sum of \$10,000,-

000 to be established as a revolving fund from which the Secretary of the Interior, under such rules and regulations as he may prescribe, may make loans to Indian chartered corporations for the purpose of promoting the economic development of such tribes and of their members, and may defray the expenses of administering such loans. Repayment of amounts loaned under this authorization shall be credited to the revolving fund and shall be available for the purposes for which the fund is established. A report shall be made annually to Congress of transactions under this authorization.

SECTION 11. There is hereby authorized to be appropriated, out of any funds in the United States Treasury not otherwise appropriated, a sum not to exceed \$250,000 annually, together with any unexpended balances of previous appropriations made pursuant to this section, for loans to Indians for the payment of tuition and other expenses in recognized vocational and trade schools: Provided, That not more than \$50,000 of such sum shall be available for loans to Indian students in high schools and colleges. Such loans shall be reimbursable under rules established by the Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

SECTION 12. The Secretary of the Interior is directed to establish standards of health, age, character, experience, knowledge, and ability for Indians who may be appointed, without regard to civil-service laws, to the various positions maintained, now or hereafter, by the Indian Office, in the administration of functions or services affecting any Indian tribe. Such qualified Indians shall hereafter have the preference to appointment to vacancies in any such positions.

SECTION 13. The provisions of this Act shall not apply to any of the Territories, colonies, or insular possessions of the United States, except that sections 9, 10, 11, 12, and 16, shall apply to the Territory of Alaska: Provided, That Sections 2, 4, 7, 16, 17, and 18 of this Act shall not apply to the following-named Indian tribes, the members of such Indian tribes, together with members of other tribes affiliated with such named tribes located in the State of Oklahoma, as follows: Cheyenne, Arapaho, Apache, Comanche, Kiowa, Caddo, Delaware, Wichita, Osage, Kaw, Otoe, Tonkawa, Pawnee, Ponca, Shawnee, Ottawa, Quapaw, Seneca, Wyandotte, Iowa, Sac and Fox, Kickapoo, Pottawatomi, Cherokee, Chickasaw, Choctaw, Creek, and Seminole. Section 4 of this Act shall not apply to the Indians of the Klamath Reservation in Oregon.

SECTION 14. The Secretary of the Interior is hereby directed to continue the allowance of the articles enumerated in section 17 of the Act of March 2, 1889 (23 Stat. L. 894), or their commuted cash value under the Act of June 10, 1896 (29 Stat. L. 334), to all Sioux Indians who would be eligible, but for the provisions of this Act, to receive allotments of lands in severalty under section 19 of the Act of May 29, 1908 (25 Stat. L. 451), or

under any prior Act, and who have the prescribed status of the head of a family or single person over the age of eighteen years, and his approval shall be final and conclusive, claims therefor to be paid as formerly from the permanent appropriation made by said section 17 and carried on the books of the Treasury for this purpose. No person shall receive in his own right more than one allowance of the benefits, and application must be made and approved during the lifetime of the allottee or the right shall lapse. Such benefits shall continue to be paid upon such reservation until such time as the lands available therein for allotment at the time of the passage of this Act would have been exhausted by the award to each person receiving such benefits of an allotment of eighty acres of such land.

SECTION 15. Nothing in this Act shall be construed to impair or prejudice any claim or suit of any Indian tribe against the United States. It is hereby declared to be the intent of Congress that no expenditures for the benefit of Indians made out of appropriations authorized by this Act shall be considered as offsets in any suit brought to recover upon any claim of such Indians against the United States.

SECTION 16. Any Indian tribe or tribes, residing on the same reservation, shall have the right to organize for its common welfare, and may adopt an appropriate constitution and bylaws, which shall become effective when ratified by a majority vote of the adult members of the tribe, or of the adult Indians residing on such reservation, as the case may be, at a special election authorized and called by the Secretary of the Interior under such rules and regulations as he may prescribe. Such constitution and bylaws when ratified as aforesaid and approved by the Secretary of the Interior shall be revocable by an election open to the same voters and conducted in the same manner as hereinabove provided. Amendments to the constitution and bylaws may be ratified and approved by the Secretary in the same manner as the original constitution and bylaws.

