

CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBAL COURT 1 CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE IN CIVIL COURT CHEYENNE RIVER INDIAN RESERVATION 3 LONG FAMILY LAND AND CATTLE 4 COMPANY, INC.-RONNIE AND LILA LONG, JURY TRIAL Plaintiffs, R-120-99 6 -vs-EDWARD AND MARY MACIEJEWSKI VOLUME T OF TIT and RALPH H. AND NORMA J. PESICKA, PAGES 1 TO 227 and THE BANK OF HOVEN, 9 Defendants. 10 TIME AND PLACE: DECEMBER 6, 2002 CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBAL COURT 11 EAGLE BUTTE, SD 57625 12 BEFORE: HON. B. J. JONES SISSETON-WAHPETON SIOUX TRIBAL COURT P.O. BOX 568 14 AGENCY VILLAGE, SD 57262-0568 15 MR. JAMES P. HURLEY APPEARANCES: Attorney at Law P.O. Box 2670 16 Rapid City, SD 57709-2670 ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS 17 MR. DAVID A. VON WALD 18 Attorney at Law P.O. Box 468 19 Hoven, SD 57450-0468 ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT BANK OF HOVEN 20 MR. KENNETH "CHUCK" E. JASPER 21 Attorney at Law 22 P.O. Box 2093 Rapid City, SD 57709-2093 ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS EDWARD AND MARY 23 MACIEJEWSKI AND RALPH AND NORMA PESICKA 24 ALSO PRESENT: MR. AND MRS. RONNIE LONG - PLAINTIFFS 25 MR. CHUCK SIMON - EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT OF DEFENDANT BANK OF HOVEN

1	INDEX	
2	VOLUME I OF III	
3	DECEMBER 6, 2002:	PAGE NO
4	INTRODUCTION BY THE COURT	6
5	VOIR DIRE	8
6	SETTLING PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS	61
7	JURY SWORN	67
8	COURT READS PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS	68
9	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. HURLEY	71
10	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. VON WALD	79
11	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. JASPER	86
12	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RI	ECROSS
13	MR. RONNIE LONG 90-H 184-VW	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	H - QUESTIONS BY MR. HURLEY	
25	VW - QUESTIONS BY MR. VON WALD	

1			PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS		
2			<u>C</u>	FFERED	RECEIVED
3	PLF.	1 -	CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION	. 100	100
4	PLF.	2 -	LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT OF KENNETH L. LON	G 100	100
5	PLF.	3 -	AGREEMENTS RELINQUISHING AND TRANSFERRING INTEREST		100
7	PLF.	4 -	LETTER FROM CHARLES SIMON, BANK OF HOVEN, TO LONGS CONCERNING PROPOSED AGREEMENT	. 99	100
8	PLF.	5 -	OPTION AGREEMENT TO PURCHASE REAL ESTATE.	. 100	101
9	PLF.	5A-	PROPOSED AGREEMENT 11-1-96 (ONE PAGE)		331+
10	PLF.	6 -	LOAN AGREEMENT	. 100	101
11	PLF.	7 -	LEASE WITH OPTION TO PURCHASE	. 100	101
12	PLF.	8 -	LETTER FROM JAMES NIELSEN, BANK OF HOVEN, REQUEST TO BIA 12-12-96 AND CASH FLOWS	. 100	101
13	PLF.	8A-	CASH FLOWS - FAX DATE 10-29-96	. 140	140
14 15	PLF.	9 -	PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE'S DEED	. 100	101
16	PLF.	10 -	LETTER 1-16-97 FROM CHARLES SIMON, BANK O HOVEN, TO DENNIS HUBER		102*
17	PLF.	11 -	LETTER 2-14-97 FROM BIA TO JAMES NIELSEN, BANK OF HOVEN, IN RESPONSE TO LETTER		
18			REQUEST 12-12-96	. 102	102
19	PLF.	12 -	CFR 103.22, PROTECTIVE ADVANCES	. 102 374	103* 374
20 21	PLF.	13 -	LETTER 2-18-97 FROM RONNIE LONG TO BANK OF HOVEN	. 102	103
22	PLF.	14 -	FEMA CERTIFICATE OF LIVESTOCK LOSS	. 102	103
23	PLF.	15 -	SETTLEMENT STATEMENT ON SALE OF HOUSE	. 102	103
24					
25		-	PAGE OF DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 10 G OF ADMISSIBILITY RESERVED BY THE COURT		

1			PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS		
2			-		RECEIVED
3	PLF.	F. 16 - ASSIGNMENT OF INTEREST TO BIA	. — 162 355	103* 164* 355	
4 5	PLF.	17 -	LETTER 12-01-98 FROM RONNIE LONG TO STEVE HAGEMAN, CEO, 60-DAY EXTENSION		104
6 7	PLF.	18 -	FAXED LETTER 12-2-98 FROM CHARLES SIMON, BANK OF HOVEN, DENYING 60-DAY EXTENSION		104
	PLF.	19 -	QUIT CLAIM DEED TO PESICKAS		104
8 9 10 11	PLF.	20 -	NOTICE TO QUIT		104
	PLF.	21 -	CONTRACT FOR DEED TO MACIEJEWSKIS		105
	PLF.	22 -	MONEY LOANED TO LONGS 12-12-96 TO 2-12-97	. 170 301	170 301
12	PLF.	23 -	PLAINTIFFS' DAMAGES 1997 TO 2002	. 308	308
13	PLF.	23A-	LETTER 3-1-2000 FROM FSA TO RONNIE LONG	. 307	307
14	PLF.	24 -	NOTES AND COMPUTATIONS	. N/O	N/R
15	PLF.	25 -	ANNUAL FSA FARM PROGRAM PAYMENTS	. N/O	N/R
16	PLF.	26 -	LETTER 12-9-02 FROM CRST REGARDING MACIEJEWSKIS AND PESICKAS NOT ENROLLED	. 308	308
17	PLF.	27 -	LETTER 9-4-02 FROM RUSSELL McCLURE TO LONG	GS N/O	N/R
18	PLF.	28 -	BUSINESS CARD/BROCHURE OF DENNIS HUBER	. 391	391
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	N/O -	- NOT	G OF ADMISSIBILITY RESERVED BY THE COURT OFFERED		
25	N/R -	- NOT	RECEIVED		

1	DEFENDANT BANK'S EXHIBITS	רושטים	RECEIVED
2	<u>Of F</u>	<u>EKED</u>	KECETAED
3	DEFT. 1 - BANK OF HOVEN COMMENTS SHEETS	199	200
4	DEFT. 2 - PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE'S DEED	211	212
5 6	DEFT. 3 - BANK NOTE 4-1-97 FOR \$40,595	222	222
	DEFT. 4 - BANK NOTE 4-1-97 FOR \$420,515.40	222	222
	DEFT. 5 - BANK NOTE 4-1-97 FOR \$17,604.73	222	222
8	DEFT. 6 - FINANCIAL STATEMENT 3-26-97	223	223
9	DEFT. 7 - LETTER 2-28-97 FROM JAMES NIELSEN TO RONNIE LONG	254	254
10 11	DEFT. 8 - LETTER 12-8-97 FROM JAMES NIELSEN TO RUSSELL McCLURE, BIA	256	256
12	DEFT. 9 - TAX RETURNS OF LONG FAMILY LAND AND CATTLE COMPANY, INC	272	272
13	DEFT.10 - PROPOSED LOAN AGREEMENT 11-1-96 (TWO PAGES)	282	282
14	DEFT.11- FINANCIAL STATEMENT COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS	453	453
15	DEFT.12 - BANK'S DAMAGES	466	466
16	DEFT.13 - LETTER 8-5-97 FROM CHARLES SIMON TO LONG		
17	FAMILY LAND AND CATTLE COMPANY REGARDING LIP PROGRAM	484	484
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS

TOOK PLACE ON DECEMBER 6, 2002,

ON DUPLICATE #1 TAPE #1:)

THE COURT: Time for trial. Matter of Long Family Land and Cattle Company, Ronnie and Lila Long v. Edward and Mary Maciejewski, Ralph and Norma Pesicka, and the Bank of Hoven, now known as Plains Commerce Bank.

I want to welcome the jurors. Thank you for reporting. You've been summonsed today to be potential jurors in a civil jury trial. How many of you jurors have ever sat on a jury, either Tribe, State? Okay. Was that a couple of people? Was that tribal juries? Okay.

This may be a little unusual. It's — most trials we have here that are tried before a jury are actually criminal trials. That's where the Tribe is alleging someone violated the law. This is called a civil case. That's where two private parties have a dispute, and the Tribe asks that you resolve that dispute for them. So it's a little bit different than a criminal case.

Let me introduce myself before we start getting to know you. My name is B. J. Jones. I'm a special judge here. I generally don't sit here in Cheyenne River. I'm actually the chief judge over in Sisseton-Wahpeton, over in northeast South Dakota, which I'm sure most of you folks know. But I've been called in to hear this

1 particular case.

What we're going to try to do this morning is to impanel — or get a jury of seven members. In Tribal Court you are entitled to a jury of six tribal members, but we are also going to have an alternate today because I want to tell you, Jurors, that this particular case is probably going to last two days. This is Friday. If we don't finish today, which is very likely we will not, the case will continue next Wednesday. That's December 11th. So when I ask you questions and when these attorneys ask you questions, we're going to find out if you can sit today and also next Wednesday. So keep that in mind.

Mere's how we are going to proceed today. In a moment I'm going to take the roll. That's basically to see if everyone has reported as they were summonsed to report, and then I'm going to administer an oath because we're going to ask you questions, and the law requires that I administer an oath so that when you answer those questions it's under oath. After that, we're going to try to select — we're probably going to need 15 of you to come up here. Then we're going to ask — the attorneys are going to ask you some questions. So the first thing I want to do is to call the roll of jurors, and that's a way for me to get to know you, for the attorneys to begin to meet you, get to know you.

Is this a list that's signed in? 1 THE CLERK: Yeah 3 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Great. Before we go further, 4 Jurors, let me introduce some of the players in this case. The plaintiffs' attorney is Mr. James Hurley. Jim is from 5 6 Rapid City. He is going to be asking you some questions. 7 And sitting with Jim is his client. And, Jim, this is --8 MR. HURLEY: This is Ronnie Long, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: This is Ronnie Long. And Mr. Long is one of the 10 plaintiffs in this case. And sitting on the other side of 11 the aisle is the Bank's attorney, Mr. Von Wald. 12 sitting with Mr. Von Wald, is this your client? MR. VON WALD: 13 This is Chuck Simon. 14 THE COURT: Chuck Simon. 15 MR. VON WALD: From the Bank. Okay. Okay, Jurors, what I'm going to do is call 16 THE COURT: 17 your names. If you hear your name, just indicate that you're here. In Tribal Court we issue a summons to 18 19 If you don't answer the summons, that could be charged out as a violation of the law. So the folks that 20 21 don't answer the summons and were not excused, we give 22 that list to the tribal prosecutor who then decides what 23 to do with that list. Okay. Debbie Dorset? It looks 24 like she is not served, right, Dale? 25 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE).

```
THE COURT:
 1
                     Okay. Debra Jeffries? Debra, welcome.
 2
          raise your hand so our attorneys can get to know you.
 3
          Steve Moran? Steve, welcome. Gerald Brown? Gerald
 4
                  I don't have -- is he excused, Dale?
 5
    THE CLERK:
                     No.
 6
    THE COURT:
                     Okay. We will issue a show cause to Gerald.
 7
          Wakiyan -- how do you pronounce -- is Wakiyan here? Peta?
 8
          Peta?
 9
    THE CLERK:
                     Peta.
10
    THE COURT:
                     Peta. It looks likes Wakiyan was served 10-29.
11
          So we will -- was Wakiyan excused?
12
    THE CLERK:
                     No, he wasn't.
13
    THE COURT:
                     Okay. How about Frank Iron Hawk?
14
    MR. IRON HAWK:
                    Here.
15
                    Frank, welcome. Cody Bendigo?
    THE COURT:
16
    MR. BENDIGO:
                     Bendigo.
17
    THE COURT:
                     Bendigo.
18
    MR. BENDIGO:
                     Here.
19
    THE COURT:
                     Cody, welcome. Robert Hale was not served.
20
          Pamela Semon? Semon?
    THE CLERK:
21
                     Semon.
                     Pamela is she here? Don't see her. We will do a
22
    THE COURT:
23
          show cause to Pamela. Was she excused, Dale?
24
    THE CLERK:
                     No.
                     Okay. Riley Anderson was not served. Myron
25
    THE COURT:
```

Curley? 2 MR. CURLEY: Here. 3 Myron, welcome. Willard Eagle Chasing? Willard THE COURT: 4 here? Okay. We will do a show cause to Willard. 5 like he was served. Mary Garter? 6 MS. GARTER: Here. THE COURT: Mary, welcome. Suella High Elk? MS. HIGH ELK: 8 Here. THE COURT: Suella, welcome. Jennifer Keller? Jennifer, 10 welcome. Justin Lawrence? Justin Lawrence? Okay. Justin was served. We will issue a show cause to Justin. 11 12 Was Justin excused? Any of the jurors that were excused, 13 are they off the list, Dale? 14 THE CLERK: They're still on. No. 15 Oh, they're still on there. Okay. Theresa THE COURT: 16 Lofton? MS. LOFTON: 17 Here. 18 THE COURT: Welcome, Theresa. Carson Mound? 19 MS. MOUND: Here. 20 THE COURT: Carson, welcome. Nancy Reddog? 21 MS. REDDOG: Here. 22 THE COURT: Nancy, welcome. Rhonda Swan? Rhonda, welcome. 23 Jared Uses Many? Is Jared here? Okay. He was served so 24 we will do a show cause to Jared. Sylvan Bald Eagle? Sylvan not here? Sunshine Brown was not served. Evelyn 25

Condon? Evelyn, welcome. Carol Eagle Chasing? Is Carol 1 here? Okay. Looks like she was served. Was she excused? 2 3 THE CLERK: No. 4 THE COURT: Show cause to Carol. Latonne Gunville? Latonne Gunville. 5 MS. GUNVILLE: Sorry, Sorry, Latonne. Latonne Gunville is 6 THE COURT: 7 present. Lori Kennedy? Oh, Lori wasn't served. Sichangu Lee? 8 MR. LEE: 9 Here. Welcome. Carla Montreal? 10 THE COURT: MS. BLUECOAT: I go -- I go by Bluecoat now. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. We will change that, Carla. And attorneys, if you would note that. It's Carla Bluecoat. 13 14 Okay. Delphine Red Fox? Welcome, Delphine. Carol Ann Thompson? Carol Ann, welcome. Craig Zephier? Craig 15 16 Zephier? Looks like he was served. Was he excused? 17 THE CLERK: No. Okay. We will issue a show cause to Craig. 18 THE COURT: 19 Carmen Cutt? 20 THE CLERK: She was excused. THE COURT: Carmen was excused. We will -- counsel will 21 remove her name. Marcella Gilbert was not served. 22 LeCompte? Sharon, welcome. Narcisse Rousseau? Narcisse, 23 welcome. Rita Birkeland? 24 25 THE CLERK: She was excused.

