

No. 21-487

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
Petitioner,
v.

DAVID DEVAL MARTIN,
Respondent.

**On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the
Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals**

**BRIEF FOR AMICUS CURIAE
MUSCOGEE (CREEK) NATION IN SUPPORT
OF RESPONDENT**

ROGER WILEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL
KYLE HASKINS
FIRST ASSISTANT
ATTORNEY GENERAL
MUSCOGEE (CREEK)
NATION
Post Office Box 580
Okmulgee, OK 74447
(918) 295-9720

RIYAZ A. KANJI
Counsel of Record
DAVID A. GIAMPETRONI
KANJI & KATZEN, PLLC
303 Detroit St., Ste 400
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
(734) 769-5400
rkanji@kanjikatzen.com

PHILIP H. TINKER
LYNSEY R. GAUDIOSO
KANJI & KATZEN, PLLC
811 First Avenue, Ste 630
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 344-8100

Counsel for Amicus Curiae Muscogee (Creek) Nation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	1
REASONS FOR DENYING THE PETITION.....	3
I. Oklahoma Confuses the Court for a Political Branch of Government.....	3
II. There Exists No Crisis of Criminal Jurisdiction on the Creek Reservation.....	6
III. Civil Society Has Not Plunged into Chaos on the Creek Reservation.....	20
IV. Congress Is Actively Engaged in Protecting Public Safety on the Creek Reservation.....	25
CONCLUSION.....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Bosse v. State</i> , 2021 OK CR 23 (Okla. Crim. App. 2021).....	7
<i>Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc.</i> , 573 U.S. 258 (2014)	4
<i>June Medical Services L.L.C. v. Russo</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2103 (2020)	5
<i>McGirt v. Oklahoma</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2452 (2020) ... <i>passim</i>	
<i>Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Community</i> , 572 U.S. 782 (2014)	4
<i>Plains Commerce Bank v. Long Family Land and Cattle Co.</i> , 554 U.S. 316 (2008).....	22
<i>Sharp v. Murphy</i> , 140 S. Ct. 2412 (2020).....	1, 3, 20
<i>State ex rel. Matloff v. Wallace</i> , 2021 OK CR 21 (Okla. Crim. App. 2021), <i>petition for cert. filed sub nom. Clifton Merrill Parish v. Oklahoma</i> (U.S. Sept. 29, 2021) (No. 21-467)	7, 8
STATUTES AND LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS	
H.B. 2352, 2021 Leg., Reg. Sess. (Okla. 2021)	20
H.R. 3091, 117th Cong. (1st Sess. 2021)	27

H.R. 4502, 117th Cong. (1st Sess. 2021)	26
H.R. 4505, 117th Cong. (1st Sess. 2021)	15
H.R. Rep. No. 117-83 (2021)	26, 27
H.R. Rep. No. 117-97 (2021)	15, 16
Hearing on Federal Bureau of Investigation Budget Request for Fiscal Year 2022 Before the Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies of the Senate Committee on Appropriations, 117th Cong. (2021)	13
Muscogee Creek NCA tit. 14, §§ 3-101–2806	17
Oklahoma Statute tit. 68, § 2373.....	23
Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act, 30 U.S.C. §§ 1201–1328	25

MISCELLANEOUS

<i>24 Federal Prosecutors Take the Oath of Office in the Northern District of Oklahoma, United States Attorney's Office (Sept. 29, 2021)</i>	14
<i>Acting United States Attorney For The Eastern District Of Oklahoma Issues Statement Regarding OCCA Decisions Of Hogner And Bosse, United States Attorney's Office (Mar. 11, 2021)</i>	14
<i>Canaan Resources X v. Calyx Energy III, LLC, No. CO-119245 (Okla. Corp. Comm'n Nov. 25, 2020)</i>	21

Chris Casteel, <i>With Oklahoma tribes deeply divided, Rep. Tom Cole's McGirt bill faces long road</i> , The Oklahoman (June 14, 2021).....	27
Federal Bureau of Investigation, <i>FY 2022 Budget Request</i>	16
<i>Federal Grand Jury A Indictments Announced for December</i> , United States Attorney's Office (Dec. 9, 2020)	13
<i>Federal Grand Jury A Indictments Announced—May</i> , United States Attorney's Office (May 5, 2021)	13
<i>Federal Grand Jury A Indictments Announced—September</i> , United States Attorney's Office (Sept. 10, 2021).....	12
<i>Federal Grand Jury B Indictments Announced—October</i> , United States Attorney's Office (Oct. 15, 2021)	12
<i>Feenstra v. Sigler</i> , No. 19-CV-00234 (N.D. Okla. July 28, 2021)	15
<i>Former Bixby High School Teacher Pleads Guilty After Recording Inappropriate Video of his Students</i> , United States Attorney's Office (Aug. 18, 2021)	13
<i>Fossil Fuels Drive Gross Receipts Higher</i> , Office of the State Treasurer (Sept. 2, 2021)	24

General Order 21-9 (Apr. 30, 2021), Eastern District of Oklahoma.....	14
General Order 21-10 (May 21, 2021), Eastern District of Oklahoma.....	14
Reese Gorman, <i>Cole encourages state-tribal relations over state challenge to McGirt</i> , The Norman Transcript (Jul. 23, 2021)	26, 27
Annie Gowen & Robert Barnes, ‘ <i>Complete, dysfunctional chaos’: Oklahoma reels after Supreme Court ruling on Indian tribes</i> , Washington Post (July 24, 2021)	7
Allison Herrera, <i>Trent Shores Reflects On His Time As U.S. Attorney</i> , KOSU (Feb. 24, 2021).....	11
Intergovernmental Agreement Between the State of Oklahoma and the Muscogee (Creek) Nation Regarding Jurisdiction Over Indian Children Within the Nation’s Reservation (Aug. 4, 2020)	20
<i>Jimcy McGirt Sentenced To Life Imprisonment</i> , United States Attorney’s Office (Aug. 25, 2021)	6
<i>Joint Statement from the United States Attorneys for the Northern, Eastern and Western Districts of Oklahoma on McGirt v. Oklahoma Supreme Court Ruling</i> , United States Attorney’s Office (July 9, 2020)	6
<i>Judicial Conference Recommendations</i> , Judicial Conference of the United States (2021)	14

<i>Judiciary Supplements Judgeship Request, Prioritizes Courthouse Projects, U.S. Courts (Sept. 28, 2021).....</i>	14
<i>Randy Krehbiel, Oklahoma House passes \$500 million income tax reduction, Tulsa World (Mar. 11, 2021)</i>	24
<i>Kelci Kendrick, Lankford visits Enid, discusses various topics, Enid News & Eagle (Aug. 19, 2021)</i>	28
<i>Muscogee (Creek) Nation Charges Woman With 5 Counts of Murder After State Case Dismissed, News On 6 (Apr. 30, 2021)</i>	7
<i>Muscogee (Creek) Nation statement regarding U.S. Supreme Court decision, Muscogee (Creek) Nation (July 9, 2020).....</i>	6
News, United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Oklahoma	12
News, United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Oklahoma	12
<i>Nicholson v. Stitt, No. SD-119270 (Okla.)</i>	22
<i>Oklahoma v. Priddy, No. PR-2021-874 (Okla. Crim. App. Sept. 3, 2021)</i>	7
<i>Oklahoma Justice Reform Task Force, Final Report (Feb. 2017)</i>	24