In addition to all powers vested in any Indian tribe or tribal council by existing law, the constitution adopted by said tribe shall also vest in such tribe or its tribal council the following rights and powers: To employ legal counsel, the choice of counsel and fixing of fees to be subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Interior; to prevent the sale, disposition, lease, or encumbrance of tribal lands, interests in lands, or other tribal assets without the consent of the tribe; and to negotiate with the Federal, State, and local Governments. The Secretary of the Interior shall advise such tribe or its tribal council of all appropriation estimates or Federa! projects for the benefit of the tribe prior to the submission of such estimates to the Bureau of the Budget and the Congress.

SECTION 17. The Secretary of the Interior may, upon petition by at least one-third of the adult Indians, issue a charter of incorporation to such

tribe: Provided, That such charter shall not become operative until ratified at a special election by a majority vote of the adult Indians living on the reservation. Such charter may convey to the incorporated tribe the power to purchase, take by gift, or bequest, or otherwise, own, hold, manage, operate and dispose of property of every description, real and personal, including the power to purchase restricted Indian lands and to issue in exchange therefor interests in corporate property, and such further powers as may be incidental to the conduct of corporate business, not inconsistent with law, but no authority shall be granted to sell, mortgage, or lease for a period exceeding ten years any of the land included in the limits of the reservation. Any charter so issued shall not be revoked or surrendered except by Act of Congress.

SECTION 18. This Act shall not apply to any reservation wherein a majority of the adult Indians, voting at a special election duly called by the Secretary of the Interior, shall vote against its application. It shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Interior, within one year after the passage and approval of this Act, to call such an election, which election shall be held by secret ballot upon thirty days' notice.

SECTION 19. The term "Indian" as used in this Act shall include all persons of Indian descent who are members of any recognized Indian tribe now under Federal jurisdiction, and all persons who are descendants of such members who were, on June 1, 1934, residing within the present boundaries of any Indian reservation, and shall further include all other persons of one-half or more Indian blood. For the purposes of this Act, Eskimos and other aboriginal peoples of Alaska shall be considered Indians. The term "tribe" wherever used in this Act shall be construed to refer to any Indian tribe, organized band, pueblo, or the Indians residing on one reservation. The words "adult Indians" wherever used in this Act shall be construed to refer to Indians who have attained the age of twenty one years.

Approved, June 18, 1934.

(PUBLIC---NO. 147---74TH CONGRESS) (H. R. 7781) AN ACT

To define the election procedure under the Act of June 18, 1934, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That in any election heretofore or hereafter held under the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984), on the question of excluding a reservation from the application of the said Act or on the question of adopting a constitution and bylaws or amendments thereto or on the question of ratifying a charter, the vote of a majority of those actually voting shall be necessary and sufficient to effectuate such exclusion, adoption, or ratification, as the case may be: Provided, however, That in each instance the total vote cast shall not be less than 30 per centum of those entitled to vote.

SECTION 2. The time for holding elections on the question of excluding a reservation from the application of said Act of June 18, 1934, is hereby extended to June 18, 1936.

SECTION 3. If the period of trust or of restriction on any Indian land has not, before the passage of this Act, been extended to a date subsequent to December 31, 1936, and if the reservation containing such lands has voted or shall vote to exclude itself from the application of the Act of June 18, 1934, the periods of trust or the restrictions on alienation of such lands are hereby extended to December 31, 1936.

SECTION 4. All laws, general and special, and all treaty provisions affecting any Indian reservation which has voted or may vote to exclude itself from the application of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984), shall be deemed to have been continuously effective as to such reservation, notwithstanding the passage of said Act of June 18, 1934. Nothing in the Act of June 18, 1934, shall be construed to abrogate or impair any rights guaranteed under any existing treaty with any Indian tribe, where such tribe voted not to exclude itself from the application of said Act.

Approved, June 15, 1935.