1 THE COURT: Rita was excused. Counsel will strike her name. 2 Nadine Fisherman? Welcome, Nadine. Vincent LeClarie? 3 THE CLERK: He put in a letter of excuse. 4 THE COURT: Okay. It hasn't been ruled on yet? 5 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE). 6 THE COURT: We will rule on that. Take a look at it later. 7 William Red Bear? 8 THE CLERK: He was excused. THE COURT: William was excused. So counsel will strike 10 that. Okay. Well, that's a good turnout. 11 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE). Who is it? 12 THE COURT: Gerald Brown. 13 THE CLERK: Gerald Brown has now reported. So we will vacate 14 THE COURT: 15 that order to show cause to Gerald. You're Gerald? 16 MR. BROWN: Yeah. 17 THE COURT: Welcome, Gerald. Okay, Jurors, if all of you 18 would raise your right hand. Do each of you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? 19 20 so, say "I do." 21 ALL: I do. 22 THE COURT: Okay. The jurors have been sworn. I'm going to 2.3 ask you a few questions, Jurors. All of you are tribal 24 members here of Cheyenne River? 25 ALL: (INAUDIBLE).

1 THE COURT: Okay. Now, I read the heading of this case. 2 Long Family Land and Cattle Company, Ronnie and Lila Long 3 v. Edward and Mary Maciejewski, Ralph and Norma Pesicka 4 and the Bank of Hoven. 5 Do any of you have any personal knowledge of the 6 dispute between these parties? Okay. As I indicated, 7 this could be a two-day trial. At a minimum it's going to 8 be two days, today and next Wednesday. Can all of you 9 jurors sit today and next Wednesday? When I say "today," 10 we're probably talking about until 5 o'clock today. 11 Do any of you have any pressing commitments today or 12 next Wednesday that would prevent you from sitting as 13 jurors in this case? Okay. Your name again? 14 MS. FISHERMAN: Nadine Fisherman. 15 Nadine. THE COURT: 16 I work with preschool children, so it's hard for MS. FISHERMAN: 17 me to get away. Okay. You got excused for today though? 18 THE COURT: 19 MS. FISHERMAN: Yeah. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And you are getting administrative leave 21 from the trial? 22 MS. FISHERMAN: (INAUDIBLE). 23 So if you got selected, and it was two days -- I THE COURT:

know that -- we appreciate all of you appearing.

realize two days of your time is a serious commitment.

24

25

You could get release from next Wednesday also, Nadine? 1 I don't know. It's really hard for me to take 2 MS. FISHERMAN: leave from where I work. 3 Okay. I think the tribal law does require that THE COURT: 4 you be given administrative leave. Do you have other 5 people at work that could help out with the kids that you 6 work with, Nadine? 7 MS. FISHERMAN: (INAUDIBLE). 8 You do? And they're helping out today? 9 THE COURT: MS. FISHERMAN: 10 Yes. Okay. We will keep you in the pool for now, 11 THE COURT: okay, Nadine, but we will note that. Anyone else? Does 12 anyone here -- and I don't mean to pry, but I just want to 13 make sure that you can sit as jurors. Do you have any 14 medical -- physical or mental problems that would prevent 15 you from being able to hear the evidence and -- you are 16 17 going to be sitting for a substantial period of time. any of you have any medical problems that you think may 18 interfere with your ability to sit as jurors? 19 Does a hearing aid mean a problem? 20 MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Are you having -- your name is? 21 THE COURT: 22 MS. THOMPSON: Carol Thompson. Carol. Okay. It's Carol -- I think it was 23 THE COURT: Carol -- Carol Thompson. 24 25 MS. THOMPSON: Yeah.

1 THE COURT: Carol Ann Thompson, we've got you listed. Can 2 you hear me as I talk now, Carol Ann? 3 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Do you have a problem with hearing, Carol? 5 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. 6 THE COURT: You do? But where I'm sitting now, if everyone 7 spoke at this level during the trial, would you be able to hear? 8 9 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? So everyone here would be 11 able to sit today and next Wednesday? Great. Well, let 12 me ask you jurors this: Do any of you have any reason to 13 believe that you could not be fair to the plaintiff in 14 this case, which is Ronnie Long or Lila Long? Okay. 15 We've got a couple. 16 Mr. Rousseau? And I don't need you to go into why. 17 Do you feel that you could not be fair to the plaintiff? 18 MR. ROUSSEAU: Well, I just got back (INAUDIBLE). I know them, 19 both the parties. 20 THE COURT: You know the Bank of Hoven? And you know --21 I know Ron and his wife and Doc Pesicka and his MR. ROUSSEAU: 22 wife (INAUDIBLE) Norma. 23 You did what, Mr. Rousseau? THE COURT: I know Ralph Pesicka and his wife, and I know Ron 24 MR. ROUSSEAU: 25 and his wife.

1 | THE COURT: Oh, you do?

2 MR. ROUSSEAU: Quite well.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Are you friends with either side?

4 MR. ROUSSEAU: Both.

5 THE COURT: Friends with both sides?

6 MR. ROUSSEAU: Yeah, both.

7 | THE COURT: With that friendship, do you think that would

8 interfere with your ability to be fair as a juror?

9 MR. ROUSSEAU: It would have to be preserved.

10 | THE COURT: Okay. Are you saying you would be fairer to one

11 side over the other? Would you be biased towards one side

12 over the other, Mr. Rousseau?

13 MR. ROUSSEAU: It's a possibility.

14 THE COURT: It's a possibility. When you say you're

friends -- let's take the plaintiff -- how close of

16 friendship do you have with Mr. Long?

17 MR. ROUSSEAU: Well, him and his wife, I guess, I consider them

18 as friends.

19 THE COURT: You do?

20 | MR. ROUSSEAU: I visited them often from time to time.

21 | THE COURT: Okay. And then you said you also --

22 MR. ROUSSEAU: As well --

23 THE COURT: Go ahead. Sorry.

24 MR. ROUSSEAU: As well as Ralph and his wife.

25 THE COURT: Mr. Pesicka?

1 MR. ROUSSEAU: Um-hum. And you think that would interfere with your 2 THE COURT: ability to serve as a juror, Mr. Rousseau? 3 MR. ROUSSEAU: Honestly, yes. 4 Well, I would be inclined to strike him for 5 THE COURT: Do either counsel have any questions of 6 Mr. Rousseau? 7 MR. VON WALD: I have none, Your Honor. 8 Any objection to striking him for cause, THE COURT: Mr. Von Wald? 10 MR. HURLEY: No, Your Honor. Oh, excuse me. 11 12 THE COURT: Mr. Von Wald, any objection to striking --MR. VON WALD: No, I do not, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Mr. Hurley? 15 MR. HURLEY: No, Your Honor. Mr. Rousseau, we're going to excuse you. I 16 THE COURT: appreciate your honesty. You still get your fee for 17 reporting as a juror, but you're free to go at this time. 18 19 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ROUSSEAU: Thank you. We had -- I'm trying to think. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Gunville? Latonne. Latonne Gunville? Am I 21 22 pronouncing that --Latonne Gunville. 23 MS. GUNVILLE: You raised your hand, correct, Latonne? THE COURT: 24 25 MS. GUNVILLE: (INAUDIBLE).

THE COURT: No. You don't have to. 1 No. MS. GUNVILLE: He's my uncle. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Rousseau -- what's that? MS. GUNVILLE: He's my uncle. 5 Who is? Mr. Long? THE COURT: MS. GUNVILLE: 6 Yeah. THE COURT: He's your uncle? 8 MS. GUNVILLE: And she's my auntie. 9 I see -- and are you pointing to your auntie in THE COURT: the back row there? 10 11 MS. GUNVILLE: Yeah. 12 THE COURT: Well, I hope she raised your hand, too. All 13 right. Your auntie --She is my mom's sister. 14 MS. GUNVILLE: 15 THE COURT: Okay. And how are you related to Mr. Long? 16 MS. GUNVILLE: That's his wife, and he's my (INAUDIBLE). 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. VON WALD: She's pointing -- Your Honor, she is pointing to 19 Ronnie's wife behind her. 20 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 21 MS. GUNVILLE: She's my mother's sister. 22 I didn't know that was Ronnie's wife. I thought THE COURT: 23 that was a juror back there. Okay. All right. So do you 24 think that would prevent you from being fair to the Bank

25

in this case, Latonne?

MS. GUNVILLE: Yeah. 1 I mean --2 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I would be inclined to strike her 3 for cause -- for cause. Does counsel have any objection? 4 MR. HURLEY: No objection, Your Honor. 5 MR. VON WALD: I have none, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you for your honesty, Latonne, 7 and you are free to go, also. Check with the clerk. How 8 are we doing -- what are we going to do with their fees, 9 Dale? Probably just work it out. 10 THE CLERK: 11 THE COURT: Although, if you get admin leave from the Tribe, 12 you don't also get a fee, do you? 13 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE). 14 Oh, everybody gets one. So great. All right, THE COURT: 15 Thank you. Latonne. 16 MS. GUNVILLE: Okay. Thanks. 17 Anyone else? All right. Last chance before we THE COURT: 18 select -- we're going to select 15 of you. How many seats 19 do we have up here? We don't have enough seats. What we 20 may have to do is -- I wonder if we can put three more 21 seats over there, Dale. Last chance. What we're going to 22 do now is pick 15 names. The 15 of you are going to come 23 up here, and then the attorneys are going to ask you some 24 questions. So anybody else have any concern you wanted to

raise to the Court? Okay. Yes. Your name again is?

25

MS. LOFTON: Theresa Lofton. THE COURT: 2 Theresa, okay. Yes, Theresa. MS. LOFTON: I work in a program where I know the plaintiffs -- or defendants, Ronnie and Lila Long. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. I don't know if that's . . . MS. LOFTON: 7 THE COURT: Do you have any personal knowledge about the 8 transactions between these parties, Theresa? 9 MS. LOFTON: I think what -- where we have to verify No. income, I don't know if that's . . . 10 11 THE COURT: Where do you work, Theresa? MS. LOFTON: 12 I work with the Food Administration program. 13 THE COURT: I see. Okay. The knowledge that you have about 14 the plaintiffs, would that bias you against Mr. Long and 15 his wife or --MS. LOFTON: 16 No. 17 THE COURT: Would it bias you against the Bank? 18 MS. LOFTON: No. Okay. Thank you, Theresa. We will keep you in 19 THE COURT: 20 the pool for now. Anyone else? All right. Let's draw out 15 names. And what we will do is the first 12 of you 21 22 just come on up here, and then we'll have to clear an aisle -- maybe three seats there in front. So just call 23 24 15 names, Dale. 25 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE).

1 THE COURT: Yeah, just at random. You -- does counsel have a 2 chart to -- so you can get all of these names? 3 THE CLERK: Carson Mound. THE COURT: Who was that? 5 THE CLERK: Carson Mound. 6 THE COURT: Carson Mound? Carson, come on up here. Just 7 have a seat right over here, Carson, at the back left. 8 MR. VON WALD: Tell me which number (INAUDIBLE). Nadine Fisherman. THE CLERK: THE COURT: 10 Nadine Fisherman? 11 l THE CLERK: Rhonda Swan. Rhonda Swan? 12 THE COURT: THE CLERK: Debra Jeffries. 13 Debra Jeffries? 14 THE COURT: MR. VON WALD: Just a second. Hold on. I started --15 l David is a slow writer. 16 THE COURT: MR. VON WALD: Yeah. I'm a slow writer. I started writing the 17 l 18 other way around here. Okay. We have Carson, Nadine. This is Rhonda. 19 THE COURT: This is Rhonda. (INAUDIBLE). 20 THE CLERK: Oh. Well, hold on, Rhonda. Let Rhonda get in 21 l THE COURT: front of you, Debra. Rhonda and Debra. 22 THE CLERK: 23 Evelyn Swift Eagle. 24 THE COURT: Evelyn? Evelyn Swift Eagle?

Carla Bluecoat

25

THE CLERK:

1 THE COURT: Carla Bluecoat? 2 Jennifer Gaytan -- Jennifer Keller. THE CLERK: Jennifer Keller? 3 THE COURT: THE CLERK: Mary Garter. 5 MR. HURLEY: Excuse me? Who was after Carla Bluecoat, Your 6 Honor? 7 THE COURT: This is Jennifer Keller. MR. HURLEY: Okay. And the next juror was who, Dale? THE CLERK: Mary Garter. THE COURT: 10 Mary? Mary Garter? THE CLERK: 11 Nancy Reddog. 12 THE COURT: Nancy? MR. VON WALD: Just a second, Your Honor. I started writing 13 14 wrong again. 15 Actually -- okay. Do counsel see how they are THE COURT: 16 sitting? 17 MR. VON WALD: I do now, yeah. Jennifer Keller. That's Mary. This is Nancy assuming her seat. THE COURT: 18 19 THE CLERK: Delphine Red Fox. 20 THE COURT: Delphine? 21 THE CLERK: Suella High Elk. The juror again was? What's the juror's name, 22 THE COURT: 23 Suella? THE CLERK: 24 Suella High Elk. Suella High Elk. Suella? 25 THE COURT:

```
THE CLERK:
 1
                    Steve Moran.
    THE COURT: Steve? And I think that fills up -- Jurors, I
 3
         apologize. I forgot your two names. Could you move over,
 4
         so we could place the three remaining jurors in those
 5
         seats?
   MR. VON WALD: We have seven chairs instead of six in there.
 6
                                                                  So
 7
         we (INAUDIBLE) 14 chairs up there.
 8
    THE COURT:
                    No. I think we will go one, two, three, four,
 9
         five, six, seven --
   MR. VON WALD:
10
                 Oh.
              -- eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve. We've got
11
   THE COURT:
12
         seven in back and five in front.
13
   MR. VON WALD:
                   Okay.
14
                  So we need three more jurors. Actually -- and I
    THE COURT:
         did not -- this juror's name?
15
16
   THE CLERK:
                   Steve Moran.
   THE COURT: Steve. All right. We need three more names.
17
         Yeah, Jim. Did you have a question?
18
                   Could we move that table out, Your Honor, for
19
   MR. HURLEY:
20
         more room?
    THE COURT: Yeah. We could move that table out and put three
21
         more chairs there. How heavy is that table, Dale?
22
23
    THE CLERK:
                    (INAUDIBLE).
              Jim, let's test your strength, here. Maybe our
24
   THE COURT:
         juror, Steve, could help. We'll give him a little more
25
```

1 money here. 2 MR. VON WALD: Do you have them written down? 3 THE COURT: And now maybe we can move three chairs over. 4 Thank you, Steve. 5 MR. MORAN: You bet. Maybe Steve should be our bailiff. He's a pretty THE COURT: 7 good worker. That's going to be tight. You know, we 8 could either put that back by Carson -- oh, yeah. Slide 9 That's a good idea. These are very industrious 10 attorneys, Jurors. Okay. Thank you. So we left off with Steve. We need three more, and we will just go beside 11 12 Steve and then over here. THE CLERK: 13 Myron Curley. 14 THE COURT: Myron Curley? Frank Iron Hawk. 15 THE CLERK: THE COURT: Frank Iron Hawk? 16 17 THE CLERK: And one more, right? 18 THE COURT: One more juror. 19 Carol Thompson. THE CLERK: Carol Thompson? And, Carol, you've been hearing 20 THE COURT: everything okay, Carol? 21 MS. THOMPSON: (INAUDIBLE). 22. Oh, thank you, Carol. Come right up here. 23 THE COURT: Jurors -- why don't I introduce you, Chuck. Chuck Jasper. 24 25 Chuck is an attorney, also. You're from Rapid City right,

1 Chuck? MR. JASPERS: T am. Chuck is appearing today on behalf of the other 3 THE COURT: 4 defendants, Edward and Mary Maciejewski and Ralph and Norma Pesicka. But, Chuck, you won't be participating in 5 voir dire or anything like that? 6 I don't think I would, Your Honor. 7 MR. JASPERS: No. So that's who this gentleman is, Jurors. THE COURT: 8 Okav. So we've impaneled 15 jurors now. And at this time 9 what I would do then is tender these jurors to counsel for 10 the plaintiff. Do you have any questions of these jurors, 11 12 both for cause and the peremptories? Thank you, Your Honor. Ladies and gentlemen of 13 MR. HURLEY: 14 the jury, I'm Jim Hurley from Rapid City, South Dakota, and I represent Ronnie Long and his wife Lila Long, in the 15 back of the room. And like the Court said, we don't mean 16 to pry in any way into your lives, but we need to find out 17 a little bit more about you. As the Court said, the Bank 18 of Hoven is a party in this case. And the plaintiffs 19 would -- would ask this question: Do you or your spouse 20 or any of your relatives or any of your friends work for a 21 bank or a financial institution? 22

23 THE COURT:

Carson, you raised your hand?