Oklahoma Secretary of State, <i>Tribal Compacts and Agreements</i>	17
Oklahoma Tax Commission, <i>Report of Potential Impact of McGirt v. Oklahoma</i> (Sept. 30, 2020).....	22, 23, 25
<i>Patrick Dwayne Murphy Found Guilty By Federal Jury</i> , United States Attorney's Office (Aug. 5, 2021)	7
Dick Pryor, <i>Capitol Insider: Governor Kevin Stitt on State-Tribal Relations</i> , KGOU (Feb. 5, 2021)	4
Tres Savage, <i>Okmulgee Mayor Richard Larabee emphasizes cooperation with Muscogee Nation</i> , NONDOC (Aug. 24, 2021)	18
Kevin Severin, <i>Substantial gains anticipated for future Oklahoma collection</i> , Fox 25 (Aug. 4, 2021)	24
<i>State Revenue</i> , OK.gov	23
Janelle Stecklein, <i>Experts: Supreme Court could clarify McGirt ruling, won't overturn it</i> , Enid News & Eagle (Aug. 19, 2021)	4
<i>United States Attorney's Office for Eastern District of Oklahoma Obtains Forty-Six Indictments From Federal Grand Juries</i> , United States Attorney's Office (Oct. 1, 2021)	12
U.S. Department of Justice, <i>FY 2022 Budget Request</i>	14, 15, 16

INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE

Though the ink is barely dry in *McGirt v. Oklahoma*, 140 S. Ct. 2452 (2020), the Muscogee (Creek) Nation (the “Nation”) again finds its Reservation under assault.¹ Oklahoma has filed forty petitions to overrule *McGirt*. These petitions ignore the substantial, ongoing efforts by the federal government and the Nation to ensure the orderly administration of criminal justice on the Reservation, and conjure a portrait of civil upheaval that does not exist. In both *McGirt* and *Sharp v. Murphy*, 140 S. Ct. 2412 (2020), this Court recognized the Nation’s critical interests in defending its Reservation and presenting an accurate portrayal of the discharge of governmental responsibilities thereon. The Nation returns to the Court in the face of Oklahoma’s renewed attacks on its Reservation and governance.

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Oklahoma advances the boldest of claims with the weakest of support. It urges the Court to overrule itself just one Term removed from rendering a seminal decision that thoroughly considered the status of the Creek Reservation. The claim is all the brasher because the full Court agreed in *McGirt* that it was

¹ No counsel for any party authored this brief in whole or in part. No one other than amicus curiae made a monetary contribution to its preparation or submission. The parties were timely notified and have consented to its filing.

construing congressional intent on an issue where Congress enjoys plenary power, leaving no obstacle to congressional consideration of the decision and its consequences. Oklahoma's project shows little regard for the separation of powers, and even less for the integrity of the judicial process.

Oklahoma's justifications sound in fiction rather than fact. The United States, the Nation, and local officials are successfully collaborating to ensure that there is no crisis of criminal justice on the Reservation. Oklahoma cannot conjure one by ignoring their efforts. The Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals ("OCCA") has narrowed the universe of cognizable *McGirt*-based conviction challenges to those raised on direct appeal. The United States and the Nation are re prosecuting where such challenges succeed and are devoting substantial resources to the investigation and prosecution of new crimes.

Nor has civil society been upended. Oklahoma ignores notable successes, including the execution of a Tribal-State child custody compact that preserves existing placements and maintains cooperative jurisdiction going forward. It instead highlights dubious lawsuits and nervous hand-wringing that hardly connote chaos.

Congress, meanwhile, is taking appropriate action to protect public safety. That Congress has not deemed it advisable to disestablish the Creek Reservation does not suggest that this Court should take up the task. A proper respect for Congress's primary role in Indian affairs counsels just the opposite.

REASONS FOR DENYING THE PETITION

I. Oklahoma Confuses the Court for a Political Branch of Government.

Just over a Term ago, this Court affirmed the continued existence of the Nation’s Reservation. *McGirt* was unquestionably a carefully rendered decision, one that (accounting for *Murphy*) followed two full sets of briefing, supplemental briefing, and two oral arguments. Both the majority opinion and the Chief Justice’s dissent extensively explore the Nation’s history and Congress’s treatment of its Reservation. Oklahoma has no plausible claim that the issues were not fully joined, and indeed limits its argument that *McGirt* is incorrect on the law to just two paragraphs of its petitions.

Yet Oklahoma promptly flooded the Court with requests that *McGirt* be overruled. Two related factors underscore the drastic nature of the State’s project. First, *McGirt* affirms that decisions to disestablish reservations “belong[] to Congress alone,” and in particular that “courts have no proper role in the adjustment of reservation borders.” 140 S. Ct. at 2462. On this the majority and dissenting opinions fully agree, *id.* at 2489 (Roberts, C.J., dissenting) (“No one argues that courts can ‘adjust[]’ reservation borders.”), and Congress’s intent with respect to the Creek Reservation is undeniably their touchstone, *id.* at 2462–63; *id.* at 2482, 2485 (Roberts, C.J., dissenting).

Second, “*stare decisis* has ‘special force’ ‘in respect to statutory interpretation’ because ‘Congress remains free to alter what [this Court has] done.’” *Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc.*, 573 U.S. 258, 274 (2014) (quoting *John R. Sand & Gravel Co. v. United States*, 552 U.S. 130, 139 (2008)). This is particularly so here given the primacy accorded Congress in Indian affairs. *See Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cnty.*, 572 U.S. 782, 799 (2014) (“Congress exercises primary authority in this area and ‘remains free to alter what we have done’—another factor that gives ‘special force’ to *stare decisis*.”).

Oklahoma nevertheless asks this Court to overrule itself in short order. In doing so, it confuses the Court for a political branch, its decisions subject to reversal with the change of seasons. Oklahoma’s Governor and Attorney General have publicly acknowledged one of the reasons they believe their strategy might succeed. “The Supreme Court has a new member now, Amy Coney Barrett has replaced Ginsburg ... so there’s a possibility the court would overturn [*McGirt*] . . .” Dick Pryor, *Capitol Insider: Governor Kevin Stitt on State-Tribal Relations*, KGOU (Feb. 5, 2021);² see also Janelle Stecklein, *Experts: Supreme Court could clarify McGirt ruling, won’t overturn it*, Enid News & Eagle (Aug. 19, 2021) (“So we have a different configuration [on the Court] that might have a different view of how to approach this[.]” (quoting

² <https://www.kgou.org/politics-and-government/2021-02-05/capitol-insider-governor-kevin-stitt-on-state-tribal-relations>.