THE ALASKA REORGANIZATION ACT (PUBLIC—NO. 538—74TH CONGRESS) (H. R. 9866) AN ACT

To extend certain provisions of the Act approved June 18, 1934, commonly known as the Wheeler-Howard Act (Public Law Numbered 383, Seventy-third Congress, 48 Stat. 984), to the Territory of Alaska, to provide for the designation of Indian reservations in Alaska, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That sections 1, 5, 7, 8, 15, 17, and 19 of the Act entitled "An Act to conserve and develop Indian lands and resources; to extend to Indians the right to form business and other organizations; to establish a credit system for Indians; to grant certain rights of home rule to Indians; to provide for vocational education for Indians; and for other purposes," approved June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984), shall hereafter apply to the Territory of Alaska: Provided, That groups of Indians in Alaska not heretofore recognized as bands or tribes, but having a common bond of occupation, or association, or residence within a well-defined neighborhood, community, or rural district, may organize to adopt constitutions and bylaws and to receive charters of incorporation and Federal loans under sections 16, 17, and 10 of the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984).

Sec. 2. That the Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized to designate as an Indian reservation any area of land which has been reserved for the use and occupancy of Indians or Eskimos by section 8 of the Act of May 17, 1884 (23 Stat. 26), or by section 14 or section 15 of the Act of March 3, 1891 (26 Stat. 1101), or which has been heretofore reserved under any executive order and placed under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior or any bureau thereof, together with additional public lands adjacent thereto, within the Territory of Alaska, or any other public lands which are actually occupied by Indians or Eskimos within said Territory: Provided, That the designation by the Secretary of the Interior of any such area of land as a reservation shall be effective only upon its approval by the vote, by secret ballot, of a majority of the Indian or Eskimo residents thereof who vote at a special election duly called by the Secretary of the Interior upon thirty days' notice: Provided, however, That in each instance the total vote cast shall not be less than 30 per centum of those entitled to vote: Provided further, That nothing herein contained shall affect any valid existing claim, location, or entry under the laws of the United States, whiether for homestead, mineral, right-of-way, or other purpose whatsoever, or snall affect the rights of any such owner, claimant, locator, or entryman to the full use and enjoyment of the land so occupied.

Approved, May 1, 1936.

THE OKLAHOMA INDIAN WELFARE ACT (PUBLIC—NO. 816—74TH CONGRESS (S. 2047)

AN ACT

To promote the general welfare of the Indians of the State of Oklahoma, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized, in his discretion, to acquire by purchase, relinquishment, gift, exchange, or assignment, any interest in lands, water rights, or surface rights to lands, within or without existing Indian reservations, including trust or otherwise restricted lands now in Indian ownership: Provided, That such lands shall be agricultural and grazing lands of good character and quality in proportion to the respective needs of the particular Indian or Indians for whom such purchases are made. Title to all lands so acquired shall be taken in the name of the United States, in trust for the tribe, band, group, or individual Indian for whose benefit such land is so acquired, and while the title thereto is held by the United States said lands shall be free from any and all taxes, save that the State of Oklahoma is authorized to levy and collect a gross-production tax, not in excess of the rate applied to production from lands in private ownership, upon all oil and gas produced from said lands, which said tax the Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized and directed to cause to be paid.

SECTION 2. Whenever any restricted Indian land or interests in land, other than sales or leases of oil, gas, or other minerals therein, are offered for sale, pursuant to the terms of this or any other Act of Congress, the Secretary of the Interior shall have a preference right, in his discretion, to purchase the same for or in behalf of any other Indian or Indians of the same or any other tribe, at a fair valuation to be fixed by the appraisement satisfactory to the Indian owner or owners, or if offered for sale at auction said Secretary shall have a preference right, in his discretion, to purchase the same for or in behalf of any other Indian or Indians by meeting the highest bid otherwise offered therefor.

SECTION 3. Any recognized tribe or band of Indians residing in Oklahoma shall have the right to organize for its common welfare and to adopt a constitution and bylaws, under such rules and regulations as the Secretary of the Interior may prescribe. The Secretary of the Interior may issue to any such organized group a charter of incorporation, which shall become operative when ratified by a majority vote of the adult members of the organization voting: Provided, however, That such election shall be void unless the total vote cast be at least 30 per centum of those entitled to vote. Such charter may convey to the incorporated group, in addition to any powers which may properly be vested in a body corporate under the laws of the State of Oklahoma,

Case4:09-cv-01471-CW Document68-3 Filed03/25/10 Page48 of 49

the right to participate in the revolving credit fund and to enjoy any other rights or privileges secured to an organized Indian tribe under the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984): Provided, That the corporate funds of any such chartered group may be deposited in any national bank within the state of Oklahoma or otherwise invested, utilized, or disbursed in accordance with the terms of the corporate charter.