24 MR. HURLEY:

Yes, ma'am.

25 MS. MOUND:

My niece works for a bank.

MR. HURLEY: For the Bank of Hoven? 2 MS. MOUND: Oh, no. Oh, for a different bank? 3 MR. HURLEY: MS. MOUND: Yes. 5 Is there anything about the fact that your MR. HURLEY: Okay. 6 niece is working for a bank that would cause you not to 7 render a fair and impartial jury verdict today? MS. MOUND: No. 8 9 MR. HURLEY: Is there anything about the fact that your niece works for a bank that would make you believe that anything 10 11 that this man says in this case would be absolutely right 12 and the Longs would be wrong? 13 MS. MOUND: No. Thank you, ma'am. Anyone else work for a bank or 14 MR. HURLEY: 15 have any relatives that works for a bank, works for any 16 bank? 17 As the Court said, Attorney David Von Wald is 18 representing the Bank. Are any of you a client of David Von Wald or his law firm or a friend or relative of his 19 20 wife or his children? As the Court said, sitting beside David is an 21 22 employee of the Bank of Hoven, Charles Simon. Are any of 23 you a relative or friend of Charles Simon or his wife or 24 his children? 25 As the Court said also a named defendant in this case

are Ralph and Norma Pesicka, and I believe you answered 1 2 the Court's question about them. There isn't anybody here 3 that is a friend of the Pesickas? Thank you. Also, I believe an officer of the Bank, Jim Nielsen, 4 5 will be called as a witness, and the schedule indicates 6 probably the second day of the trial. Do any of you know 7 Jim Nielsen, or are you a friend or relative of Jim Nielsen or his wife or their children? 8 9 MR. HURLEY: No further questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: 10 You pass for cause? 11 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: And pass also for peremptories? Do you 13 understand you exercised yours? I believe we have some peremptories, Your Honor. 14 MR. HURLEY: 15 Well, I know. But as far as questioning for THE COURT: peremptories, that's all the questions you have? 16 17 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Plaintiff passes for cause. David, do you have any questions of the jurors? 19 I have some. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, 20 MR. VON WALD: as the Court has introduced you -- me to you before, my 21 22 first name is David Von Wald, and I practice law in Hoven. And I also have a -- actually, it's a nephew of mine, John 23 Von Wald, from Selby that practices in the same law firm 24

that I do; we're in a partnership. Do any of you -- and

25

to be frank with you, I don't know just exactly who — the clients that he has and what he doesn't have, so I don't want any conflict. Do any of you do any business with John Von Wald, an attorney from Selby, or his wife Lisa? Okay.

Now Ronnie and Lila Long I know live in the area here, and some people may be related to them. I think most of those that were related to them have raised their hand. But are any of you related to Ronnie or Lila Long?

And the -- Jim Hurley has a firm out in Rapid City. It's the Bangs, McCullen Firm. I don't know how many lawyers are on your title page, Jim. There are about -- how many attorneys are out there? About 15 or 20? It's a fairly large firm.

MR. HURLEY: Yes.

MR. VON WALD: At any rate, it's Bangs, McCullen Law Firm. Have any of you ever used that law firm before to do any (INAUDIBLE) work for you? Have any of you hired that law firm at all?

Okay. And — now, this case has to do with banks, the Bank of Hoven. That's who I represent. And I know that a number of people who borrow money from banks not all of it turns out well. Some of it does; some of it doesn't. And I'm really not trying to pry into anything as far as your past is concerned, but what I do want to

know is generally just get an idea of whether any of 1 you -- first of all, have any of you ever borrowed any 2 money from a bank? And first of all -- let's start with 3 4 you, Carson.

5 MS. MOUND: Um-hum.

MR. VON WALD: When you borrowed money from a bank, would it be like car loans or home loans or --7

MS. MOUND: 8 Home loans.

MR. VON WALD: Home loans. How about a business loan, have you ever had that (INAUDIBLE)? 10

11 MS. MOUND: No.

And with your experience with the bank, have you 12 MR. VON WALD: 13 had any problems with it or do you think the bank treated 14 you fairly?

15 MS. MOUND: I haven't.

MR. VON WALD: So you don't basically have any ill will towards 16 17 the Bank?

MS. MOUND: 18 No.

(INAUDIBLE). Okay. And now your name is Rhonda? 19 MR. VON WALD:

MS. SWAN: Yeah. 20

21 Rhonda, how much -- you said you borrowed money MR. VON WALD:

from a bank? What kind of loans? 22

MS. SWAN: Personal. 23

Personal loans? MR. VON WALD: 24

25 MS. SWAN: And auto.

MR. VON WALD: Auto loans or personal loans? MS. SWAN: (INAUDIBLE). MR. VON WALD: And have you been treated fairly by the bank in those cases, do you think? 4 5 MS. SWAN: Most of the time. That wasn't the Bank of Hoven MR. VON WALD: Most of the time. 7 that you were dealing with though, right? Huh-uh. MS. SWAN: 8 And with your dealings that you've had as far as 9 MR. VON WALD: loans are concerned most of the time, you said you didn't 10 think that you were treated fairly apparently all of the 1.1 Would that change your outlook as far as banks? 12 13 You know, in other words, do you have some sort of ill feelings towards banks because of your borrowing money? 14 15 MS. SWAN: (INAUDIBLE). You don't think you do? Okay. So what I'm 16 MR. VON WALD: 17 getting at is we have testimony in this case. All we really want to have is a fair jury. And if we have 18 19 testimony in this case that you think the facts would be 20 unfavorable to the Bank, but you think, geez, that's the Bank of Hoven; I'm not going to vote for them (INAUDIBLE). 21 Yeah. And who else then has borrowed some money? Your 22 name is Debra? 23 MS. JEFFRIES: Um-hum. 24 MR. VON WALD: Okay. How about you, is it personal loans or car 25

loans? 1 MS. JEFFRIES: Auto and home. 2 3 MR. VON WALD: Auto, home? MS. JEFFRIES: Auto and home. 5 MR. VON WALD: Okay. And have you ever had any, you know, problems with a bank regarding any of your loans? 6 MS. JEFFRIES: 7 (INAUDIBLE). Do you know of anybody that's had problems and 8 MR. VON WALD: 9 because of that it would influence how you think about banks? 10 MS. JEFFRIES: 11 No. Do you think that you could be fair pretty much 12 MR. VON WALD: as far as sitting here today and say, well, if the facts 13 look this way, I don't care if it's the Bank or it's 14 15 Ronnie Long, and that's the way I'm going to vote? I think I could. MS. JEFFRIES: 16 17 MR. VON WALD: Okay. You don't think you're biased -- you would be biased towards either of the parties here? 18 MS. JEFFRIES: No. 19 Okay. Thanks. And who else? Evelyn, first. MR. VON WALD: 20 How about you, was it personal loans or --21 22 MS. SWIFT EAGLE: (INAUDIBLE). Okay. Any business loans? 23 MR. VON WALD:

Okay. And by the way -- I guess I didn't ask

MS. SWIFT EAGLE:

MR. VON WALD:

No.

24

25

1 you, Debra. Yours wasn't with the Bank of Hoven, was it?

2 MS. JEFFRIES: No.

3 MR. VON WALD: None of you have borrowed from the Bank of Hoven,

4 right?

5 MS. JEFFRIES: No.

6 MR. VON WALD: Okay. Have any of you heard of Bank of Hoven?

7 MS. MOUND: (INAUDIBLE).

8 MR. VON WALD: You have?

9 MS. MOUND: My brother --

10 MR. VON WALD: You've heard --

11 MS. MOUND: My brother --

12 MR. VON WALD: Pardon me?

13 MS. MOUND: My brother banks over there.

14 MR. VON WALD: Okay. Your brother is probably not Mound; is

15 that correct?

16 MS. MOUND: No.

17 MR. VON WALD: What's your brother's name?

18 MS. MOUND: Pierman.

19 MR. VON WALD: Pierman. Rusty?

20 MS. MOUND: Ronald.

21 MR. VON WALD: Oh, Ronald. Does that influence you one way or

22 another as far as the --

23 MS. MOUND: I think it would.

24 MR. VON WALD: You think it would?

25 MS. MOUND: (INAUDIBLE).

1 MR. VON WALD: All right. You think that would influence you, so it would be tough for you to make a decision --2 Yeah. 3 MS. MOUND: MR. VON WALD: -- in favor of the Bank? Okay. So you think that it would be pretty difficult for you to be impartial 5 then as a juror here? 6 7 MS. MOUND: Yes, it would. THE COURT: Are you asking that she should be removed for 8 9 cause? MR. VON WALD: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Any questions, Jim? 11 12 MR. HURLEY: No questions, Your Honor. I think we will strike Carson for cause. And we 13 THE COURT: will have to pull a name. Carson, you are free to go 14 15 also. 16 MS. MOUND: Thank you. Make sure that you get your fee. Thank you for 17 THE COURT: your patience and your honesty. And let's call another 18 19 juror to replace her, Dale. 20 THE CLERK: Sharon LeCompte. Sharon? And the jurors back there, make sure to 21 THE COURT: listen to all of the questions. If you get called up 22 here, the attorneys may ask you again if you've heard the 23 questions that you have anything to say about. 24 25 This is Sharon LeCompte, correct? Sharon, you heard

```
1
          David's questions. Did you have any response to any of
 2
          them?
 3
    MS. LECOMPTE:
                     I think that I -- I should tell you about my
          husband is a board of director on a bank. It's not the
 4
          Hoven Bank, but I think that that would influence my
 5
          decision on how I would view this case, honestly.
 6
 7
    THE COURT:
                     Your husband is a board of director on a bank in
          this area?
8
                     In this state. It can't be in this area because
 9
    MS. LECOMPTE:
10
          I think (INAUDIBLE).
                     Okay. Are you saying that it would influence you
11
    THE COURT:
          because you tend to agree with banks or because you know a
12
13
          lot about banking?
                     Not that I know a whole lot about banking, but
14
    MS. LECOMPTE:
          because -- I don't know if I would be able to.
15
                     Okay. But if I gave you like clear law to apply
16
    THE COURT:
17
          to the case, would you be fair to the Longs? If the Longs
          were to show you that the Bank did something wrong, do you
18
19
          think that you could be fair to the Longs, even though
          it's a bank that's being sued?
20
21
    MS. LECOMPTE:
                     (INAUDIBLE).
                     Do you think you could?
22
    THE COURT:
                     I just wanted you to know that, though.
23
    MS. LECOMPTE:
                     Well, we appreciate you revealing that.
                                                               I think
24
    THE COURT:
25
          I'm not inclined to strike her. Now, we will reopen it,
```

```
1
          Jim, since we've got a new juror. Did you want to ask
 2
          this juror any questions?
 3
                     Yes, Your Honor, if I might. Good morning,
    MR. HURLEY:
 4
                   Thank you for sharing with us that information.
 5
          Does the fact that your husband serves as a director of a
 6
          bank, would that tend to cause you to believe that the
 7
          Bank or the banker in this case would be perhaps more
8
          entitled -- would perhaps be more entitled to belief by
 9
          you?
                     The bank that my husband is a board of director
10
    MS. LECOMPTE:
11
          of is like a people's bank (INAUDIBLE).
12
    UNIDENTIFIED:
                     You should have her speak up.
    MR. VON WALD:
                     I didn't -- I didn't hear you, Sharon,
13
14
          Mrs. LeCompte?
                     She said that the bank that her husband -- you
15
    THE COURT:
          said people's bank?
16
17
    MS. LECOMPTE:
                     Yeah.
    THE COURT:
                     Is that --
18
19
    MS. LECOMPTE:
                    (INAUDIBLE).
    THE COURT:
                     That's a credit union.
20
                     It's a people's bank. So I don't think that --
    MS. LECOMPTE:
21
22
          that the issue here is probably the same -- the same way
          as -- the Bank of Hoven and other banks are different
23
24
          (INAUDIBLE).
25
    THE COURT:
                     Okay.
```

1 MR. HURLEY: And I appreciate what you are saying, ma'am. 2 you believe that bankers in what they say are more 3 entitled to be believed than someone who is complaining about a bank, like Mr. and Mrs. Long? 4 5 MS. LECOMPTE: (INAUDIBLE). MR. HURLEY: You would be able -- you believe that you would 7 be able to be a fair and impartial juror in this case for 8 either side, call it as you see it? 9 MS. LECOMPTE: Yes. MR. HURLEY: You would be able to render a fair and impartial 10 11 verdict in the case, one way or the other? 12 MS. LECOMPTE: (INAUDIBLE). 13 MR. HURLEY: Thank you. 14 All right. Go ahead, David. Finish up. THE COURT: 15 want to make sure, Carol Ann, are you hearing everything? 16 These two gentlemen are kind of soft spoken. Are you 17 picking up on everything they're saying now? 18 MS. THOMPSON: (INAUDIBLE). 19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 20 I can speak louder, if you need me to. You could MR. VON WALD: ask any one of my brothers, and they would tell you that. 21 Let's see. Now I was with you, Evelyn. And you had 22 some -- I guess I changed right in the middle of the 23 stream when I was talking to you before. All of a sudden 24 25 I got an answer down here. You said you had some personal

- loans, right? 1 MS. SWIFT EAGLE: 2 (INAUDIBLE). 3 MR. VON WALD: And were any of those personal loans that you had 4 with banks, did you have any problems with them where you 5 felt that the bank did not treat you fairly? MS. SWIFT EAGLE: (INAUDIBLE). So in your estimation, the loans that you had, 7 MR. VON WALD: 8 you had no problems with the banks because of that; is 9 that right? MS. SWIFT EAGLE: (INAUDIBLE). 10 11 MR. VON WALD: Okay. Anyone else? Carla? How -- you had 12 personal loans then or --13 MS. BLUECOAT: Personal loans and a car. 14 MR. VON WALD: Okay. 15 MS. BLUECOAT: I had car payments. 16 MR. VON WALD: Okay. Whatever --17 MS. BLUECOAT: But it was (INAUDIBLE). And the Bank of Eagle Butte, I -- I have a direct deposit. And even though I 18 have a direct deposit, I tried to put in for loan. And it 19 wasn't for a large amount. It was for a small amount. 20 Okay. I -- I don't really want to delve into 21 MR. VON WALD: 22 your personal finances, but --
- 23 MS. BLUECOAT: I have a say in this bank here. I I believe you're very prejudiced myself.
- 25 MR. VON WALD: Okay.