Attorney General O'Connor)).³ But this Court is not a city council and has never viewed a change in composition as a springboard for abandoning precedent. *See, e.g., June Med. Servs. L.L.C. v. Russo*, 140 S. Ct. 2103, 2134 (2020) (Roberts, C.J., concurring in the judgment) (“It has long been an established rule to abide by former precedents ... as well to keep the scale of justice even and steady, and not liable to waver with every new judge’s opinion.... The constraint of precedent distinguishes the judicial method and philosophy from those of the political and legislative process.”).

Oklahoma’s petitions have been less nakedly political, claiming instead that *McGirt* has created enormous criminal and civil disruption that only its overruling can rectify. But as the next sections demonstrate, these arguments dissolve upon contact with reality and provide an equally inapt basis for abrupt reversal.

³ https://www.enidnews.com/news/politics/experts-supreme-court-could-clarify-mcgirt-ruling-wont-overturn-it/article_9b06385c-0130-11ec-90e3-0786aebb5a34.html.

II. There Exists No Crisis of Criminal Jurisdiction on the Creek Reservation.

A. *McGirt* has not rendered eastern Oklahoma a criminal dystopia. The day it issued, Oklahoma’s three United States Attorneys released a joint statement expressing confidence that “tribal, state, local, and federal law enforcement [would] work together to continue providing exceptional public safety under this new ruling[.]”⁴ The Creek Nation likewise committed to “continu[ing] to work with federal and state law enforcement agencies to ensure” public safety within the Reservation.⁵ As detailed below, the federal government and the Nation have honored these commitments, and Oklahoma’s disregard for the resulting successes provides no basis for review.

Oklahoma’s contrary narrative is driven by hyperbole and unsubstantiated numbers. For example, matters potentially subject to reprosecution after *McGirt* initially included post-conviction cases and convictions still on appeal when *McGirt* issued. The federal government and the Nation took up reprosecutions in both categories.⁶ But as this Court

⁴ <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndok/pr/joint-statement-united-states-attorneys-northern-eastern-and-western-districts-oklahoma>.

⁵ <https://www.muscogeenation.com/muscogee-creek-nation-statement-regarding-u-s-supreme-court-decision/>.

⁶ See, e.g., *Jimcy McGirt Sentenced To Life Imprisonment*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (Aug. 25, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-edok/pr/jimcy-mcgirt-sentenced-life-imprisonment> (detailing

presaged, *McGirt*, 140 S. Ct. at 2479, the OCCA has since held that *McGirt* does not apply retroactively to state post-conviction proceedings, *see State ex rel. Matloff v. Wallace*, 2021 OK CR 21 (Aug. 12, 2021), *petition for cert. filed*, No. 21-467 (U.S. Sept. 29, 2021), and has applied *Matloff* to vacate prior grants of post-conviction relief, *see, e.g., Bosse v. State*, 2021 OK CR 23 (Aug. 31, 2021).⁷ The vast majority of prior State convictions accordingly will remain unaffected by *McGirt*. Yet Oklahoma continues to invoke back-of-the-envelope case numbers inflated by the post-

Jimcy McGirt's federal reprobation, conviction, and sentencing to life imprisonment without parole); *Patrick Dwayne Murphy Found Guilty By Federal Jury*, U.S. Attorney's Office (Aug. 5, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-edok/pr/patrick-dwayne-murphy-found-guilty-federal-jury> (Patrick Murphy's federal conviction).

⁷ The exception is where the State failed to preserve a procedural bar argument, as in one notorious case that the State has nevertheless sought to capitalize on in press reports. *See Oklahoma v. Priddy*, No. PR-2021-874 (Okla. Crim. App. Sept. 3, 2021) (order declining jurisdiction); Annie Gowen & Robert Barnes, 'Complete, dysfunctional chaos': Oklahoma reels after Supreme Court ruling on Indian tribes, Washington Post (July 24, 2021). But ill-considered litigation decisions by the State do not justify revisiting well-considered precedent, especially where the federal government and the Nation are working to rectify Oklahoma's mistakes. *See Muscogee (Creek) Nation Charges Woman With 5 Counts Of Murder After State Case Dismissed*, News On 6 (Apr. 30, 2021), <https://www.news-on6.com/story/608c6ff0f30d00bcc254caf/muscogee-creek-nation-charges-woman-with-5-counts-of-murder-after-state-case-dismissed>.

conviction challenges that *Matloff* forecloses. See *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 19–20, 22.⁸

B. Cases requiring reprocsecution, then, have narrowed to those that were on direct appeal when *McGirt* issued and in which a defendant has raised a *McGirt* claim. See *McGirt*, 140 S. Ct. at 2479 (“[D]efendants may choose to finish their state sentences rather than risk reprocsecution in federal court where sentences can be graver.”). That is a small set.⁹ And direct appeal cases are, by definition, more recent, mitigating Oklahoma’s concerns about federal or tribal statutes of limitations expiring (regardless of potential tolling and laches arguments) and evidence growing stale.

The federal government and the Nation are indeed reprocsecuting these matters (often with substantial assistance from local law enforcement).¹⁰ Of the

⁸ The State has made shorthand arguments in every petition (including the instant petition) but one, *Castro-Huerta*, No. 21-429, and has instead asked the Court to refer to its *Castro-Huerta* filing for a full exposition of its arguments. The Nation accordingly cites to that petition, and the amicus briefs submitted in that matter, throughout.

⁹ The State is regularly filing petitions in this Court from direct appeal decisions in which the OCCA has vacated or dismissed a conviction due to *McGirt*. Yet for all the State’s (uncited) protestations that “hundreds” of direct appeal cases are potentially impacted, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 22, only forty petitions have been docketed as of November 22, and there is no indication in the state court dockets that this number will grow exponentially.

¹⁰ See *infra* n.21 (communications from the U.S. Attorney’s Offices for the Northern and Eastern Districts listing joint

thirty-nine respondents in the forty petitions docketed as of November 22, 2021,¹¹ thirty-seven are already being reprocsecuted by either the federal government (thirty-three cases)¹² or the relevant tribal government (four cases).¹³ Thirteen respondents have

investigations between the FBI, the Tulsa Police Department, the Nation's Lighthorse Police, and numerous local law enforcement departments resulting in indictments).

¹¹ Oklahoma has filed two petitions involving Floyd Ball, Jr., Nos. 21-327, 21-644.