SECTION 4. Any ten or more Indians, as determined by the official tribal rolls or Indian descendents of such enrolled members, or Indians as defined in the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984), who reside within the State of Oklahoma in convenient proximity to each other may receive from the Secretary of the Interior a charter as a local cooperative association for any one or more of the following purposes: Credit administration, production, marketing, consumers' protection, or land management. The provisions of this Act, the regulations of the Secretary of the Interior, and the charters of the cooperative associations issued pursuant thereto shall govern such cooperative associations: **Provided**, That in those matters not covered by said Act, regulations, or charters, the laws of the State of Oklahoma, if applicable, shall govern. In any stock or nonstock cooperative association no one member shall have more than one vote, and membership therein shall be open to all Indians residing within the prescribed district.

SECTION 5. The charters of any cooperative association organized pursuant to this Act shall not be amended or revoked by the Secretary except after a majority vote of the membership. Such cooperative associations may sue and be sued in any court of the State of Oklahoma or of the United States having jurisdiction of the cause of action, but a certified copy of all papers filed in any action against a cooperative association in a court of Oklahome shall be served upon the Secretary of the Interior, or upon an employee duly authorized by him to receive such service. Within thirty days after such service or within such extended time as the trial court may permit, the Secretary of the Interior may intervene in such action or may remove such action to the United States district court to be held in the district where such petition is pending by filing in such action in the State court a petition for such removal, together with the certified copy of the papers served upon the Secretary. It shall then be the duty of the State court to accept such petition and to proceed no further in such action. The said copy shall be entered in the said district court within thirty days after the filing of the petition for removal, and the said district court is hereby given jurisdiction to hear and determine said action.

SECTION 6. The Secretary is authorized to make loans to individual Indians and to associations or corporate groups organized pursuant to this Act: For the making of such loans and for expenses of the cooperative associa-

tions organized pursuant to this Act, there shall be appropriated, out of the Treasury of the United States, the sum of \$2,000,000.

SECTION 7. All funds appropriated under the several grants of authority contained in the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984), are hereby made available for use under the provisions of this Act, and Oklahoma Indians shall be accorded and allocated a fair and just share of any and all funds hereafter appropriated under the authorization herein set forth: **Provided,** That any royalties, bonuses, or other revenues derived from mineral deposits underlying lands purchased in Oklahoma under the authority granted by this Act, or by the Act of June 18, 1934, shall be deposited in the Treasury of the United States, and such revenues are hereby made available for expenditure by the Secretary of the Interior for the acquisition of lands and for loans to Indians in Oklahoma as authorized by this Act and by the Act of June 18, 1934 (48 Stat. 984).

SECTION 8. This Act shall not relate to or affect Osage County, Oklahoma.

SECTION 9. The Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized to prescribe such rules and regulations as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this Act. All Acts or parts of Acts inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed.

Approved, June 26, 1936.

Exhibit C

Received: Case 4:09-cv-01471-CW Document 68-4: File 03/25/10 Page 2 of 3

MAY-31-02 16:05 From: Way-31-02 08:24 FromT-380 P.04/05 Job-566

MAX 2 9 1983

Superintersient, Northern California Agency

Request for Solicitor's Opinion on Acquisition of Pennament Road Right of Way to Big Lagoon Rancheria

Mr. James Bordenkircher, Regional Solicitor's Office

TERRICE: Area Director, Sacramer: D Area Office

As a result of a telephone conversation between James Bordenkircher of the Solicitor's Office and Narbara A. Ferris, Realty Officer, on March 22, 1983, Mr. Bordenkircher asked this office to forward a complete history of the acquisition of the land, as well as the past and present use of the existing road by the Indian resultants.

The subject land was included in a parent issued to Walter Carrier on October 1, 1879. In 1916, Hammond Lumber Company, having acquired the land, sold Lots 1, 2 and the SWk of the SE; of Section 13 and the NWk of the NE% of Section 24, all in T.SN., R.W., H.M., Humboldt County, California, containing 145.51 acres, more or less, to F. G. Ladd.