- 1 MS. BLUECOAT: Because I've been banking there for the last -2 since I've been back in (INAUDIBLE).
- 3 MR. VON WALD: Okay.
- 4 MS. BLUECOAT: And --
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. And, Evelyn, you don't have to give us any
- 6 specifics or anything, but you feel that you -- do you
- 7 have a bias you think against banks?
- 8 MS. BLUECOAT: Yeah.
- 9 MR. VON WALD: Do you think that would interfere with you being
- 10 fair in this case to the Bank of Hoven?
- 11 MS. BLUECOAT: I believe I do because I -- I believe that even
- if you do have your -- your -- what would you call it --
- your collateral, you know, mine was my salary which went
- in. I still wasn't -- I still didn't get the loan.
- 15 THE COURT: Okay.
- 16 MS. BLUECOAT: I feel that -- I believe -- I believe for the
- 17 Longs, you know.
- 18 MR. VON WALD: You would be in favor of the Longs?
- 19 MS. BLUECOAT: Yes. I would be in favor of the Longs.
- 20 MR. VON WALD: You have a preset mind right now, and it's in
- favor of the Longs as you are sitting here.
- 22 MS. BLUECOAT: Yes.
- 23 MR. VON WALD: Even though you've really heard nothing about the
- 24 | case?
- 25 MS. BLUECOAT: Even though I haven't heard, I know -- I know

them through my parents. My parents both -- (INAUDIBLE). 1 MR. VON WALD: Okay. 3 THE COURT: Okay. You're Carla, right? I'm Carla. MS. BLUECOAT: 5 THE COURT: Okay. Jim, I assume you are asking that she be 6 removed? MR. VON WALD: David, Your Honor. 7 Oh, you're David. THE COURT: 8 MR. VON WALD: Yeah. Sorry. Any questions of her, Jim? THE COURT: 10 No, Your Honor. MR. HURLEY: 11 THE COURT: All right. Carla, thank you for your honesty. 12 Um-hum. 13 MS. BLUECOAT: You are free to go. Check with the clerk before 14 THE COURT: 15 you leave. And we need to replace Carla. THE CLERK: Sichangu Lee. 16 17 THE COURT: Sichangu Lee? 18 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE). Sichangu is not here, right? 19 THE COURT: 20 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE). Oh, there. Sichangu, come on up. You take 21 THE COURT: Carla's seat. 22 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE). 23 Oh, do we have a juror that reported late? Okay. 24 THE COURT:

25

What's your name, sir?

1 MR. LAWRENCE: Justin Lawrence. 2 THE COURT: Justin Lawrence. We may need you, Justin. Okay. 3 I'll vacate the order to show cause for Justin. You are a 4 little late; but better late than never, right, Justin? I appreciate you coming. Just sit still for now. We're not 5 asking you any particular questions, but we may need you. 6 7 Okay, Justin? All right. Go ahead. You can proceed. MR. VON WALD: Do you want Mr. Hurley to proceed, Your Honor 8 9 or --THE COURT: Well, I think --10 MR. VON WALD: -- with this witness? 11 12 THE COURT: Well, go ahead and ask questions. If you want to 13 ask some of Sichangu, you can. If not, just go ahead. All right. You heard the questions that I've 14 MR. VON WALD: 15 asked before sitting back here. And you don't know me or 16 anyone in my firm (INAUDIBLE), my niece -- my niece and my 17 nephew in the firm -- that firm in Selby? You have not 18 had any legal work with them? And let -- now we're down to the corner, I guess. 19 20 you know the Longs at all, Ronnie or Lila Long? 21 MR. LEE: (INAUDIBLE). MR. VON WALD: 22 And have you ever made any loans at a bank? 23 MR. LEE: (INAUDIBLE). Okay. And so do you know anything about a bank 24 MR. VON WALD: 25 or have you ever heard anything about a bank that would

make you think that they're no good or that they're good, 1 2 either way? 3 MR. LEE: (INAUDIBLE). You mean you may have heard something that might MR. VON WALD: 5 make it difficult for you to vote in favor of the Bank or 6 vote against the Bank? 7 MR. LEE: I would have to say in favor. It would make it difficult to vote in favor of 8 MR. VON WALD: 9 the Bank? MR. LEE: 10 (INAUDIBLE). And do you think that you could, if you sat here 11 MR. VON WALD: 12 and you listened to all of the evidence and you listened 13 to -- you've got to understand that the Bank is -- even 14 though it's a corporation, it's still people. And the 15 people are the ones that are (INAUDIBLE). They are people 16 just like Ronnie Long or Long Family Land and Cattle 17 Company, Inc. And so if the people are sitting there 18 testifying for the Bank, do you think it would be difficult to believe those people? You could probably 19 20 believe them? But what you are saying is if you had heard all of the evidence that you could fairly interpret that 21 22 evidence and that you might be prejudiced basically 23 against banks? 24 MR. LEE: (INAUDIBLE). 25 All right. He is shaking his head no.

THE COURT:

1 wondering if we're getting these in. Sichangu, can you 2 say yes or no, so we can get it on the mike, please? 3 Thank you. 4 MR. LEE: No. 5 Okay. So you don't think you are prejudiced MR. VON WALD: 6 against the Bank, but somehow or another I think I picked 7 up some bias from you, Sichangu, that -- that you've got 8 something that you don't like about banks; is that right? 9 MR. LEE: (INAUDIBLE). 10 MR. VON WALD: Okay. But as between Mr. Long and Lila Long and 11 the Bank if everything was equal, as an example, as you 12 are sitting here right now, just say everything was equal, 13 who would you vote in favor, the Bank or the Longs? 14 MR. LEE: I wouldn't be able to say right there and then. 15 MR. VON WALD: Okay. 16 MR. LEE: (INAUDIBLE). Listen to both side of the story. 17 MR. VON WALD: So you would listen to both sides of the story? 18 MR. LEE: I would. You would try to be fair about it? 19 MR. VON WALD: I would not take sides. 20 MR. LEE: Okay. Until you've heard the evidence? 21 MR. VON WALD: 22 MR. LEE: Yes, sir. And the judge advises of what the law is. 23 MR. VON WALD: what we want people to do is be, you know -- and I'm glad 24 25 by the way that all of you jurors have said something.

1 You know, it's not really easy as a juror to sit here. 2 Who wants to talk about this? But I'm glad that everybody 3 is honest with me and is saying whether they're prejudiced 4 against the Bank or against the Longs or whatever. 5 what makes a fair jury, and that's what we want. Okay. 6 Now ---THE COURT: Do you want to ask any questions, Jim, of 8 Sichangu or did Dave kind of cover everything? MR. HURLEY: I think David covered everything. Thank you, 10 Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Go ahead, David. Sorry. 12 MR. VON WALD: Jennifer, did you have -- you ever had any loans? 13 MS. KELLER: (INAUDIBLE). 14 MR. VON WALD: Okay. Are they personal loans? 15 MS. KELLER: Personal. 16 MR. VON WALD: Personal. Have any -- have you been treated 17 fairly by the banks that you've dealt with? MS. KELLER: 18 Yes. And you've not dealt with the Bank of Hoven, have 19 MR. VON WALD: 20 you? 21 MS. KELLER: No. 22 And so there is nothing as far as your --MR. VON WALD: basically, the bank is there for people to deposit money 23 24 and get interest or people like us who come there and 25 borrow money to buy cars, you know. So you don't have any

- 1 problems with banks?
- 2 MS. KELLER: No.
- 3 MR. VON WALD: Okay. Now, have any of the rest of you borrowed
- any from banks (INAUDIBLE)?
- 5 MS. RED FOX: (INAUDIBLE).
- 6 MR. VON WALD: Delphine Red Fox. Okay. Have you had personal
- 7 loans or business loans?
- 8 MS. RED FOX: Personal.
- 9 MR. VON WALD: Personal loans. And have you had any -- have you
- 10 been treated fairly, basically?
- 11 MS. RED FOX: Fair.
- 12 MR. VON WALD: Okay. And so you don't have any prejudices as
- you are sitting here either in favor or against banks
- 14 then?
- 15 MS. RED FOX: (INAUDIBLE).
- 16 MR. VON WALD: You could sit here basically and listen
- 17 basically? I keep telling my secretary (INAUDIBLE) all
- the time. You can sit here and listen to the evidence as
- it comes in throughout the trial, right?
- 20 MS. RED FOX: (INAUDIBLE).
- 21 MR. VON WALD: And be fair about it and keep an open mind?
- 22 MS. RED FOX: (INAUDIBLE).
- 23 MR. VON WALD: Okay. How about any of the rest of you? Nobody?
- Nobody else? (INAUDIBLE). Okay. Do now even though
- you haven't borrowed any money from a bank, do any of you

have any preset or preconceived ideas about a bank that you think might make you a little bit nervous to vote in favor of the Bank? So you could — everybody here thinks that — and I hate to harp on this, you know. But the — if the Bank is the bad guy, though, it's not really. But I know that a number of people have had problems with banks and that influences the way you think. So that's all I'm trying to do in asking my question here. I'm not done with everybody, but (INAUDIBLE) my impression.

Okay. Is there anything else that I haven't brought up so far that you can think of that would make it either difficult for you to sit here. You've been able to hear everything that we have been saying.

- MS. SWIFT EAGLE: I guess I did have a problem with a bank, the First State Bank (INAUDIBLE). I was thinking about taking them to court.
- 17 MR. VON WALD: You are thinking about suing a bank?
- 18 MS. SWIFT EAGLE: Actually, I don't know if the bank is going to be involved, but the guy I got the car from.
 - MR. VON WALD: Why don't you give me the bank's name? It looks like a future client.
 - MS. SWIFT EAGLE: But I guess I I guess I kind of feel prejudiced against the bank here, the First State Bank of Eagle Butte. (INAUDIBLE). They really never did anything to me because their banker was also the gentleman's name

that I got the car from. I guess he's not an attorney, 1 but I do believe that -- I did have -- that's the first 2 3 bank I've ever did a loan through. MR. VON WALD: 4 Okay. MS. SWIFT EAGLE: And I did really have a hard time with that. 6 But the second bank that I am currently at, I have really good communication with them and didn't have a lot of 7 8 trouble, but I quess I'm half and half. MR. VON WALD: 9 Carla? MS. SWIFT EAGLE: But . . . 10 And this is Evelyn. Right, Evelyn? 11 THE COURT: MS. SWIFT EAGLE: Yeah. 12 13 THE COURT: Evelyn Swift Eagle. 14 MR. VON WALD: Yeah. MS. SWIFT EAGLE: To be honestly truthful, I think that I 15 would -- I would go with the Longs. 16 MR. VON WALD: You would? 17 18 MS. SWIFT EAGLE: (INAUDIBLE). Again, you don't think that you could listen to 19 MR. VON WALD: the evidence and be fair and impartial? 20 MS. SWIFT EAGLE: I could listen to it, but I guess I'm 21 22 (INAUDIBLE).

23

24

25

MR. VON WALD:

THE COURT:

Honor.

I would ask that she be excused for cause, Your

So hold on a minute. So if I were to tell you

Thank you, Evelyn for being honest.

1 what the law is and you would listen to that and think the 2 Bank was in the right, you think that you would still go 3 with the Longs, Evelyn? MS. SWIFT EAGLE: 4 I quess, yeah. 5 THE COURT: You would? MS. SWIFT EAGLE: (INAUDIBLE). THE COURT: Okay. Jim, did you want to ask any questions? MR. HURLEY: Just briefly, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 MR. HURLEY: Good morning. MS. SWIFT EAGLE: Good morning. 11 12 MR. HURLEY: Of course, as you sit here right today, you 13 haven't heard any evidence in this case. 14 MS. SWIFT EAGLE: No. 15 MR. HURLEY: And you don't know the Longs and you don't know 16 the Bank, and you don't know any facts of the case, 17 correct? 18 MS. SWIFT EAGLE: No. But if the evidence starts out and it's clear to 19 MR. HURLEY: 20 you that the Longs were in the wrong and the Bank was 21 right, could you not then make your decision (INAUDIBLE) 22 jury and the, Court if that's the way you felt about the 23 case, after hearing the evidence? MS. SWIFT EAGLE: I guess when I first walked in and saw Ronnie, 24

he had opened the door for me. I have -- I liked you

25

```
right away because you were a nice person. (INAUDIBLE) I
 1
 2
          automatically thought that was honestly (INAUDIBLE) --
 3
    THE COURT:
                     You mean Ronnie opened the door for you?
                     What I'm saying though is even if in your own
 4
    MR. HURLEY:
 5
          family, I mean like your mother and your father, if
          they're wrong, you would make sure to say so, won't you?
 6
 7
          That's what we're asking here. If you hear all of the
          evidence and you feel the Bank is right and the Longs are
 8
 9
          wrong, would you stand up and say so as a (INAUDIBLE) of
          your decision?
10
    MS. SWIFT EAGLE: I quess I would have to (INAUDIBLE).
11
12
    MR. HURLEY:
                     Okay.
13
    THE COURT:
                     All right. Thank you for your honesty, Evelyn.
          You're excused. All right, Evelyn, check with -- you know
14
          Melanie In the Woods? Check with her. She needs to visit
15
16
          with you before you leave.
17
    THE CLERK:
                     (INAUDIBLE).
                     She's where?
18
    THE COURT:
                     At the civil court.
19
    THE CLERK:
                     She's at the civil court. Oh, that's the other
20
    THE COURT:
                  You know where that is?
21
          court.
22
    MS. SWIFT EAGLE:
                      Yeah.
                     Okay. Thank you. Okay. Let's go ahead and
23
    THE COURT:
24
          replace that juror.
25
    THE CLERK:
                     Theresa Lofton.
```

49 THE COURT: Theresa? Dale, could you -- do you have a chart 1 you can put the names in so when they exercise their 2 3 peremptories they could use that chart? So draw them like a little diagram where they are, not draw their pictures 4 5 or anything. All right. Theresa is now seated. I think, 6 Dave, you were questioning. Thank you, Your Honor. And your name is Theresa 7 MR. VON WALD: Lofton? 8 MS. LOFTON: Yes. MR. VON WALD: And you've heard the questions that I asked the 10 11 other jurors? 12 MS. LOFTON: (INAUDIBLE). You don't know either my nephew or my niece who 13 MR. VON WALD: 14 practice law? MS. LOFTON: No, I don't. Know the Hurley's law firm? MR. VON WALD:

- 15
- 16
- 17 MS. LOFTON: No.
- How about Ronnie and Lila Long? 18 MR. VON WALD:
- I know them. 19 MS. LOFTON:
- Okay. What's your relationship with them? MR. VON WALD: 20
- I'm --21 MS. LOFTON:
- MR. VON WALD: Oh, you're the one that said --22
- Yeah. They're a client at the Food 23 MS. LOFTON:
- Administration program. 24
- Do you think that that would make it difficult 25 MR. VON WALD:

```
for you to render a verdict in this case against them?
 1
    MS. LOFTON:
                     I believe so because I've had dealings with the
 3
          Bank of Hoven.
    MR. VON WALD:
                     Okay.
                     I was a customer for over two years and then --
 5
    MS. LOFTON:
    MR. VON WALD:
                     Okay.
 7
    MS. LOFTON:
                     And they --
 8
    MR. VON WALD:
                     And so you have some animosity towards the Bank
          of Hoven or some partiality in favor of the Longs; is that
          right?
10
                     And mostly towards the Bank of Hoven.
11
    MS. LOFTON:
12
    THE COURT:
                     Okay. All right. Theresa, why don't we excuse
13
          you then.
                    Thank you for your honesty. That seems to be
14
          the hot seat right there. That's the second juror
          that's . . .
15
16
    UNIDENTIFIED:
                     (INAUDIBLE).
                     Don't do that. Check with -- do you know where
17
    THE COURT:
          Melanie is? The court over -- check with her, okay.
18
          Thank you, Theresa. Okay. We can actually -- maybe we'll
19
          swear Mr. Lawrence. Justin, raise your right hand.
20
21
                          (JUROR SWORN.)
                      All right. Justin, you may be called up here.
22
          Just have a seat there.
23
24
    THE CLERK:
                    Cody Bendigo.
                    Cody? Come on up, Cody. Cody is juror 7.
25
    THE COURT:
```

1 you heard all of the questions so far, right?