¹² Fifteen respondents are being reprocsecuted in the Eastern District of Oklahoma: *U.S. v. Bain*, 6:20-CR-00139; *U.S. v. Ball*, 6:20-CR-00110; *U.S. v. Beck*, 6:21-CR-00142; *U.S. v. Brown*, 6:20-CR-00109; *U.S. v. Cooper*, 6:21-CR-00070; *U.S. v. Fox*, 6:21-MJ-00251; *U.S. v. Grayson*, 6:21-CR-00166; *U.S. v. Harjo*, 6:21-CR-00022; *U.S. v. Hathcoat*, 6:21-CR-00018; *U.S. v. Johnson*, 6:21-CR-00183; *U.S. v. Shawn Jones*, 6:21-CR-00118; *U.S. v. David Martin*, 6:21-CR-00221; *U.S. v. Laurie Martin*, 6:21-CR-00047; *U.S. v. McDaniel*, 6:21-CR-00321; *U.S. v. Sizemore*, 6:21-CR-00138. Eighteen respondents are being reprocsecuted in the Northern District of Oklahoma: *U.S. v. Calhoun et al.* (Castro-Huerta), 4:20-CR-00255; *U.S. v. Cottingham*, 4:20-CR-00209; *U.S. v. Davis*, 4:20-CR-00316; *U.S. v. Howell*, 4:21-CR-00121; *U.S. v. Jackson*, 4:20-CR-00310; *U.S. v. Janson*, 4:21-CR-00197; *U.S. v. Jeffery Jones*, 4:21-CR-00023; *U.S. v. Kepler*, 4:20-CR-00276; *U.S. v. Leathers*, 4:21-CR-00163; *U.S. v. Little*, 4:21-CR-00162; *U.S. v. McCombs et al.*, 4:20-CR-00262; *U.S. v. Mitchell*, 4:20-CR-00254; *U.S. v. Mize*, 4:21-CR-00107; *U.S. v. Perry*, 4:20-CR-00218; *U.S. v. Spears*, 4:20-CR-00296; *U.S. v. Stewart*, 4:20-CR-00260; *U.S. v. Williams*, 4:21-CR-00104; *U.S. v. Yargee*, 4:21-CR-00313.

¹³ See *Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Epperson*, CF-2021-0973 (2021), <https://www.muscogeenation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/20211007160405502.pdf>; *Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Starr*, CF-2021-0884, CM-2021-0591 (2021), <https://www.muscogeenation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/20211007160624161.pdf>; *Cherokee Nation v.*

been reconvicted in federal court.¹⁴ Another nineteen, including Respondent here, have jury trials scheduled.¹⁵

The reprosecutions, moreover, are occurring swiftly. Direct appeal cases raising a *McGirt* claim are typically remanded from the OCCA to the state trial court for an evidentiary hearing regarding Indian status before vacatur by the OCCA. In eleven of the cases underlying Oklahoma's petitions, the United States obtained a federal grand jury indictment *before* the state trial court had even issued its findings on remand.¹⁶ And in the remaining federal cases, the United States filed a complaint or indicted the respondent before the State court mandate issued

Shriver, CNCR-CRM-21-56 (2021); *Cherokee Nation v. Perales*, CRM-21-261 (2021).

¹⁴ See *Beck; Brown; Calhoun* (Castro-Huerta); *Cottingham; Harjo; Jackson; Janson; Jeffery Jones; Kepler; Laurie Martin; Mitchell; Stewart; Yargee*, *supra* n.12.

¹⁵ See *Bain; Ball; Cooper; Davis; Grayson; Hathcoat; Howell; Johnson; Shawn Jones; Leathers; Little; David Martin; McCombs; McDaniel; Mize; Perry; Sizemore; Spears; Williams*, *supra* n.12.

¹⁶ Compare the federal and state dockets for *Bain*, 6:20-CR-00139 (federal), C-2019-853 (state); *Ball*, 6:20-CR-00110, F-2020-54; *Castro-Huerta* (Calhoun), 4:20-CR-00255, F-2017-1203; *Cottingham*, 4:20-CR-00209, F-2017-1294; *Kepler*, 4:20-CR-00276, F-2017-1186; *Leathers*, 4:21-CR-00163, F-2019-962; *Little*, 4:21-CR-00162, F-2020-125; *Mitchell*, 4:20-CR-00254, F-2018-78; *McCombs*, 4:20-CR-00262, F-2017-1000; *Perry*, 4:20-CR-00218, F-2020-46; *Stewart*, 4:20-CR-00260, C-2017-1223.

(often months before).¹⁷ In sum, Oklahoma's Chicken Little narrative is just that. As the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma at the time of *McGirt* and for many months thereafter has put it:

[W]hat we see in actuality is that the sky isn't falling. That we can actually and should be promoting confidence in what is and has been great partnerships among state, tribal and federal law enforcement entities.

Allison Herrera, *Trent Shores Reflects On His Time As U.S. Attorney*, KOSU (Feb. 24, 2021).¹⁸

C. New cases within the Creek Reservation are also being vigorously prosecuted with necessary resources increasing apace.

¹⁷ Compare the federal and state dockets for *Beck*, 6:21-CR-00142 (federal), F-2019-115 (state); *Brown*, 6:20-CR-00109, C-2018-1118; *Cooper*, 6:21-CR-00070, F-2018-830; *Davis*, 4:20-CR-00316, F-2019-420; *Fox*, 6:21-MJ-00251, F-2019-196; *Grayson*, 6:21-CR-00166, F-2018-1229; *Harjo*, 6:21-CR-00022, F-2017-889; *Hathcoat*, 6:21-CR-00018, F-2018-898; *Howell*, 4:21-CR-00121, C-2017-998; *Jackson*, 4:20-CR-00310, F-2016-453; *Janson*, 4:21-CR-00197, C-2017-1027; *Johnson*, 6:21-CR-00183, F-2020-208; *Jeffery Jones*, 4:21-CR-00023, F-2017-1245; *Shawn Jones*, 6:21-CR-00118, F-2017-1309; *David Martin*, 6:21-CR-00221, F-2016-1030; *Laurie Martin*, 6:21-CR-00047, F-2017-991; *McDaniel*, 6:21-MJ-00254 and 6:21-CR-00321, F-2017-357; *Mize*, 4:21-CR-00107, F-2019-68; *Sizemore*, 6:21-CR-00138, F-2018-1140; *Spears*, 4:20-CR-00296, F-2019-330; *Williams*, 4:21-CR-00104, F-2016-937; *Yargee*, 4:21-CR-00313, F-2019-392.

¹⁸ <https://www.kosu.org/politics/2021-02-24/trent-shores-reflects-on-his-time-as-u-s-attorney-remains-committed-to-justice-for-indian-country>.