On April 3, 1917, James Charley, ake Lagnon Charley, whote to the Indian Service saying he was fearful that Mr. Laid would forme him from his home.

After several menths of negotiations, the United States purchased on July 10, 1918, a 9.26 acre parcel, covering the improvements of Lagorn Charley, being part of said Lot 2. The title status report indicates the land was purchased for the right of use and compancy of Jim (Lagorn) Charley and his family and much other Indians as the Secretary of the Interior may see fit to settle on the tract.

As shown by the attached maps, drawn by Mr. Ladd, he retained the larger parcel of 136.25 acres, physically landlocking the Indian land on all sides, except on the Big lagoon shows line.

It appears this is an expellent case to claim an easement by necessity, or implied rights of access, over the land retained by Mr. Ladd, which is now appearently camed by Louisane-Pacific Corporation.

Lagran Charley and his family continued to occupy the Bancheria until 1945. An on-site inspection in 1951, disclosed the property was vacant. In 1954, Lilla and Tom Williams began construction of a house on the land. Beverly and Ted Moorehead were reported to be living on the property in 1967, when the four of them were determined to be the Distributes of the Rancheria Assets, under the provisions of the Rancheria Act of August 18, 1958 (72 Stat. 619).

As a requirement of the termination of the Rancheria, a legal right of way to the land would be acquired by the Bureau. On November 30, 1967, a letter from the Hoopa Agency was addressed to officials of the Georgia-Pacific Comporation, regarding the acquisition of a legal right of way over the existing road to the Rancheria. A follow-up letter on January 10, 1967, produced a reply from Alfred H Herrill, Chief Porester, indicating the Company had future plans to subdivide their property.

2

their property, but for the present they would be willing to grant a temporary non-exclusive right of access, subject to the relocation of the right of way by Georgia-Pacific, at a later date.

On February 6, 1969, the Area Tribal Operations Officer wrote to Mr. Merrill to inquire as to the terms and conditions under which they would grant a legal and permanent right of way. Our files indicate no reply was received.

By Memorandum of September 14, 1970, from the Area Director to the Commissioner, it was pointed out that to secure legal, permanent access to the rancheria over the adjoining privately owned lands, we estimate that acquisition of a 40' right of way across valuable development potential property for approximately 1/2 mile, which will sever the land, will appoint to \$14,000.00.

In addition, the Roads Branch advises that clearing, which will involve the cutting of some several high reduced trees, and grading and gravelling the approximately 1/2 mile, 40° or less right of way, will amount to \$100,000.00.

On or about September 21, 1970, the matter was assigned to the Regional Solicitor's Office. The Area Director pointed out to the Solicitor, on December 17, 1970, that we would pursue the acquisition of a permanent right of way over the existing road, or an alternate route, whether or not the rancheria was to be tenunated.

By letter of March 26, 1971, James Bordenkizzier of the Solicitor's Office wrote to Mr. Merrill. With whom he had a brief meeting on March 10, 1971, at Trinidad, California, regarding the road. The letter asked for a definite location of the right of way, which now could be a simple gravel surfaced drive, since the distributees may decide not to terminate the ranchesia. Mr. Bordenkircher indicated the right of way could be subject to future relocation, as long as a permanent right of ingress and egress is assured. Our Borge records indicate that no reply was received to this letter, which is the last corespondence regarding the acquisition of a right of way for the rancheria.

On March 15, 1983, Tribal Resolution No. 83-1, requested the Bureau to acquire the right of way, now apparently owned by Louisana-Pacific Corporation, who also acquired the top management of Georgia-Pacific, since Mr. Marrill and Mr. Merio are still making the decisions. On March 22, 1983, Barbara A. Ferris, Realty Officer, contacted Mr. Marrill, who separated they were only interested in providing a "floating" type of access, with a definite location of a right of way being provided only when Louisana-Pacific decides to subdivide their land.

We have requested an appraisal of the current readway for acquisition purposes, as Mr. Hordenkircher suggested. The Solicitor is to provide an Opinion as to prescriptive rights, easement by necessity, implied rights and results condensation of the proposed right of way. We attach the Title Status Report, supplied and pertinent correspondence on this marter.

Superintendent

Attachments

SIStanabary: 1jc