2 MR. BENDIGO: Yes.

3 THE COURT: Did you want to make any comments on the

4 questions?

5 MR. BENDIGO: No. I'm a rancher, so I've got good and bad

6 things with banks, you know.

7 THE COURT: So you could be fair to the Bank --

8 MR. BENDIGO: I could be fair to both sides.

9 THE COURT: Go ahead, David. Questions?

10 MR. VON WALD: Cody, your last name is Bendigo?

11 MR. BENDIGO: Bendigo, yes, sir.

12 MR. VON WALD: Where do you ranch at?

13 MR. BENDIGO: Oh, my address is Howes from down the River --

the Cheyenne River back by the Takini School, out in the

15 middle of nowhere.

16 MR. VON WALD: Okay.

14

17 THE CLERK: About 60 miles from nowhere, anywhere.

18 MR. VON WALD: All right. That's about where Hoven is. You

have to go 80 miles to get a pair of shorts (INAUDIBLE).

20 MR. BENDIGO: Yeah.

21 MR. VON WALD: Anyway, you said you've had some dealings with

22 the -- first of all, do you know the Longs?

23 MR. BENDIGO: No. Not personally.

24 MR. VON WALD: Okay.

25 MR. BENDIGO: But he did own (INAUDIBLE).

- 1 MR. VON WALD: That's what you call being in the right place at the right time, huh?
- 3 MR. BENDIGO: No, I don't know them personally.
- 4 MR. VON WALD: Okay. And you don't know either my nephew or my
- 5 niece over in Selby?
- 6 MR. BENDIGO: No. No. Just know the name. I mean, you know . . .
- 8 MR. VON WALD: Von Wald. Don't do any business with them or anything?
- 10 MR. BENDIGO: No.
- 11 MR. VON WALD: And don't know anyone at Mr. Hurley's firm?
- 12 MR. BENDIGO: Right. No, I don't, no.
- 13 MR. VON WALD: Okay. And you said that you had some problems with banks before?
- 15 MR. BENDIGO: Well --
- 16 MR. VON WALD: Not problems -- or -- you had some -- first of all, you've had farm and ranch loans?
- 18 MR. BENDIGO: Correct. But there's there's good things and bad things, meaning bankers I should say.
- 20 MR. VON WALD: Good bankers and bad bankers?
- 21 MR. BENDIGO: No. No. I mean I don't have nothing personal against any one banker.
- 23 MR. VON WALD: Okay. So -- so as you are sitting here today,
 24 Cody --
- 25 (END OF DUPLICATE #1 TAPE #1).

1 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS TOOK 2 PLACE ON DUPLICATE #1 TAPE #2). 3 -- if -- if the testimony came in and the testimony 4 Basically was such that -- that say it appeared that 5 Ronnie Long was wrong and the Bank was right, would it make it difficult for you to render a decision in favor of 6 7 the Bank? 8 MR. BENDIGO: I mean I could be fair. I mean I could be No. fair to both sides. 9 MR. VON WALD: Okay. And that would be the same thing with 10 11 Ronnie Long? 12 MR. BENDIGO: Yes, sir. 13 MR. VON WALD: If it looked like Ronnie was right and the Bank 14 was wrong, why you would render a decision in favor of --15 MR. BENDIGO: (INAUDIBLE). 16 MR. VON WALD: And is there any other reason that anybody can 17 think of that -- that they may not be able to act as a 18 fair and impartial juror? Any reason? I mean basically all we're trying to get is fair people for both sides. 19 20 And, of course, it's sometimes difficult to be fair 100 percent of the time; but that's what we're looking 21 22 for. And are there -- is there any other problem that you 23 might have serving as a juror? You don't have any kids at home -- I think you said Wednesday you had a difficult 24 25 time, right? But you think you could handle that; so you

```
1
          could be here Wednesday?
    MS. FISHERMAN:
                      (INAUDIBLE).
 3
    MR. VON WALD:
                     And you don't think that -- that you would be
 4
          sitting here worrying about losing your job or something
 5
          and that you would be able to listen to the evidence?
    MS. FISHERMAN:
 6
                      (INAUDIBLE).
    MR. VON WALD:
                      So it won't affect your job basically? Could
 8
          they possibly manage it if you don't show up?
    MS. FISHERMAN:
                     Probably, but.
    MR. VON WALD:
10
                     Get over it, huh?
11
    MS. FISHERMAN:
                     (INAUDIBLE).
12
    MR. VON WALD:
                     You are what?
13
    MS. REDDOG:
                      (INAUDIBLE).
14
    MR. VON WALD:
                     Self -- child care and you're self-employed?
15
    MS. REDDOG:
                     (INAUDIBLE).
16
    MR. VON WALD:
                     So do you have kids that come there every day?
17
          Who do you have taking care of the kids today?
                     They have -- their parents have days off now.
18
    MS. REDDOG:
19
    MR. VON WALD:
                     Okay. Would their parents have like a day off on
20
          Wednesday?
21
    MS. REDDOG:
                      I can probably ask (INAUDIBLE).
22
                     Would you have someone else that could fill in
    MR. VON WALD:
23
          for you if you couldn't show up or something?
                     She usually has a difficult time trying to find
24
    MS. REDDOG:
25
          somebody.
```

```
1
    MR. VON WALD:
                     Okay. So are you telling the Court that you
 2
          think you might not be able to do it then on Wednesday?
 3
    MS. REDDOG:
                     Yeah.
 4
    MR. VON WALD:
                     You're not just -- (INAUDIBLE). You can ask her
 5
          questions if you like.
 6
    THE COURT:
                     Well, our margin of error is getting slimmer and
 7
          slimmer.
 8
    MR. VON WALD:
                     I know it.
 9
    THE COURT:
                     And -- but I'm sensitive to your -- you provide
10
          day care in your home. I think we have some compassionate
11
          counsel that could address that maybe in peremptories, so
12
          I'm not going to remove her for cause. But hang in there.
13
          You are Nancy, right?
14
    MS. REDDOG:
                     Yeah.
15
                     Hang in there. Just because you are sitting here
    THE COURT:
16
          doesn't mean you get to sit as a juror. Seven of -- eight
          of you are not, so . . . There is fifteen. Eight of you
17
18
          are not. So chances are you are not going to sit, so --
19
          but I'm not telling you you're not, but . . .
20
    MS. REDDOG:
                     Okay.
                     Hang in there with us. Anything else, David?
21
    THE COURT:
                    Nobody else has anything that they could think of
22
    MR. VON WALD:
23
          where you would be impartial -- not be impartial? Okay.
          I have no further questions.
24
25
    THE COURT:
                     Pass for cause.
```

MR. VON WALD: Yeah. 1 2 THE COURT: I will reopen it just for the jurors that were 3 added. Do you have any questions? MR. HURLEY: 4 No questions, Your Honor. Pass for cause. 5 All right. All right. Then we've got -- do you THE COURT: have a little chart of them or do either counsel have a 6 7 chart that we can use as the official one that you can 8 exercise peremptories? And just for the record, Chuck, 9 you are joining in with Dave's voir dire and his 10 peremptories? That's correct. 11 MR. JASPERS: 12 THE COURT: Okay. We will make that clear. The other 13 defendants are stipulated or agreed that the Bank's voir 14 dire and its exercise of peremptories will also be the 15 exercise of peremptories for Edward and Mary Maciejewski 16 and Ralph and Norma Pesicka. Okay, Jurors. 17 attorneys are going to mull over your names, and we're 18 going to whittle it down to 7. (WHISPERED, INAUDIBLE DISCUSSION 19 20 TOOK PLACE.) Would it be possible to use that chart as the 21 22 official one? Yes, Your Honor. We could do that. 23 MR. HURLEY: (FURTHER WHISPERED, INAUDIBLE 24 25 DISCUSSION TOOK PLACE.)

```
MR. VON WALD: We would have four, right, Your Honor?
 1
    THE COURT:
                     Each side has four. Do you want to alternate or
 3
          do you just want to -- generally, you come up here, and
 4
          you can alternate. Why don't we use that -- come on up,
 5
          Counsel.
    MR. HURLEY:
 6
                     Okay.
    THE COURT:
                     Are you finished, David?
 8
    MR. VON WALD:
                    He's got number one down.
    THE COURT:
                     Okay. One.
10
    MR. VON WALD:
                     This is in pencil, Jim?
    MR. HURLEY:
11
                     Yes.
12 I
    MR. VON WALD:
                     Just -- you have an eraser on it?
                     Um-hum.
13
    MR. HURLEY:
                     I don't know which one you wanted to pick for
14
    MR. VON WALD:
15
          number one, but --
16
    THE COURT:
                     Just pick your number one and then let -- let
          counsel for the defendant pick his number one.
17
18
          (INAUDIBLE).
19
    MR. HURLEY:
                     (INAUDIBLE).
                          (FURTHER WHISPERED, INAUDIBLE
20
                          DISCUSSION TOOK PLACE).
21
                     Just for counsel's information, the juror that's
22
    THE COURT:
          left that was called last will be the alternate. Does
23
24
          everybody understand that?
                           (WHISPERED, INAUDIBLE DISCUSSION
25
```

TOOK PLACE).

2

3

1

Okay.

MR. HURLEY:

THE COURT:

(INAUDIBLE).

5

sides have exercised their peremptories, and I also note

All right. It appears to the Court that both

6 |

participated with counsel for the Bank in exercising its

that counsel for Maciejewskis and Pesickas also

8

7

peremptories. Okay, Jurors. And the form appears to be

9

in order. If I call your name, Jurors, you have been

10

selected as a juror in this civil matter. If I don't call

11

your name, you have not been selected, which means that

12

you can go to the other court, visit with the court

13

administrator and get your fee and go home.

14

Rhonda Swan, you are a juror. Debra Jeffries, you

15

are a juror. Your writing is worse than mine, Jim. 1

16

that -- is that --

17

MR. VON WALD: Cody Bendigo.

18

THE COURT: Okay. He may have to be our alternate. You may

19

have to be our alternate, I guess. Cody Bendigo. Cody

20

Bendigo, you are selected as a juror in this case. Is

21

that Frank -- I think it's Frank Iron Hawk, right? Okay.

22

It looks like -- okay. Frank Iron Hawk, you are selected.

23

MR. VON WALD: On the side, Your Honor, there is Carol Thompson,

24

I think.

THE COURT:

25

Oh, I see. All right. So Carol is left, too.

1 Carol Ann Thompson. You have been selected, Carol. Delphine Red Fox. Delphine, you've been selected. And 3 the last juror I have here is -- again, your handwriting 4 is -- what's the last juror on the far right?

Mary Garter, Your Honor. MR. VON WALD:

THE COURT: Mary Garter. Mary Garter. And last selected was Cody, which means that Cody will be our alternate.

"Alternate" means, Cody, kind of you sit through dinner, but you don't get dessert, or in this case you don't get the antacid tablets. I have had trials like that, too, so . . . All right. So who are our seven jurors? Let's see you raise -- raise your hands. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven. Who is our alternate? Cody. Which means you are going to participate in all respects; but if the other jurors are still there after the case is presented, you will not deliberate the case. Do you understand that, Cody?

MR. BENDIGO: Yes.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. The jurors that were not selected are THE COURT: So the eight that were not selected, make sure excused. that you again check with Melanie about payment. And the seven that were just selected, sit there just a moment. Thanks for coming. Yeah. You guys, we'll move some of those seats because we're going to give you a break in just a moment. Both counsel are satisfied that these are

1 the seven jurors selected through the process of voir 2 dire? 3 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Dave? 5 Yes, Your Honor. MR. VON WALD: 6 THE COURT: Chuck? 7 MR. JASPERS: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right, Jurors. Jurors, I'm going to give you But before I do, let me just tell you that you 9 a break. are not to talk amongst yourselves about the case. 10 11 don't go out and nudge your fellow juror and say, how's it 12 look so far. You're not to do that, okay. You're not to 13 deliberate until I give you all of the instructions; you've heard all of the evidence; and then you go back to 14 15 the deliberation room. Okay? When you come back in here, 16 I'm going to administer an oath to you, and then we're 17 going to give you what is called preliminary instructions. 18 That tells you what the case is about, gives you a 19 summary, and then each side will be able to make an 20 opening statement and then we're going to get into some 21 testimony. So we're going to take a lot of breaks during the 22 So you're not to talk to -- amongst yourselves. 23

trial. So you're not to talk to -- amongst yourselves.

You're not to talk to any of the attorneys. Don't talk to any of the parties to the case. You are to keep an open

24

25

mind. We're also going to give you -- and it looks like you already have note pads, so you can take notes.

So, Jurors, I'm going to give you about a ten-minute break, and the attorneys stay in here. We've got some legal matters to handle. So step out if you need to call someone.

MR. VON WALD: Your Honor, could I take a couple-minute break?

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

(RECESS.)

All right. We're back on the record in the matter of Long Family Land and Cattle Company versus Edward and Mary Maciejewski, Ralph and Norma Pesicka, Bank of Hoven. The jurors — seven jurors have been impaneled. They're not in the court. The Court is discussing preliminary jury instructions, and I believe it's stipulated by counsel that the Court will read — even though they're not numbered, what I see as Instruction No. 1 would be, "This case is presented to the jury." Any objection from the defendant?

MR. VON WALD: I must have them mixed up somewhere (INAUDIBLE).

"This case is presented." I have no objection.

22 THE COURT: All right. And I assume, Jim, you've submitted

it. So no objection from the plaintiff?

24 MR. HURLEY: No objection.

25 THE COURT: Chuck, no objection?

1 MR. JASPERS: That's correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Then we're going to read instruction 3 number what I'll mark as 2, "Evidence includes testimony 4 of witnesses, documents." 5 MR. VON WALD: No objection. 6 MR. JASPERS: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Does this have anything about jurors asking 8 questions? In the fourth paragraph it speaks of notes. 9 MR. HURLEY: Ι 10 don't believe it speaks to questions. 11 MR. JASPERS: Yeah, it does. 12 THE COURT: Well, you know, I just read the rules. There is 13 nothing about jurors asking questions, but in some civil 14 cases I think it's important -- we don't want to leave a juror over there thinking that something is so relevant, 15 16 nobody is talking about it. I would like to dispel that notion. So I'm going to allow -- how we'll do it is we'll 17 have jurors -- if they have a question, we'll have them 18 give it to the bailiff. The bailiff can bring it up to me 19 20 after both sides have questioned the witness. 21 there is something I think should be asked, I will ask the Then if that opens something up, I will allow 22 witness. 23 the witness to be examined more by counsel.