1. a. The federal government is securing indictments in hundreds of new cases.¹⁹ Contrary to the uncited assertions by the State, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 20, those prosecutions are not limited to crimes involving serious bodily injury.²⁰ Nor is it correct to say, as the State’s amici would have it, that the federal government is not prosecuting cases including “stabbing in a limb without loss of function,” *Castro-Huerta* Dist. Att’y Br. 20, “strangulation not causing death,” *id.*, attacks against Tulsa police officers, *Castro-Huerta* Tulsa/Owasso Br. 7, “first-degree burglary cases from Tulsa,” *id.* 8, or “second- and third-degree burglaries,” *id.*²¹ The amicus drafting reaches a low

¹⁹ See generally News, U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Northern District of Okla., <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndok/pr> (last visited Nov. 13, 2021) (including monthly indictment press releases listing hundreds of indictments post-*McGirt*); News, U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Eastern District of Okla., <https://www.justice.gov/usao-edok/pr> (last visited Nov. 13, 2021) (same).

²⁰ E.g., *infra* n.21 (listing prosecutions for burglary, stolen vehicle possession, stolen property receipt, and other crimes not involving serious bodily injury).

²¹ See, e.g., *Federal Grand Jury B Indictments Announced—October*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (Oct. 15, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndok/pr/federal-grand-jury-b-indictments-announced-october-1> (stabbing arm, first-degree burglary, possessing and concealing a stolen vehicle); *United States Attorney’s Office for Eastern District of Oklahoma Obtains Forty-Six Indictments From Federal Grand Juries*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (Oct. 1, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-edok/pr/united-states-attorneys-office-eastern-district-oklahoma-obtains-forty-six-indictments> (second-degree burglary, third-degree burglary); *Federal Grand Jury A Indictments Announced—September*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (Sept. 10, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndok/pr/federal-grand-jury-indictments-announced-september>

with the sensationalist suggestion (based solely on the hypothetical musings of an unnamed individual) that the United States would not prosecute a teacher for rape, *Castro-Huerta* Dist. Att'y Br. 20—a suggestion again contradicted by actual facts.²²

To support its enhanced prosecutorial efforts, the federal government has surged criminal justice resources into eastern Oklahoma. The FBI has sent “140 Special Agents, Investigative Analysts, Victims Specialists and other professional staff to” eastern Oklahoma and “expanded State, local, and tribal participation on task forces … from 32 agencies to assist with initial response and investigative efforts.” Hearing on Federal Bureau of Investigation Budget Request for Fiscal Year 2022 Before the Subcomm. on Commerce, Justice, Science and Related Agencies of the S. Comm. on Appropriations, 117th Cong. 13–14 (June 2021) (statement of FBI Director Wray). The United States Attorney’s Offices for the Eastern and

(eluding Tulsa Police, burglary, carjacking, strangulation, receipt of stolen property); *Federal Grand Jury A Indictments Announced—May*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (May 5, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndok/pr/federal-grand-jury-indictments-announced-may> (assaulting and eluding Tulsa County deputies); *Federal Grand Jury A Indictments Announced for December*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (Dec. 9, 2020), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndok/pr/federal-grand-jury-indictments-announced-december> (third-degree burglary, robbery, theft).

²² See, e.g., *Former Bixby High School Teacher Pleads Guilty After Recording Inappropriate Video of his Students*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (Aug. 18, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndok/pr/former-bixby-high-school-teacher-pleads-guilty-after-recording-inappropriate-video-his>.

Northern Districts have hired additional prosecutors, with plans for more. *Id.* at 14.²³ And prosecutors have been detailed from across the country to supplement this staffing.²⁴

The federal courts are likewise adding capacity. The Eastern District has designated seven judges from other districts to hear cases through at least the end of this year, General Order 21-10 (May 21, 2021), as well as additional magistrate judges, General Order 21-9 (Apr. 30, 2021). In the longer term, the Judicial Conference has recommended that Congress “authorize three new judgeships in the Eastern District of Oklahoma and two in the Northern District of Oklahoma.” *Judiciary Supplements Judgeship Request, Prioritizes Courthouse Projects*, U.S. Courts (Sept. 28, 2021).²⁵

²³ See also, e.g., *24 Federal Prosecutors Take the Oath of Office in the Northern District of Oklahoma*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (Sept. 29, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-ndok/pr/24-federal-prosecutors-take-oath-office-northern-district-oklahoma>.

²⁴ See U.S. Dep’t of Justice, *FY 2022 Budget Request* 1–2, <https://www.justice.gov/jmd/page/file/1398851/download>; *Acting United States Attorney For The Eastern District Of Oklahoma Issues Statement Regarding OCCA Decisions Of Hogner And Bosse*, U.S. Attorney’s Office (Mar. 11, 2021), <https://www.justice.gov/usao-edok/pr/acting-united-states-attorney-eastern-district-oklahoma-issues-statement-regarding-occa>.

²⁵ <https://www.uscourts.gov/news/2021/09/28/judiciary-supplements-judgeship-request-prioritizes-courthouse-projects>; see also *Judicial Conference Recommendations* (2021), https://www.uscourts.gov/sites/default/files/2021_judicial_conference_recommendations_0.pdf (recommending increases for other districts).

The State dismisses these developments, contending that civil trials are delayed in the Northern District, and that the Eastern District has declared an emergency that requires some parties “to travel to the Western District[.]” *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 21. But the delay in civil trials is no different from that occurring in courthouses across the country due to the pandemic, and parties can elect to proceed before a magistrate judge in the meantime. *See, e.g., Feenstra v. Sigler*, No. 19-CV-00234 (N.D. Okla. July 28, 2021) (minute order). And while some parties may need to travel to Oklahoma City instead of Muskogee, the cities are just two hours apart, and for many Eastern District residents the former is in fact closer. Again, this is hardly the stuff of crisis.

b. Going forward, Congress is taking steps to ensure that federal agencies have ample resources to handle post-*McGirt* caseloads. Oklahoma cites testimony from FBI Director Wray about new demands on the agency, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 19–20, but omits that in response the House Appropriations Committee voted to fully fund the Justice Department’s budgetary request for *McGirt* implementation, including for the Bureau, H.R. Rep. No. 117-97, at 63 (2021);²⁶ *see also* U.S. Dep’t of Justice, *FY 2022 Budget Request* 1–2.²⁷ House Bill 4505, now before the full House, provides \$70 million “to implement public safety measures required to comply with the *McGirt*

²⁶ <https://www.congress.gov/117/crpt/hrpt97/CRPT-117hrpt97.pdf>.

²⁷ *Supra* n.24.

decision,” H.R. Rep. No. 117-97, at 63, including \$33 million for Oklahoma’s U.S. Attorney’s Offices, DOJ *FY 2022 Budget Request* 2, and \$25.5 million for the FBI, which the FBI reports “will allow [it] to effectively address the increased operational need,” FBI, *FY 2022 Budget Request* 122.²⁸ The Committee further directed the Justice Department “to closely monitor the *McGirt*-related enforcement programs and provide the Committee as soon as possible an estimate of [the] long-term costs of sustaining those programs.” H.R. Rep. No. 117-97, at 63.

2. The Creek Nation is likewise committing substantial resources to implementing *McGirt*. In the fifteen months immediately following *McGirt*, the Nation has investigated and prosecuted 2,771 felony and misdemeanor cases.²⁹ To make this possible, the Lighthorse Police hired twenty new police officers, ten investigators, two Sexual Offender Registration officers, and six dispatchers.³⁰ Additional hirings will soon follow, with the Lighthorse budget more than doubling since *McGirt*.³¹

²⁸ <https://www.justice.gov/jmd/page/file/1399031/download>.

²⁹ Affidavit of Roger Wiley, <https://www.muscogeenation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Roger-Wiley-Affidavit.pdf>.

³⁰ Affidavit of Richard Phillips, <https://www.muscogeenation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Richard-Phillips.pdf>.

³¹ *Id.*

The Nation has also hired six new prosecutors and twelve public defenders.³² It is adding judges and has significantly expanded its courthouse and detention facility capacity.³³

Furthermore, the Nation has continued to enter into cross-deputization agreements, including with state agencies, empowering non-tribal officers to exercise federal and tribal authority within the Reservation.³⁴ The Nation also adopted a new traffic code that deliberately mirrors Oklahoma's, enabling cross-deputized officers to enforce provisions with which they are familiar. *See MCNCA tit. 14, ch. 3.*³⁵

D. Ignoring all this, Oklahoma resorts to the basest of anecdotes to portray chaos. It cites a claim by an emergency response dispatcher that "callers to 911 are now asked if they are members of a federally recognized tribe," and that if so, they "are transferred to the Creek Nation" where they are purportedly sometimes put on hold. *Castro-Huerta Pet.* 21–22.

³² Wiley Affidavit, *supra* n.29; Affidavit of Cynthia Freeman, <https://www.muscogeenation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Cynthia-Freeman.pdf>.

³³ Affidavit of Shannon Prescott, <https://www.muscogeenation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Shannon-Prescott.pdf>; Phillips Affidavit, *supra* n.30.

³⁴ See Okla. Sec'y of State, *Tribal Compacts and Agreements*, <https://www.sos.ok.gov/gov/tribal.aspx> (last visited Nov. 13, 2021) (enter "Creek" into "Doc Type" and select "Submit," *see, e.g.*, #50646).

³⁵ <http://www.creeksupremecourt.com/wp-content/uploads/NCA-20-087.pdf>.

But if emergency response dispatchers are being directed (by either State or county authorities) to decline emergency assistance based on tribal citizenship, that is patent discrimination in violation of the law. Nothing in *McGirt* sanctions such behavior, and the Mayor of Okmulgee (which lies in the heart of the Reservation) has rightly denounced it.³⁶ Moreover, the Nation has substantially increased its emergency response capabilities post-*McGirt*, and there is no recognizable basis for the State’s suggestion that calls to the Nation’s dispatch center are answered other than immediately.

The State’s amici make claims that are likewise far off the mark, claims grounded in uncited assertions, *see, e.g.*, *Castro-Huerta* Tulsa/Owasso Br. 3, 5–8; *Castro-Huerta* Dist. Att’y Br. 14, 15, 17, 20–23, and hearsay statements from unidentified sources, *Castro-Huerta* Dist. Att’y Br. 3, 7–9, 11–12, 15–16, 20. No district court in this country would countenance unsubstantiated assertions of this sort. Nor should this Court, particularly since many of the claims are demonstrably incorrect.³⁷ Tulsa asserts, for example, that the Nation has not prosecuted “any” of the “dozens” of domestic violence cases Tulsa has referred to the Nation. *Castro-Huerta* Tulsa/Owasso Br. 10. But the Nation takes domestic violence matters extremely

³⁶ Tres Savage, *Okmulgee Mayor Richard Larabee emphasizes cooperation with Muscogee Nation*, NONDOC (Aug. 24, 2021), <https://nondoc.com/2021/08/24/okmulgee-mayor-richard-larabee/>.

³⁷ *See also supra* 12–13.

seriously and has already secured convictions in over a dozen domestic violence cases referred to it by the Tulsa Police Department while filing charges in 119 more.³⁸ Nor do the two specific Creek Reservation episodes that Tulsa claims have gone unprosecuted fit the description. *See id.* 8–9, 10 (indecent exposure and domestic violence). Offenders in both instances are being prosecuted by the Nation.³⁹ Assertions about subpoenas, *Castro-Huerta* Tulsa/Owasso Br. 2–3, 9–10, *Castro-Huerta* Dist. Att'y Br. 7; warrants, *Castro-Huerta* Tulsa/Owasso Br. 6; and detention and bond amounts, *id.* 11–12, *Castro-Huerta* Dist. Att'y Br. 8, likewise are contradicted by the facts.⁴⁰

In *McGirt*, this Court rejected Oklahoma's overheated claims about criminal consequences, stating that with a reallocation of prosecutorial resources “it doesn’t take a lot of imagination to see how things could work out in the end.” *McGirt*, 140 S. Ct. at 2480. This well describes developments on the Creek Reservation. Thanks to substantial efforts by the federal and tribal governments, things are working out, and the unsubstantiated claims by Oklahoma and its

³⁸ Wiley Affidavit, *supra* n.29.

³⁹ Wiley Affidavit, *supra* n.29; *Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Dillard*, CF-2021-0849 (2021), <https://www.muscogeenation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Dillard-CCI.pdf>; *Muscogee (Creek) Nation v. Watashe*, CF-2021-1241 (2021), <https://www.muscogeenation.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/Watashe-CCI.pdf>.

⁴⁰ Wiley Affidavit, *supra* n.29.

amici provide no basis for the State's extraordinary request of this Court.

III. Civil Society Has Not Plunged into Chaos on the Creek Reservation.

Oklahoma's claims of civil crisis are similarly estranged from fact.

A. Most telling about the State's arguments are the omissions. In *McGirt* and *Murphy*, Oklahoma made alarmist claims that “[a]ll adoptions and custody disputes involving Indian children ... would fall within the exclusive jurisdiction of tribal courts,” and “[s]ettled child placements [could] be undone.” Okla. *McGirt* Br. 44; Okla. *Murphy* Br. 56. But the Nation assured the Court that existing placements could be preserved and jurisdiction shared through compacting, *see Creek McGirt* Br. 44–45; *McGirt* Oral Arg. Tr. 41, and this is precisely what happened. Within a month of *McGirt*, the Nation and the State entered a child custody compact that preserves existing placements and continues with cooperative jurisdiction going forward.⁴¹ The State's briefing mentions none of this.