Unless -- unless you would treat it like you

would, you know, a question by a jury after they go in the

24

25

MR. VON WALD:

jury room. Let both counsel look at it. If either side 1 2 have any objections --3 THE COURT: We could do that, too. Let's do it that way 4 All right. So Instruction No. 2 will be read. How 5 about Instruction 3, which reads, "The trial will proceed 6 in the following manner"? MR. VON WALD: No objection. 8 THE COURT: No objection from you, Chuck? MR. JASPERS: No. 10 THE COURT: All right. Jim? 11 MR. HURLEY: No objection, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. That's stipulated to then, too. All Then just so -- we're on the record. As I 13 14 indicated, I've read Rule 48. Rule 48 seems to state that 15 the verdict should be simple majority, which in a jury of 16 six members that's four to two, so just to let counsel 17 And again, there is a counterclaim before the 18 Court, which the Court is hearing, since it's in the 19 nature of an equitable claim. 20 Anything else before we get the jurors in here and 21 swear them in and start this trial? 22 MR. JASPERS: Is the Court going to make the jury aware that 23 there is a counterclaim and that you will make all the 24 rulings -- court rulings (INAUDIBLE)? 25 That's why I think it's important that the THE COURT:

jury -- that's why I was thinking maybe we needed a 1 2 preliminary instruction on that. 3 MR. VON WALD: Well, we could probably explain that. THE COURT: When you make your opening statement. 5 MR. VON WALD: When you make your opening statement, that either 6 Jim, you know, saying that the Bank has a counterclaim 7 against --Either you or me. 8 MR. JASPERS: Whatever. But -- but I think --MR. VON WALD: With the evidence -- the evidence that's 10 THE COURT: 11 submitted, it's going to be the same. 12 MR. VON WALD: Yeah. 13 THE COURT: Because in essence plaintiffs can't prove their 14 case or breach, then I doubt they have a defense to the 15 counterclaim. So that's the way I'm looking at the case 16 right now. Or they do prove it, then the Court's determination of whether that's -- there is a correlation 17 18 between the contract and the deed and whether that can be 19 the basis for a finding against defendant on the 20 counterclaim? That's the way I'm looking at the case. Do we want to let the jury know that in essence 21 MR. JASPERS: 22 they're not going to make a determination as far as the 23 land itself in terms of affecting my clients or would you 24 handle that in final instructions? 25 I'm thinking -- and you may want to make a brief THE COURT:

1 opening, say, I'm here for the Maciejewskis and the 2 This is what happened, you will hear in this Pesickas. 3 And really this case doesn't involve any claim that 4 your clients did anything wrong. 5 MR. JASPERS: Okay. 6 THE COURT: I will give you the right to make an opening if you want. 8 MR. JASPERS: I would certainly do that. 9 Just to point that out. Because they may be THE COURT: 10 confused about why your clients are named as defendants. 11 MR. JASPERS: And why I'm not really participating. 12 THE COURT: Yeah. 13 MR. JASPERS: And that may well help them understand. 14 THE COURT: So --15 MR. JASPERS: Okay. I would appreciate that. 16 THE COURT: You wouldn't have a problem with that, right, Jim? 17 18 MR. HURLEY: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. So we'll have these three instructions, and then we'll turn it over to Jim for his 20 21 opening. And I imagine you want to make your opening now and not reserve it? 22 MR. VON WALD: 23 Right. 24 All right. And then, Chuck, you can make your THE COURT: 25 remarks.

MR. JASPERS: Right. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. JASPERS: Okay. THE COURT: Do you want to get the jurors? What's your name, 4 5 sir? 6 BOB: Bob (INAUDIBLE). 7 THE COURT: Bob, do you want to get the jurors back in here? Thank you. We have --8 9 MR. VON WALD: Could the Court get some water for us? 10 once in a while I get the cottonmouth. 11 THE COURT: Yeah. We'll get that, and maybe get some for the 12 We'll take a break about every hour. That way we 13 can get two hours in before -- lunch is coming when? 14 THE CLERK: (INAUDIBLE). 15 (JURY RETURNED TO THE COURTROOM). 16 THE COURT: Okay. Let's go back on the record. Back on the 17 record, in the matter of Long Family Land and Cattle 18 Company versus Bank of Hoven and others. Seven jurors 19 have reported back. And again, Counsel -- both counsel 20 are satisfied that these are the seven jurors who have 21 been selected through the process of voir dire? 22 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor. Plaintiffs' counsel? 23 THE COURT: 24 MR. VON WALD: We are, Your Honor. 25 Okay. Defendants' counsel. Okay. Jurors, what THE COURT:

1

I need to do now is administer an oath of juror. each of you would stand and raise your right hand, please. Okay. After I repeat the oath, if you would just say "I do." Do each of you agree to discharge your duties as jurors in this case in accordance with the law and Constitution of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe? If so, say "I do."

7 8

6

ALL:

I do.

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

THE COURT: Okav.

You can sit down, Jurors. A couple things, Jurors, you

The jurors have been administered an oath.

got a note pad there. You don't have to take notes; but if you want to take notes, this is going to be a two-day trial, so -- and we're going -- remember, after we finish today, we've got to come back Wednesday. So you have every right to take notes. You're not going to take them home with you. But when you come back Wednesday, we will give your pad back. But you don't have to. That's up to you. Okay. So you take your notes today. We'll keep your note pad. When you come back Wednesday, you will get it.

20

21

22

23

Also, because, as I indicated to you, this is a civil case. As a result, when you are hearing the witnesses testify, if you've got a question that you just want answered, and you don't hear either of the attorneys asking the question, you can write it down, and then we'll

24

25

get it to our bailiff. It will get up here to me. I will take a look at it. We'll talk to the attorneys. And if need be, we will ask the question. Okay. Because I don't want you sitting there thinking, I wish someone would ask this question of the witness. Okay. So if that's something that you want to do — you don't have to do that either; but if that's something that you would like to do, you can certainly do it. As I indicated before, we are going to take breaks probably about every hour. We do have lunch for the jurors, right, Dale?

THE CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: We have lunch for you. And I think that will probably be around 12, 12:15. We'll break for lunch, and we — we'll probably break at 4:30 today, 4:30 or 5. So we'll see how much progress we make as we go along.

Let me just tell you how we're going to proceed. I'm going to read to you some instructions that lays out the law for you, and then each side gets to make an opening statement to you. We'll start with the plaintiffs' attorney, and then the defendants' attorneys will then make an opening statement, and then we're going to get into the testimony of the witnesses. Okay, Jurors? So just listen closely. When you go to deliberate, you will be able to take these instructions with you, also.

Instruction 1. This case is presented to the jury

because the parties dispute certain facts. It is your duty to resolve this case by determining the facts and following the law that's given to you in the instructions. In deciding this case, you must be fair and impartial to all parties and consider the case honestly and carefully. You must not base your verdict on speculation, prejudice, or sympathy.

You are the only judges of all questions of fact. You must determine the facts from the evidence that will be produced here in open court. You should consider all of the evidence regardless of which party produced it. In weighing the evidence, you may consider the common knowledge you all possess. You may also use common sense gained from your life experiences in evaluating what you see and hear during the trial. In deciding what the facts are, you may have to decide what testimony you believe and what testimony you do not believe. You may believe all of what a witness says, or only part of it, or none of it.

In deciding what testimony to believe, consider the witnesses' intelligence, their opportunity to have seen or heard the things they testify about, their memories, any motives they may have for testifying a certain way, their manner while testifying, whether they said or did something different at an earlier time, their qualifications and experience, the general reasonableness

of their testimony, and the extent to which their testimony is consistent with other evidence that you believe.

Instruction 2. Evidence includes testimony of witnesses, documents, and other things received as exhibits as well as any facts that may have been stipulated to — that is, formally agreed to by the parties; and any facts that the Court may taken judicial noticed of, that is, facts which I say you must accept as true.

You may see or hear certain things during the trial that are not evidence and which you should not consider in determining the facts. First of all, a lawyer's statements, arguments, questions, and comments are not evidence. During the trial, a lawyer may make objections to certain questions or evidence, which that lawyer believes are not legally admissible. As the judge, I will rule on the objections. Neither the objections nor my rulings should influence you. If I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not try to guess what the answer might have been.

There may be times when you hear improper testimony, and I will tell you to disregard it because it is improper and must not be considered. You are also to disregard anything you see or hear about this case outside the

courtroom unless I specifically tell you otherwise.

Furthermore, a particular item of evidence is sometimes received for a limited purpose only. That is, you can consider it for one particular purpose and not for any other purpose. I shall tell you when that occurs and instruct you on the purposes for which the item can and cannot be used.

At the end of the trial you must make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. Again, if you wish, you may take notes to help you remember what witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note-taking distract you from hearing other answers by the witness. If yours or someone else's notes conflict with what you remember, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence. Also, just because a juror has taken notes does not mean the juror's memory of the evidence carries more weight than a juror's memory who has not taken notes. When you leave at night or during a recess, your notes will be secured and not read by anyone.

You will be able to take the exhibits with you into the jury room. You will not, however, be able to take any deposition testimony or any other written record of the testimony with you to the jury room. You must rely on ۷.

your memory of all of the testimony presented during the trial in arriving at your verdict.

Instruction 3. The trial will proceed in the following manner:

First, the plaintiffs' attorney will make an opening statement. Next, the defendants' attorney may make an opening statement. An opening statement is not evidence but is simply a summary of what the attorney expects the evidence to be. The plaintiffs will then present evidence, and counsel for the defendants may cross-examine. Following the plaintiffs' case, the defendant may present evidence and plaintiffs' counsel may cross-examine.

After the presentation of evidence is completed, the Court will instruct you further on the law. The attorneys will then make their closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you. As with opening statements, closing arguments are not evidence. After that, you will retire to deliberate on your verdict.

Okay. Counsel for plaintiff may make his opening statement.

MR. HURLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Counsel. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Thank you for being a part of the judicial system here this morning. Of course, it's a duty that all of us as citizens to come and hear

cases when parties have a dispute between them, and they can't get it resolved. In the United States of America, we have a jury system, and you're a part of it this morning. You will listen to the evidence presented by both sides and decide on these issues that exist between them that they can't get it decided by themselves. So we thank you for your time. Everybody, we know, has busy schedules and a lot of other things to be doing, but your duty — your performance of your duty here as jurors is what makes our judicial system work. So we thank you for that.

I'm Jim Hurley from Rapid City, South Dakota, and I represent Ronnie Long and his wife Lila Long sitting back by the back wall. His daughter Rhonda is with them. And as the Court stated in its opening statement, it is the Longs' opportunity to present to you an outline of what they think the evidence will prove in this case, and this is what the Longs intend to prove at least in part on what they think the evidence will show. It's just kind of an overview so you can follow the evidence more easily when it is presented to you and hopefully with the opening statements of plaintiffs and defense counsel when somebody starts giving testimony you won't be saying, what the heck is he saying that for. At least you can tie it together a little bit because of what we're saying here in opening

1 statements.

The first thing you probably need to know is that this case arises out of agreements entered into by the Bank of Hoven and the Longs, and of course they're called contracts sometimes, but they're written agreements. And they were entered into December 5, 1996. And the Longs are farmer/ranchers, and they borrowed money from the Bank as farmer/ranchers, and they entered into these agreements that you will hear about or one of them that you will hear is about is a loan agreement, and the other one you will hear about is a lease with option to purchase — two written agreements.

And we think the Bank will show — that — excuse me — we think that the evidence will show in this case that the Bank obtained the deed to Longs' 2230 acres of land, and in exchange they got a lease back and an option to purchase their land back. And in addition, in the loan agreement, the Bank agreed to make a loan to the Longs so that they could operate their farm and ranch, \$70,000 annual operating loan, and the Bank also agreed to make a loan to the Longs for \$37,500 to buy 110 head of calves to feed and raise along with the Longs' calves so they could increase their cattle income. And the lease ran for two years, and at the end of two years they would be back on their feet, and they would have enough money where they

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could buy their ranch back from the Bank.

We think the evidence will show that the Bank received title to the 2230 acres of land. That land was worth about \$468,000. And in consideration for receiving transfer of the land, the Bank promised to make the loan of \$70,000 annually to the Longs for their farming and ranching operation, and the Bank promised to make the second loan to the Longs of -- 37,5 to the Longs to use to purchase 110 calves to increase their ranch income, and over two years they would be able to buy their land back.

The Longs claim that the Bank breached those contracts, breached those agreements because the Bank failed to perform what it promised to do. When the Bank failed to perform what it agreed to do, the Longs could not -- could not perform their obligation under the option to purchase it back.

The Longs claim that the Bank had to perform first by making it an operating loan so they could continue to operate for two years. The Bank had to perform first by making a loan to buy some cattle so they could feed those cattle and raise them up and make some money at the end of two years and then buy their land back.

We think the evidence will show that where the Bank failed to make those two loans, then the Longs could not perform.

Without the operating loan money, we think the evidence will show that the Longs could not pay for what they needed to have done on the ranch to care for their cattle. The Longs had cows and calves to feed and care for, and winter was fast approaching. It was December 5, 1996. The Longs needed the operating loan that was in the written agreements that the Bank promised before the winter snows and the winter season came along and closed the roads. The Longs needed to move their hay from where the hay was put up some 20 miles from where the winter pastures were, and they needed the operating money for that.

Also, in the agreement we think the evidence will show that the Bank agreed to apply to the BIA to increase the BIA guarantees 84 to 90 percent on the loan agreement. We think the evidence will show that the Bank failed to fill out a complete application to the BIA. The BIA informed the Bank of its failure and suggested that the Bank reapply. In addition, the BIA authorized the Bank to make an emergency loan of up to 10 percent of the original amount of the guarantee, some \$42,000 to the Longs. However, the Bank did not make the emergency loan, so they could move their hay to their cattle, get feed to their cattle. And the Bank never reapplied to the BIA after having been turned down by the BIA. And after they

suggested that they reapply, they never did reapply.

We anticipate the evidence will show that the Longs claim that they needed the emergency property loan right away to save their cattle and get the cattle fed before winter set in.

The Longs claim that the Bank failed to make the cattle purchase loan; therefore, the Longs could not purchase the 110 cattle that they needed to increase their cattle income, which is what they agreed to do.

The Longs claim that failure of the Bank to perform the agreement in good faith caused them to suffer substantial damages. We anticipate that the evidence will show that because the Bank breached the agreement to make the \$70,000 operating loan, the Longs could not haul their hay some 20 miles to feed their cattle, and as a result they lost a lot of cattle in the winter storms.

The Longs claim that the cows they lost would have had calf crops each year that would have produced substantial income each year in the future for them, which would have enabled them to buy their land back from the Bank.

In addition, the Longs claim that the Bank's failure to make the loan, \$37,500 as agreed, to purchase cattle, that that the Longs could not buy the 110 head of additional calves, which would have increased their income

ے

over the next two yeas to enable them to buy their land back from the Bank.

We anticipate that the evidence will show that the Bank received the deed to the Longs' 2230 acres of land, that that land was worth \$468,000, and that the Bank in the agreements promised to loan the Longs \$70,000 annually for the Longs to use to pay ranch operating expenses, and the Bank agreed to loan to the Longs \$37,500 to buy an additional 110 head of calves to raise and feed with their own calves to increase their income over the next two years so they could buy their land back from the Bank.

The Longs claim that the Bank got title to their land, but the Bank then failed to make them the loans as promised.

The Longs claim that because the Bank failed to perform as promised, the Longs sustained substantial damages. The Longs request in this case that you award them substantial damages from the Bank in the amount of the losses they suffered as a result of the Bank's failure to perform.

The Bank has made a counterclaim in this case to evict the Longs off the land.

We hope that just this overview or road map will give you just a little hint about where the parties will be coming from when they get up there and offer testimony to

you on the case.

we'll refer to it.

THE COURT: Thank you, Jim. Okay. Dave, opening statement, please.

MR. VON WALD: Thank you, Your Honor. Again, my name is Dave Von Wald. I practice over at Hoven, and I have five daughters, and I'm married, and I've lived there for about 25 years, and I have represented the Bank of Hoven for probably about the entire time I've been there. Hoven is a small town. There's about 500 people, and that's where the Bank is located. The Bank now — just for the purposes of knowing what has happened — has changed its name actually to Plains Commerce Bank. It used to be the Bank of Hoven, but throughout this trial that's really how I remember it anyhow, is the Bank of Hoven. That's how

Just like Mr. Hurley did, I would like to thank all of you for being here, and it's no small chore to sit through a jury trial for two days, which you people are willing to do, and I know that each one of you would rather be someplace else. You've got other things that you want to do. This just isn't the most important thing in your lives. However, it's because of good people like you that our whole judicial system in the United States works. And so because you're willing to come here and act and do your civic duty, we're really grateful, including,

I'm sure the Court, and I know for certain for Mr. Hurley and our clients.