B. The Nation also told the Court that claims by Oklahoma and its amici that (a) the Nation would tax and regulate non-Indian individuals and entities

⁴¹ Intergovernmental Agreement (Aug. 4, 2020), <https://www.sos.ok.gov/documents/filelog/93632.pdf>; *see also* H.B. 2352, 2021 Leg., Reg. Sess. (Okla. 2021) (unanimously ratifying the Compact).

within the Reservation, and (b) the State and local governments would correspondingly lose authority over them, ignored the presumptive constraints this Court’s jurisprudence places on tribal jurisdiction over non-Indians. *See Okla. Murphy* Br. 56; *Okla. Suppl. Murphy* Br. 15; *Creek McGirt* Br. 43–44; *Creek Murphy* Br. 31–36. And in the wake of *McGirt*, Oklahoma points to not a single example of the Nation attempting to tax or regulate non-Indians.⁴² The only challenge it cites to its regulatory authority is a flimsy claim by a non-Indian corporation, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 24 (citing *Canaan Resources X v. Calyx Energy III, LLC*, No. 119,245 (Okla.)), which the State’s Corporation Commission has flatly rejected, *see Canaan Resources X v. Calyx Energy III, LLC*, No. CO-119245 (Okla. Corp. Comm’n Nov. 25, 2020).⁴³ That a single non-Indian entity has attempted, and thus far failed, to exploit *McGirt* for its own purposes hardly evidences a crisis. Nor does the smattering of other civil cases—four in total, *see Castro-Huerta* Pet.

⁴² The Environmental Federation of Oklahoma et al. cite several of the Nation’s statutes and posit that the Nation “may” apply these statutes to non-Indians. *Castro-Huerta* Br. 20. But the Nation understands that it can only enforce its statutes consistent with federal law. Nor does Tulsa’s reliance on a news article citing a pre-*McGirt*, non-legal letter regarding trust property move the needle. *Castro-Huerta* Tulsa/Owasso Br. 12 n.13. The Nation has been clear, including in briefing to this Court, *Creek Murphy* Br. 35–36, regarding state and local taxing authority over non-Indian businesses on fee lands within the Reservation.

⁴³ <https://www.oscn.net/dockets/GetCaseInformation.aspx?db=appellate&number=119245> (order included in Dec. 8, 2020 petition in error).

24–26—cited by Oklahoma. Several are facially flawed, and the State has notched an initial victory in at least one. *See Nicholson v. Stitt*, No. SD-119270 (Okla.). If questionable litigation were the marker of chaos, the entire country would be under a reign of terror.⁴⁴ Civil society in eastern Oklahoma is sturdier than the State would have the Court believe.

C. Under well-established law, reservation status does have consequences for Oklahoma’s taxing authority over certain tribal members. But as the Oklahoma Tax Commission has detailed in a report far more measured than the State’s briefing, those impacts again are hardly the stuff of crisis. *See Oklahoma Tax Commission, Report of Potential Impact of McGirt v. Oklahoma* (Sept. 30, 2020).⁴⁵

The Commission estimates a maximum potential annual revenue impact of \$21.5 million in reduced income taxes and \$38.1 million in reduced sales taxes on the Creek Reservation (with corresponding figures of \$72.7 million and \$132.2 million for all Five Tribes total). *Id.* 2, 14–18. The Commission states that these estimates are likely high due to data limitations

⁴⁴ The concerns expressed by title insurance companies, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 24–25, likewise do not remotely signify chaos. If the companies need help with jurisdictional disclaimers, they can look to their colleagues in other states where “millions of acres of non-Indian fee land” are found within reservations. *Plains Commerce Bank v. Long Family Land and Cattle Co.*, 554 U.S. 316, 328 (2008).

⁴⁵ <https://oklahoma.gov/content/dam/ok/en/tax/documents/resources/reports/other/McGirt%20vs%20OK%20-%20Potential%20Impact%20Report.pdf>.

and the uncertain assumption that all eligible tribal citizens will seek available exemptions.⁴⁶ *Id.* 16–18. The Commission estimates minimal impact on other taxes, including motor vehicle taxes, gross production and petroleum excise taxes, and ad valorem taxes. *Id.* 3, 11–13, 19.⁴⁷

A maximum annual impact of approximately \$200 million will not “decimate” state tax budgets, Okla. *McGirt* Br. 44. Overall state revenues (excluding higher education) exceeded \$64.5 billion in fiscal year 2020–21, with general fund revenues exceeding \$47.3 billion.⁴⁸ Tax revenues for that period exceeded \$16.8 billion.⁴⁹ Indeed, so confident are state leaders in the State’s fiscal condition that a \$500 million income tax cut “sailed through the Oklahoma House of

⁴⁶ To be clear, individuals are not now “refusing” to pay income and sales tax, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 24. Under state and federal law, Oklahoma is no longer permitted to tax tribal citizens for on-reservation activities. Some tribal citizens are thus following the state process to file for exemptions.

⁴⁷ Under Oklahoma state law, tribal citizens can also recover up to three years of prior income tax payments, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 24, with refunds coming from the State’s “Income Tax Withholding Refund Account,” Okla. Stat. tit. 68, § 2373. Even if every eligible tribal citizen claimed the refund, that would amount at most to an additional one-time impact of \$214 million. Oklahoma Tax Commission Report, *supra* n.45, at 16–17.

⁴⁸ See *State Revenue*, OK.gov, <https://stories.opengov.com/oklahomastate/published/XzJD1j7zP> (last visited Nov. 13, 2021).

⁴⁹ *Id.*

Representatives” this past spring.⁵⁰ And the State Treasurer recently reported that the State’s “[g]ross receipts demonstrate a resilient and expanding state economy,” with “[e]very major revenue stream, including income taxes, exceed[ing] collections from 2019.”⁵¹

Further, any tax impacts will be offset by savings in other areas, including reduced state prosecution and incarceration needs. *See Oklahoma Justice Reform Task Force, Final Report* 3 (Feb. 2017) (discussing the “extraordinary” cost of incarceration for the State).⁵² And Oklahoma continues to ignore the benefits to reservation residents, Indian and non-Indian, from significant tribal governmental expenditures, Creek *McGirt* Br. 36–40; Chickasaw and Choctaw *McGirt* Br. 8–13.

Any tax impacts to Oklahoma could be further alleviated through compacting. *See McGirt*, 140 S. Ct.

⁵⁰ Randy Krehbiel, *Oklahoma House passes \$500 million income tax reduction*, Tulsa World (Mar. 11, 2021), https://tulsaworld.com/news/state-and-regional/govt-and-politics/oklahoma-house-passes-500-million-income-tax-reduction/article_1b1323c6-82b9-11eb-a093-3702ce3e7488.html.

⁵¹ Kevin Severin, *Substantial gains anticipated for future Oklahoma collection*, Fox 25 (Aug. 4, 2021), <https://okcfox.com/news/local/substantial-gains-anticipated-for-future-oklahoma-collections>; *Fossil Fuels Drive Gross Receipts Higher*, Office of State Treasurer (Sept. 2, 2021), https://www.ok.gov/triton/modules/newsroom/newsroom_article.php?id=222&article_id=64782.

⁵² [https://s3.amazonaws.com/content.newsok.com/documents/OJRTFFinalReport%20\(1\).pdf](https://s3.amazonaws.com/content.newsok.com/documents/OJRTFFinalReport%20(1).pdf).

at 2481; Oklahoma Tax Commission Report 3, 20–21 (stating that tax compacts “could significantly mitigate” impacts; that compacts “have been a powerful tool for facilitating cooperation and revenue-sharing between tribal and state governments”; and that “[d]uring the last two fiscal years, the State received over \$73 million in cigarette and tobacco tax collections as a result of compact sales”). Focused as it is on overturning *McGirt*, Oklahoma has not sought to engage in tax negotiations; but as the history of successful State-Tribal compacting (so recently reprised in the child custody context) demonstrates, this need not remain a permanent state of affairs.

D. As *McGirt* acknowledged, there may be implications for the application of various federal statutes on the Creek Reservation, 140 S. Ct. at 2480, but again Oklahoma has pointed to nothing portending chaos. The State mentions only that the Department of the Interior has asserted that federal implementation of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act, 30 U.S.C. §§ 1201–1328, is appropriate within the Reservation, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 25, but nowhere explains why enhanced reclamation of mining waste spells doom for Oklahoma.

IV. Congress Is Actively Engaged in Protecting Public Safety on the Creek Reservation.

Having conjured illusory chaos in eastern Oklahoma, the State then waves its wand in the other direction, claiming that Congress’s ability to address it has evaporated, leaving the Court no choice but to

usurp the legislative function. *See Castro-Huerta Pet.* 28.

Compounding the disrespect for Congress inherent in the argument, its premise is demonstrably invalid. Congress has actively engaged in eastern Oklahoma post-*McGirt*. For example, as discussed above, a bill to fully fund the Justice Department’s increased responsibilities is currently advancing through Congress. *Supra* at 15–16. Oklahoma does not mention this. Nor does it mention that Oklahoma’s Governor opposes the bill because, according to his special counsel, “there are uncertainties surrounding this [*McGirt*] decision, that are currently working their way to the courts So there’s no need for a permanent federal fix here.”⁵³ These words hardly reflect a state in crisis.

The House Appropriations Committee also approved the Interior Department’s fiscal year 2022 request, *see H.R. 4502*, 117th Cong. (1st Sess. 2021), for \$11 million in Bureau of Indian Affairs (“BIA”) funding for tribes “to implement public safety changes resulting from [*McGirt*.]” H.R. Rep. No. 117-83, at 55–57 (2021).⁵⁴ And the Committee has directed the BIA

⁵³ Reese Gorman, *Cole encourages state-tribal relations over state challenge to McGirt*, The Norman Transcript (Jul. 23, 2021), https://www.normantranscript.com/news/cole-encourages-state-tribal-relations-over-state-challenges-to-mcgirt/article_e15e2378-eb4b-11eb-80f4-c39595196dbb.html.

⁵⁴ <https://www.congress.gov/congressional-report/117th-congress/house->

“to provide a longer term cost estimate ... to implement the *McGirt* decision within 120 days of enactment of this Act.” *Id.* at 55. The bill passed the full House on July 29 and is before the Senate.⁵⁵ Notwithstanding professed concerns for public safety, the Governor’s office opposes this funding as well.⁵⁶

Congressional action has gone beyond appropriations. On May 11, 2021, Congressman Cole introduced legislation to authorize the Cherokee and Chickasaw Nations to enter compacts providing for concurrent state criminal jurisdiction on their reservation fee lands. H.R. 3091, 117th Cong. (1st Sess. 2021). Congressman Cole would no doubt be surprised to learn that he believes a bill into which he put “many, many months of work”⁵⁷ was dead on arrival, *Castro-Huerta* Pet. 27, and the cited article says nothing of the sort.⁵⁸ Rather, it underscores the Congressman’s view that the bill will become law only with considerable work, which is hardly surprising since “pass[ing] new legislation is a deliberately hard

⁵⁵ [report/83/1?q=%7B%22search%22%3A%5B%22h.+rept.+117-83%22%5D%7D&s=1&r=1](https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/4502/actions).

⁵⁶ <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/4502/actions>.

⁵⁷ Gorman, *supra* n.53.

⁵⁸ Chris Casteel, *With Oklahoma tribes deeply divided, Rep. Tom Cole’s McGirt bill faces long road*, The Oklahoman (June 14, 2021), <https://www.oklahoman.com/story/news/2021/05/16/oklahoma-tribes-divided-over-tom-cole-bill-addressing-mcgirt-ruling/5063947001/>.

⁵⁹ *Id.*

business under our Constitution,” *McGirt*, 140 S. Ct. at 2462. Senator Lankford is also actively discussing potential legislative approaches to the allocation of criminal jurisdiction.⁵⁹

The Creek Nation has not yet endorsed any legislative adjustment of criminal jurisdiction, but rather is focused on prosecuting reservation crimes in conjunction with the federal government, and on assessing the effectiveness of that collaboration. There is nothing untoward about such deliberation (or about the fact that the different Tribes might take varying policy positions on these serious issues). Determining the optimal approach to public safety within the Creek Reservation is a governmental priority of the highest order, one that calls for careful consideration rather than Oklahoma’s preferred approach of a rush to judgment—or a rush back to this Court.

Especially in the absence of demonstrated need, legislation can take time. What will facilitate any necessary legislation (and further cooperative agreements) is if the Court disabuses Oklahoma of the notion that a precedent of this Court can be dismissed as an unwelcome house guest, here today but hopefully gone tomorrow. For one thing is clear: There has been no groundswell in Congress to disestablish the Creek or the other Five Tribes’ reservations after *McGirt*. Rather, there exists a solemn appreciation of

⁵⁹ Kelci McKendrick, *Lankford visits Enid, discusses various topics*, Enid News & Eagle (Aug. 19, 2021), https://www.enid-news.com/news/lankford-visits-enid-discusses-various-topics/article_0769c2ac-015e-11ec-b799-d3018ac1cd29.html.

the importance of abiding by the treaty promises vindicated by this Court while providing the resources necessary to do so. With Congress not inclined to dis-establish, Oklahoma has returned to this Court and urged it to do what “only Congress” properly can. *McGirt*, 140 S. Ct. at 2474. This Court should decline the invitation.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

ROGER WILEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL
KYLE HASKINS
FIRST ASSISTANT
ATTORNEY GENERAL
MUSCOGEE (CREEK)
NATION
Post Office Box 580
Okmulgee, OK 74447
(918) 295-9720

RIYAZ A. KANJI
Counsel of Record
DAVID A. GIAMPETRONI
KANJI & KATZEN, PLLC
303 Detroit St., Ste 400
Ann Arbor, MI 48104
(734) 769-5400
rkanji@kanjikatzen.com

PHILIP H. TINKER
LYNSEY R. GAUDIOSO
KANJI & KATZEN, PLLC
811 First Avenue, Ste 630
Seattle, WA 98104
(206) 344-8100

Counsel for Amicus Curiae Muscogee (Creek) Nation

November 24, 2021