As we go on through life, many things we see are wrong, and we feel powerless to correct it. We see the World Trade bombing — Trade Tower bombings. We see the children as an example use and are on drugs, and drugs seem to come into the country and so forth, and it seems like we know it's bad, but we don't have any power to do anything about it.

This time, ladies and gentlemen, you six people — seven right now — but eventually six people are the ones that have the power. You have the power to decide this case for — either for Mr. Long or for the Bank of Hoven, and it's going to take — and it's an awesome power that you hold. It's an awesome responsibility. Now I'm glad that you're here to do that, and I feel confident that you will make the right decision. And I want to point out you are the ones with the power, not us.

In this opening statement, like Mr. Hurley said, what I intend to say here — and you've heard the judge say, it's — it's not evidence. So if I say something that you don't hear later on coming in through witnesses or through exhibits, just disregard what I've said. I made a mistake. I'm not going to do that intentionally but that could happen, and the same thing with Mr. Hurley that

could happen. Neither one of us are going to intentionally mislead you, but sometimes statements that lawyers make is not what you hear on the jury stand, so that's prefaced to my comments. But here's what — and when we're making these statements, we want to make them so that you understand what our — what we think the evidence will show.

You just heard Mr. Hurley say what he thinks the evidence will show. The Bank of Hoven obviously disagrees with what Mr. Hurley thinks the evidence will show.

What we think it will show is that back in 1987 or '88, the Long Family Land and Cattle Company, Inc., was incorporated, and the reason that they were incorporated is so that they could get BIA -- BIA guaranteed loans.

And in order to have a BIA guaranteed loan, it has to be a 51 percent Indian-owned corporation, and that incorporation was that. Kenneth at the time -- Ron's dad -- is not Indian, and Kenneth Long's dad, Mr. Kenneth Long, owned the real estate. He is the one that owned the real estate. So they incorporated.

And when they did, they banked at the Dewey County
Bank for a while, and for some reason they changed. They
went with the Bank of Hoven in about 1988. And when they
got to the Bank of Hoven, they borrowed money. Some of it
was on the land. The money that they borrowed from the

Dewey County Bank was transferred over to the Bank of Hoven, and they borrowed money. And Kenneth pledged his land as collateral for the mortgage — excuse me — for the debts of Long Family Land and Cattle Company, Inc. He pledged that as collateral. And he also had a house in town in Timber Lake, and he pledged that as collateral.

And as time went on, the Longs kept borrowing more and more money. And as they borrowed more and more money, the operation kept getting bigger and bigger, the net worth for the corporation kept going down and down.

So what happened, actually, is that the more they borrowed it seemed like, the worse off they were financially. And in 1995 Kenneth died. And when he died, basically they owed the Bank of Hoven approximately, \$750,000. Some of those were direct loans from the Bank. Some were BIA guaranteed loans. But that's approximately what the Long Incorporation owed the Bank of Hoven at that time.

Then we think that the evidence will show that because of the high borrowing and the decrease in net worth that the Bank wasn't willing to go along and lend more and more money. They wanted to lower indebtedness. So eventually, a plan was arrived at with — through negotiations of the Longs and the Bank and the BIA, you know, a number of people. John Lemke worked for the

Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal financial portions. were a number of people that were involved. But they were trying to work some plan out to lower the indebtedness to make it work. And eventually what happened is that land was deeded by Kenneth spouse then. Paulette Long deeded the land to the Bank of Hoven. The Bank of Hoven lowered the debt of the Long Family Land and Cattle Company, Inc., or paid bills of Long Family Land and Cattle Company, Inc., to the tune of \$468,000. That was agreed to (INAUDIBLE).

Then we think the evidence will show that the deed—
the first deed where they deeded the land was in September
of 1996, that's where the personal representative deeded
the land first. And then because of estate was insolvent,
Kenneth's estate was insolvent, it wasn't going to have
enough to pay off all of the creditors, the attorney for
the estate then notified other creditors, and the second
deed was made in December of 1996. Now you don't have to
remember those figures right now. Hopefully, they will
stick in your mind before this is all said and done.

At any rate, the land was deeded over. And when the Bank did that, they came up with an agreement with the Longs to continue to loan them money, to continue to finance the operation. And they did this by the loan agreement, and in the loan agreement they said that they

would -- they would restructure two BIA loans.

One of them was to the tune of about \$340,000. There was back interest that hadn't been paid for over a year — two years, and the interest on it would have been about 70,000. So they were going to add the interest to the principal and increase the guarantee from 80 or 84 percent up to 90 percent, and they were going to ask the BIA to do that.

There was another loan for about \$17,000, but the same thing, they were going to add the interest to the principal and ask the BIA to increase their guarantee to 90 percent, and they said they were going to make then an operating line if the BIA would give them a \$70,000 guarantee operating money. That's what the Bank said they were going to do. And if that happened, if that happened, then they also said, we will loan you about \$53,000 to buy a hundred head — 110 head of cattle, calves.

So the Bank signed the — the agreement was signed on December 5th of 1996. The Bank sends the agreement into the — the request I should say — into the BIA on December 10th. And they don't hear anything from the BIA until — until February 14th, about two months later. And at that time the BIA says we need a more complete application.

But in the meantime, but in the meantime, what

happened is we had the worse storm that we've ever had on record, the worst winter that we've ever had on record, and that is the winter of '96, '97. I don't know if you folks remember that. But I will bet you do. It's the worst any of us have seen.

And we think the evidence will show that the reason the cattle died is because of the fact that it was a bad winter. Ronnie had hay out there. He had some hay out there, number one.

We think the evidence will show that — that the Bank loaned him some money during this time period. They loaned him \$16,000 so that he could lease land for the next spring, you know, his range units that he — that he was — for the next spring. They did that in December. They loaned him that money. They loaned him \$5,000 for operating emergency type thing if he needed something for feed or family living or whatever. They loaned him \$2,250 to go out and buy a snowmobile because the roads were so bad he couldn't get out there to feed his cattle, and the cattle were 18 miles away from where he lived, and

At any rate, the Bank feels that they loaned him the money. We don't feel that we've breached any agreement whatsoever, but after Ronnie lost those cattle -
(END OF TAPE DUPLICATE #1 TAPE #2.)

(REMAINING OPENING STATEMENT OF MR. VON WALD WAS NOT RECORDED.)
(THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS TOOK PLACE ON DUPLICATE #3 TAPE #1 AND BEGINS IN THE MIDDLE OF MR. JASPER'S OPENING STATEMENT:)

THE COURT: You got one? Oh, sorry. All right. Sorry, Chuck. Go ahead. We're back on the record.

MR. JASPERS: Thank you. I lost my train of thought there for a moment, but that's okay. We'll see if we can get back on.

And my purpose actually in being here today is to try and help you, I think, I understand a little bit of what happened. In some respects we can take the approach that we really don't have a dog in this fight, if you remember that old expression. And by that I mean even though the Maciejewskis and the Pesickas are named as defendants in this case, I think the evidence is going to show that they're not really involved, that this is a disagreement between the Bank of Hoven and the Long Family Cattle Company, which is a corporation, rather than a personal thing.

As I understand what has happened in the past, part of it Mr. Hurley has talked about, part of it Mr. Von Wald has talked about. After Kenneth Long died, that's

Mr. Long's father, then there was a deed done to the Bank of Hoven. Then the Bank of Hoven entered into a lease agreement with Ronnie Long — or should I say the Long Family Corporation — and also entered into a loan agreement. It's my understanding that the evidence will plainly show that my clients were not involved in that transaction in any way.

Now further, the evidence will show that that lease was, I believe, for about two years. After the two years had expired, and it had not been renewed, as I understand the evidence will show, then the land was sold to the Pesickas or the Maciejewskis some time later. And that's why I say we don't really believe the evidence is going to show that my clients were involved in that in any way.

But the main reason why I'm here and my clients were named is because they now either own the land or they have a contract to buy the land, and the Longs are hoping at some point in the future to get this land. That's why we're involved.

It's my understanding the evidence is going to show, as I said, my clients aren't involved. And I don't believe you folks are going to be called on to make a decision as to whether or not they ever get the land back. That's something that may be — have to be handled at some point in the future by another court, but that's not

something that you folks have to be concerned about.

And as the Judge pointed out earlier, if you have some questions, write them down. He will take a look at them and see if they're proper questions that should be answered. Well, in part, that's why I'm here explaining what the Maciejewskis' and Pesickas' involvement is, so hopefully that answers questions in your minds as to what this third attorney is doing here, and he's really not sitting up here at the bench, he's sitting back there, because my involvement today and next week is going to be very limited.

As I said, I think the evidence will show that my clients aren't involved, and so you don't have to spend a lot of time worrying about their involvement.

So with that in mind, let me thank you on behalf of the Court, the attorneys, the clients, and especially my clients in this case because it's you people that are making our system work.

Now, there are very few attorneys can say I've been where you are. I did that about 30 years ago. It doesn't seem like it's been that long, but it's been that long. I was a juror. And I can certainly commensurate with what you are having to go through today. You know, as the other attorneys pointed out, it's because of you that our system works, and it's because of you — you've been

1 willingly -- maybe you're not here willingly -- but you're 2 here acknowledging that you have a right and a 3 responsibility as a citizen of the U.S. and also as a member of the Tribe. You know, that gives you an 4 5 additional right, if you will, and responsibility. I'm thanking you on everybody's behalf for exercising that 6 7 responsibility, and you are -- stay true to your oath and 8 that you're going to treat everyone here fair. Thank you, 9 ladies and gentlemen. 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Chuck. 11 MR. JASPERS: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Plaintiff may call its first witness. 13 Your Honor, plaintiff calls Ronnie Long to the MR. HURLEY: 14 stand. 15 Ronnie, come forward. Again, all jurors have THE COURT: 16 note pads, pen? Okay. Ronnie, raise your right hand. Do 17 you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing 18 but the truth? 19 THE WITNESS: I do. 20 MR. RONNIE LONG, 21 called as a witness on behalf of the plaintiffs, being first duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows: 22 23 THE COURT: Have a seat right here, and speak up nice and 24 loud for the jurors. Your Honor, plaintiffs have placed their exhibits 25 MR. HURLEY:

in exhibit books. Original for the clerk, one for the 1 2 Court, one for opposing counsel, and for the witness. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. HURLEY: May I distribute those? 5 THE COURT: Sure. And, David, you've looked at these? 6 MR. VON WALD: No. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. HURLEY: It (INAUDIBLE) index to opposing counsel. THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. HURLEY: I have placed the stamps of Plaintiff's Exhibits 11 on these. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Jurors, when you deliberate, you will be 13 able to look at these. Sometimes they're distributed 14 during the trial, but there is a lot of documents here, so 15 it may delay things. So if there's a document I think 16. that's real important that you look at to understand the 17 testimony, we'll distribute it around, okay. Go ahead. 18 Jim. 19 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HURLEY: 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. HURLEY 22 Will you state your name for the record, please? Q 23 Ronnie Long. Α 24 And where do you live? 25 Α Timber Lake.

- 1 Q Are you married?
- 2 A Yes, I am.
- 3 Q And what's your wife's name?
- 4 A Lila.
- 5 Q Is she present here in the courtroom?
- 6 A Yes, she is.
- 7 Q Would you point her out for the Judge and jury?
- 8 A In the blue shirt back there.
- 9 THE COURT: I assume that's Lila who was identified. She
- 10 waves.
- 11 | Q (BY MR. HURLEY) How long have you and Lila been married?
- 12 A Thirty-seven years.
- 13 Q And do you have children?
- 14 A Yes, we do.
- 15 Q How many children did you have?
- 16 A Three daughters.
- 17 Q And where did you raise your children?
- 18 A On the farm south of Timber Lake.
- 19 0 Were they raised and educated in the Timber Lake area?
- 20 A Yes, they were.
- 21 Q And now are they adults themselves?
- 22 A Yes, they are.
- 23 Q And have they remained in the area of Timber Lake?
- 24 A Yes, they have.
- 25 Q Okay. And have your children married?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And do you and Lila now have grandchildren?
- 3 A Yes, we do.
- 4 Q What was your father's work in life?
- 5 A He was a farmer/rancher.
- 6 0 And did he do that all of his life?
- 7 A Yes, he did.
- 8 Q In what area?
- 9 A South of Timber Lake.
- 10 Q All right. Did you work with your father in the farming
- and ranching business south of Timber Lake?
- 12 A Yes, I did.
- 13 | Q And did your wife work with you in the farming, ranching
- 14 business?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q I ask you to look at Exhibit 1. Can you identify those
- 17 documents?
- 18 A Those are corporation papers.
- 19 Q And what's the name of the corporation?
- 20 A Long Family Land and Cattle Company.
- 21 | Q And what date was that company incorporated?
- 22 A In '87, 1987.
- 23 Q And is that a stock company?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Stock is issued?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Who were the stockholders?
- 3 A My wife and I, and dad and mother.
- 4 Q In opening statements it was said that your father was not
- 5 an enrolled member of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe; is
- 6 that correct?
- 7 A That's correct.
- 8 Q Was your mother?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q She was an enrolled member of CRST?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 Q Are you an enrolled member of CRST?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Is Lila an enrolled member of CRST?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q As are your children?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q Getting back to your company then, Exhibit 1, did your
- enrolled member status of CRST then make this a majority
- 20 Indian-owned corporation?
- 21 A Yes. It always was.
- 22 | Q And did you use this corporation then in your farming and
- 23 ranching business?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q And was your company entitled to apply for and receive BIA

- 1 quarantees for bank loans?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And did you and your wife, and father and mother, do that?
- 4 | A Yes, we did.
- 5 Q And did there come a time when the Bank of Hoven was your
- 6 lender?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And were one or more of your loans from the Bank of Hoven
- 9 guaranteed by the Bureau of Indian Affairs?
- 10 A Yes, there were.
- 11 Q Okay. Would you take a look at Exhibit 2, please?
- 12 Ronnie, what is that document?
- 13 A That's my Dad's last will and testament.
- 14 Q And did there come a time when your dad died?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And when was that?
- 17 A In July of 1995.
- 18 Q Who died first, your father or mother?
- 19 A My mother.
- 20 Q When your mother died, what happened to her shares in the
- 21 family company?
- 22 A She give enough of her shares to make Lila and I own
- 51 percent of the corporation and my dad 49.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A So it would stay an Indian-owned corporation.

- 1 Q Did your father own farmland in that area?
- 2 A Yes, he did.
- 3 Q And which county was that land located?
- 4 A Dewey.
- 5 Q Dewey County?
- 6 A Dewey County.
- 7 Q And did you and your wife, and your father and mother, and
- 8 your company use that land in your farming and ranching
- 9 operations?
- 10 A Yes, we did.
- 11 Q And did your land -- did your father mortgage that land?
- 12 A Yes, he did.
- 13 Q Did he mortgage it to the Bank of Hoven?
- 14 A He mortgaged it to the Bank of Hoven for collateral for
- 15 the corporation.
- 16 | Q Okay. Was your operating entity then on the farm and
- 17 ranch there, was that the company?
- 18 A Yes, it was.
- 19 Q And did the company own cattle?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Did the company own farming machinery?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And your father owned the land?
- 24 A Right, yes.
- 25 Q Take a look at Exhibit 3. What do those exhibits mean?

- In dad's will when he passed away, he left his shares of the corporation and his land and that stuff to me and my two brothers and sister. And they didn't want anything to do with it, so they transferred all of their belongings to me, all their interest.
- 6 Q So everything that your brothers and sister received under 7 their father's will they transferred to you?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And under your father's will then what did you receive from your father?
- 11 A We received all of his shares.
- 12 Q In the company?
- 13 A In the company and the real estate.
- And by virtue of your brothers and sister transferring
 their interest to you then, under your father's will, you
 ended up with his land and his shares in the company?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And the company owned the cattle, the machinery, and the 19 feed?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q As you stated, your father died in July of 1995, correct?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q And did his death cause a change in your relationship with
- 24 Bank of Hoven?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q In what respect?
- 2 A They were reluctant to give me any loans until we had the
- 3 estate settled.
- 4 Q And by "estate" you mean what your father owned when he
- 5 died?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 | Q Did the Bank make a proposal to you as far as a plan to
- 8 restructure, resolve matters?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q When did that happen initially?
- 11 A I believe it was around in -- some time in April of '96
- when we started talking about it.
- 13 Q And where did that conversation take place?
- 14 A Well, it took place at the Bank and at the Planning Office
- in Eagle Butte and at the ranch.
- 16 Q And did Bank officers come out to your ranch?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And they looked at the cattle the company owned?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And they looked at the machinery the company owned?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And basically, what was being proposed?
- 23 A It was proposed that if they got the deed to the land that
- 24 we would do this contract deal --
- 25 Q Excuse me. If who got the deed?

- 1 A If the Bank of Hoven got the deed.
- 2 Q Okay. How much land was there?
- 3 A 2230 acres.
- 4 Q Do you know the value of that land approximately at that
- 5 time?
- 6 A The -- like \$210 an acre.
- 7 Q So if the Bank received the deed to the land, 2230 acres,
- 8 worth about \$210 an acre, then what?
- 9 A Then we would enter into a lease purchase deal and a --
- 10 refinance -- or -- into our contract, that we would get
- 11 operating money and buy more cattle.
- 12 Q And after April of '96 when that was initially proposed,
- were there further discussions about that proposal?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And as the discussion developed, whereby the Bank would be
- transferred the land, and then you would lease the land
- back for a period of time from the Bank, correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And then there would be a loan agreement where you would
- 20 have an operating loan and a cattle purchase loan?
- 21 A (COULD NOT HEAR AN ANSWER).
- 22 Q And then there was an opportunity for you to buy the loan
- 23 | back --
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Buy the land back from the Bank?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q Was the Bank initially talking about selling the land, the
- 3 Long land back to you on a contract for deed?
- 4 A I don't -- that was a lease with option to purchase.
- 5 Q No. I'm talking about initially. Would you take a look
- 6 at Exhibit 4, please? For example, the last line of the
- 7 first paragraph, "And the land base would be deeded to the
- 8 Bank and sold back to you --"
- 9 MR. VON WALD: Well, excuse me, Your Honor. I have an
- 10 objection. He's testifying about an exhibit that's not
- into evidence.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Sustained. You can ask a question but lay
- foundation for the admissibility of this.
- 14 Q (BY MR. HURLEY) Would you take a look at Exhibit 4,
- 15 please?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Is that a letter dated April 26th, 1996?
- 18 A Yes, it is.
- 19 Q Is that letter addressed to you?
- 20 A Yes, it is.
- 21 O And it was written to you by Charles Simon, Bank of Hoven?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And did you receive that letter?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 MR. HURLEY: Your Honor, we would offer Exhibit 4.

MR. VON WALD: No objection, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted. And again, 3 Jurors, there is several exhibits here. You will be able 4 to look at these later. Go ahead, Jim. 5 MR. VON WALD: Your Honor, I do have one question. None of the other exhibits have been offered. 6 THE COURT: I was going to ask that. I imagine a lot of this 8 can be stipulated to. 1, 2, 3 you want to move their admission? 9 MR. HURLEY: I move their admission, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: 11 Any objection, Mr. Von Wald? MR. VON WALD: No. No objection. 12 13 THE COURT: How about just for the record, Chuck, do you have 14 any objection? 15 MR. JASPERS: I haven't seen them, but I have no objection, 16 Your Honor. I know what they are. 1 through 4 will be admitted into the record. 17 THE COURT: And we would offer -- also offer I think all the 18 MR. HURLEY: 19 parties -- or at least the Bank and the Longs are familiar with -- 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10. 20 THE COURT: All right. 5, do you have an objection to 21 Exhibit 5? It appears to be the option agreement to 22 23 purchase real estate. MR. VON WALD: I have no objection. 24

25

THE COURT:

Chuck?

1 MR. JASPERS: I see no objection.

2 THE COURT: 5 will be admitted. 6, the loan agreement?

3 MR. VON WALD: No objection.

4 THE COURT: Chuck?

5 MR. JASPERS: I see no objection, no.

6 THE COURT: 5 will be admitted. 6, the loan agreement?

7 MR. VON WALD: No objection, Your Honor.

8 | THE COURT: No objection. Chuck?

9 MR. JASPERS: None, Your Honor.

10 | THE COURT: That will be admitted. 7, lease option to

11 purchase?

12 MR. VON WALD: No objection.

13 MR. JASPERS: No objection, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: That will be admitted. And what else did you

15 ask?

16 MR. HURLEY: 8, 9, 10.

17 | THE COURT: All right. 8, Letter of December 12, 1996 to

18 Russell McClure, Superintendent, Cheyenne River Sioux

19 Tribe.

20 MR. VON WALD: No objection.

21 MR. JASPERS: No objection, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: It will be admitted. 9, any objection?

23 MR. VON WALD: No objection.

24 MR. JASPERS: No objection, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: 9 will be admitted. 10, a letter from Charles

1 Simon, VP, Bank of Hoven. MR. VON WALD: I would object -- at this time I would object 3 until foundation is laid for the relevance of that letter, 4 Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: All right. MR. HURLEY: Which number? MR. VON WALD: No. 10. 8 THE COURT: We will reserve ruling on 10. Did you say 11 you wanted? 10 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: How about 11, Dave? MR. VON WALD: No objection. 13 MR. JASPERS: No objection, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Is that as far as you want to go for now? 15 MR. HURLEY: We might as well go ahead with the others, too. 16 We would offer 12, 13, 14, 15. 17 THE COURT: 12 appears to be some federal regulations. I would object at this point as far as the 18 MR. VON WALD: 19 admissibility of that. I would join that, Your Honor. I think that's 20 MR. JASPERS: 21 probably the subject of an instruction. 22 THE COURT: Of an instruction, yeah. Well, why would you 23 want to offer that? Is it separate from --We will lay further foundation. 24 MR. HURLEY:

Do you have any basis for admitting that separate

25

THE COURT:

1 from the Court instructing as to what the law is? MR. HURLEY: It's in connection with Exhibit 11 where Yes. 3 the BIA quotes that particular provision of 25 CFR to the 4 Bank, and we will lay further foundation when we come to 5 that, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. We will reserve ruling on 12. MR. VON WALD: No objection. MR. JASPERS: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Chuck, no objection. That will be admitted. 10 MR. VON WALD: No objection. MR. JASPERS: None, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: 14 will be admitted. 15? MR. VON WALD: No objection. 13 MR. JASPERS: None, Your Honor. 15 15 will be admitted. 1.6? THE COURT: 16 MR. VON WALD: I would object to the relevance of this, I guess, 17 this 16, those copies there. All right. You will have some foundation for 18 THE COURT: 19 Exhibit 16, also? 20 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor. So we reserved No. 12, 16. And what was the 21 THE COURT: 22 first one? MR. VON WALD: 10. 23 24 10. And I imagine Chuck same objection to 16? THE COURT:

MR. JASPERS: I have none, Your Honor.

25

1 THE COURT: All right. So the Bank has an objection. All

2 | right. 17?

3 MR. VON WALD: No objection.

4 MR. JASPERS: None, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: 17 will be admitted. 18?

6 MR. VON WALD: No objection.

7 THE COURT: All right.

8 MR. JASPERS: None, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: 19?

10 MR. VON WALD: I have no objection.

11 MR. JASPERS: Yeah.

12 MR. VON WALD: That's the deed to your clients.

13 MR. JASPERS: Yeah. I have none, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay. 19 admitted -- will be admitted. 20?

15 MR. VON WALD: I object just to a portion of it as to relevance,

and that would be my letter. The second portion, I don't.

17 THE COURT: Could we take the letter out? Unfortunately,

that's probably the exhibit sticker on No. 20. Did you

take -- go to 20, Dale, and take the exhibit sticker off

20 and put it on the notice to quit.

21 THE CLERK: All right.

22 THE COURT: I would admit 20. So simply take off the cover

23 letter. No. 21?

24 MR. VON WALD: We're at 21 now.

25 MR. JASPERS: I have no objection.

MR. VON WALD: No objection. 1 2 THE COURT: 21 will be admitted. 22 now we're getting --3 MR. HURLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 22 and 23 we'll have to wait for further foundation. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Those will be admitted without 6 objection except 10, 12, 16. We'll defer ruling on the 7 admissibility of those, and 22 and 23 haven't been 8 offered. So you've got some reading material now, Jurors. 9 You will get this when you go to deliberate. You will get 10 what Dale has. She's got the originals. 11 Did we address No. 11 at all, Your Honor? MR. JASPERS: 12 THE COURT: I thought we admitted 11. MR. VON WALD: 13 Yeah. 14 MR. JASPERS: I'm wasn't sure. That's why I'm asking. 15 We admitted 11. THE COURT: 16 MR. JASPERS: Yeah. Okay. 17 12 was the attachment to 11 but we deferred it --THE COURT: 18 we deferred ruling on that. 19 I think maybe it's a good time to give our jurors a They've been patiently waiting there. So let's 20 21 take about a ten-minute break there, Jurors. Stretch. 22 Don't talk to anybody about the case or among yourselves. 23 Just go out, stretch your legs, take it easy. We'll 24 invite you back in here around 11:30. 25 (RECESS TAKEN).

1 2 mm
3 lu
4 we
5 so
6 A
7 re
8 Ho

9

All right. Let's go back on the record. Oop. We're missing a juror. We're going to be breaking at noon for lunch, Jurors. And we do have a lunch for our jurors. So we can't force you to eat it. You can go eat it -- eat somewhere else, but we do have a lunch for you, so. . . All right. Let's go back on the record. Back on the record in the matter of Long Cattle Company versus Bank of Hoven. Ronnie Long is testifying, questioning by his counsel. Go ahead, Jim.

- 10 MR. HURLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 11 Q (BY MR. HURLEY) Ronnie, we were looking at Exhibit 4 and
 12 that was the letter from the Bank to you April 26th, 1996,
 13 correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- And when you first started talking to the Bank about this plan, did the plan involve the transfer of your land to the Bank and then the Bank selling it back to you on a contract for deed?
- 19 A Yes, it did.
- 20 Q Did there come a time when the Bank's position on that changed?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And when was that?
- 24 A It was on April 26^{th} here on the terms of this letter.
- 25 Q And why did the Bank's position change?

1 Α Because of possible jurisdictional problems if they sold 2 it back me and because I was an Indian-owned entity. 3 And how did the proposal then change? Would you not be Q 4 able to buy it back on a contract for deed then? 5 Ά I had to find -- I would have to find different 6 financing, I quess BIA or FHA or something. 7 And by a contract for deed, who is then financing the --0 8 your purchase of the land back from the Bank? 9 Α I would say the Bank. And that position changed to where you couldn't do that; 10 0 11 you had to find other financing? 12 Yes. Α 13 And that's because you are an enrolled Indian? 14 Yes. Α Yes. And you have an Indian-controlled company or 15 0 16 corporation? 17 Α Yes. And that's what the Bank states to you in Exhibit 4? 18 0 19 Α Yes. And did you discuss that with the Bank as to how that 20 Q would make a difference? 21 They just told me that you would have to find another 22 Α 23 financial institution. Okay. Would you turn to Exhibit 6, please. And do you 24 Q 25 recognize Exhibit 6?

- 1 A Yes, I do.
- 2 Q And can you describe it briefly?
- 3 A Well, it's where the Bank has the deed to the real estate,
- 4 and they would write off \$478,000 and in lieu of that --
- 5 | MR. VON WALD: Which exhibit are you looking at?
- 6 MR. HURLEY: Exhibit 6.
- 7 A They would make a contract with me to give me operating money and with that buy back more cattle.
- 9 MR. VON WALD: Excuse me. Just so that we don't get (INAUDIBLE). Did you say 178,000?
- 11 THE WITNESS: No.
- 12 MR. VON WALD: I must have misunderstood you.
- 13 THE WITNESS: It says 478,000.
- 14 MR. VON WALD: 478,000. I'm sorry. I misunderstood then.
- 15 Q (BY MR. HURLEY) Ronnie, you're reading off the -- about
- in the middle of the page, and that is in the loan
- 17 agreement?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q The figure there appears 478,000?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Okay. And so the Bank gets the deed to your land, and in
- 22 the first paragraph of the loan agreement the Bank is
- giving you credit of 478,000 for the land and little house
- 24 that got deeded to the Bank?
- 25 A Yes. I had no problem with them having the deed. This

```
contract with them come full circle.
 1
 2
    Q
          Okay.
                     Your Honor, we have Exhibit 6 on a large board
    MR. HURLEY:
 4
          for the jury. May I set that up?
                     Any objection? I think it would be helpful to
 5
    THE COURT:
          the jurors, since they don't have the exhibit.
 6
7
    MR. VON WALD:
                  Do you want to set it on the easel, Jim, so that
8
          we could maybe it put it right here? Everybody could see
9
          it.
    MR. HURLEY:
                     Yes. Well, maybe I could get it closer to the
10
11
          jurors.
12
    THE COURT:
                     Then how could -- the problems is then could --
          the problem is then I couldn't see it. David couldn't
13
14
          maybe.
15
    MR. HURLEY:
                     Okay.
16
    THE COURT:
                     But we can always move --
                     And you have the written one, too.
17
    MR. HURLEY:
                     Yeah. I've got a written one, too.
18
    THE COURT:
                     To show the Judge what it looks like.
19
    MR. HURLEY:
                     Have you seen this exhibit?
20
    THE COURT:
                     No. I assume it's a copy of this.
21
    MR. VON WALD:
                     It's a copy of this. There's no problem.
                                                                 It
22
    THE COURT:
          appears to be -- it appears to me to be an exact replica.
23
    MR. VON WALD:
                     Yeah. Is the second page there?
24
                    It's reduced to one page apparently.
25
    THE COURT:
```

1 MR. VON WALD: Oh.

2 THE COURT: It's reduced.

3 MR. HURLEY: I told her just to put it on one, to get one page

4 instead of two.

5 MR. VON WALD: Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

6 THE COURT: Okay. Can all the jurors see that? Okay.

Q (BY MR. HURLEY) And we're looking at Exhibit 6. That small 6 down here in the right, so the jurors are looking at the same thing we're looking at. So far what we've looked at is this is called a loan agreement, first two words on the document. And the first line says that this is a loan agreement between Long Family Land and Cattle Company, Inc., and the Bank of Hoven. That's what's referred to as a loan agreement. What we've covered so far in the first line of the second paragraph is that the Bank of Hoven is receiving a deed to the property, which is described in Exhibit A —

18 MR. VON WALD: Attached.

19 Q (BY MR. HURLEY) -- is your 2200 --

20 THE COURT: Hold on. What's that?

21 MR. HURLEY: Excuse me.

22 MR. VON WALD: That says attached, right? Is that deed then

23 attached thereto?

24 MR. HURLEY: No.

25 MR. VON WALD: It's not on your exhibit?